## NOTICE OF MEETING <br> OF THE <br> PLEASANT GROVE CITY COUNCIL

Notice is hereby given that the Pleasant Grove City Council will hold a Work Session meeting at 4:30 p.m. prior to the regular meeting on Tuesday, February 6,2024 in the Community Room 108 S 100 E, at 6:00 p.m. This is a public meeting and anyone interested is invited to attend. Work Sessions are not designed to hear public comment or take official action.

## AGENDA

## 4:30 P.M. WORK SESSION

a. Introduction of the Arts Commission
b. Demonstration by PG Masters Robotics Team
c. Kim Schroeppel Victim Advocate Report
d. Staff Business

## 6:00 P.M. REGULAR CITY COUNCIL

## 1. CALL TO ORDER

## 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

## 3. OPENING REMARKS

## 4. APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA

## 5. OPEN SESSION

6. CONSENT ITEMS: (Consent items are only those which have been discussed beforehand, are non-controversial and do not require further discussion)
a. To consider for approval contract Change Order No. 1 for Insituform Technologies, LLC for the FY2022-23 Sewer Rehabilitation project.
b. To consider for approval Payment Request No. 3 for Insituform Technologies, LLC for the FY2022-23 Sewer Rehabilitation project.
c. To consider for approval Payment Request No. 1 for Rivendell Tree Experts, LLC for the 2024 Pavement Preservation Tree Trimming project.
d. To consider for approval Change Order No. 1 for Jay Lyne Robert \& Sons, Inc on the Chlorination System Installation Atwood Well and Gibson Well, Anderson Well and Adams Well project.
e. To consider for approval Payment Request No. 2 for Jay Lyne Robert \& Sons, Inc on the Chlorination System Installation Atwood Well and Gibson Well, Anderson Well and Adams Well project.
f. To consider for approval Partial Payment No. 3 to Big-D Construction for the Cook Family Park Project.
g. To consider approval of Payment Reports for January 25, 2024 and January 30, 2024.

## please note: the order of the following items may be subject to change.

7. BOARD, COMMISSION, COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS: None at this time.
8. PRESENTATIONS: None at this time.

## 9. PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS:

A. Public Hearing to consider for adoption an Ordinance (2024-2) regarding the Transportation Master Plan Update with an appendix including the $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ West Center Street Study. Presenter: Director Winterton
B. Public Hearing to consider for adoption an Ordinance (2024-3) for a zone change from the RR (Rural Residential) Zone to the R1-20 (Single-Family Residential) Zone on 3.32 acres of unplatted land, located east of $\mathbf{8 2 0}$ West and north of $\mathbf{1 8 0 0}$ North, at the request of Noel Vallejo and Bryce Hardee. Presenter: Director Cardenas
C. Public Hearing to consider for adoption an Ordinance (2024-4) for a zone change from RR (Rural Residential) Zone to the R1-10 (Single-Family Residential) Zone, on approximately 4.5 acres of unplatted land, located at approx. 131 West 1800 North, at the request of Castlewood Development. Presenter: Director Cardenas

## 10. ACTION ITEMS READY FOR VOTE:

A. To consider for adoption of a Resolution (2024-07) authorizing the Mayor to declare a 2014 Ford F-450 Wheeled Coach ambulance as surplus and Direct that it be Disposed of According to the City's Policy for Disposing of Surplus Property. Presenter: Fire Chief Engemann
B. To consider for adoption a Resolution (2024-08) authorizing the Mayor to sign a Cooperative Agreement with the Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) providing for the development and preservation of access points on a proposed frontage road in the area of I-15 and other related matters. Presenter: Attorney Petersen
C. To consider for adoption a Resolution (2024-09) authorizing the Mayor to sign a Cooperative Agreement with LC Reserve One, LLC, Valley Grove Exchange I, LLC, Valley Grove Exchange II, LLC providing for the development and preservation of access points on a proposed frontage road in the area of I-15 and other related matters. Presenter: Attorney Petersen

## 11. ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION:

A. Continued Items from the Work Session if needed.

## 12. REVIEW AND DISCUSSION ON THE FEBRUARY 21, 2024, CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA.

## 13. MAYOR AND COUNCIL BUSINESS.

## 14. SIGNING OF PLATS.

## 15. REVIEW CALENDAR.

## 16. ADJOURN.

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING:
I certify that the above notice and agenda were posted in three public places within Pleasant Grove City limits and on the State (http://pmn.utah.gov) and City (www.plgrove.org) websites.

Posted by: /s/ Wendy Thorpe, City Recorder
Date: February 2, 2024
Time: 11:00 a.m.
Place: City Hall, Library and Community Room 108 S 100 E.
*Note: In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, Pleasant Grove City will make reasonable accommodation for participation in the meeting. Request assistance by contacting Pleasant Grove City at (801) 785-5045, at least 48 hours prior to the meeting.

AN ORDINANCE OF PLEASANT GROVE CITY, UTAH COUNTY, UTAH, AMENDING PLEASANT GROVE TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN REGARDING THE ADDITION OF APPENDIX "E" REGARDING THE INTERSECTION OF 600 WEST AND CENTER STREET IMPROVEMENT STUDY, PLEASANT GROVE, UTAH COUNTY, UTAH, INCLUDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City recognizes the need for updating and amending the Pleasant Grove Transportation Master Plan regarding the intersection of 600 West and Center Street; and

WHEREAS, the Mountainland Association of Governments (MAG) and City through Interlocal Agreement, commissioned a study to present options for improving the subject intersection; and

WHEREAS, Said study included conceptual cost estimates for the improvement project; and
WHEREAS, MAG has certain funds available to assist in the financing of the project; and
WHEREAS, in order to apply and qualify for the funding, City must show the project and the estimated costs on its Master Transportation Plan; and

WHEREAS, on February 6, 2024, the Pleasant Grove City Council held a public hearing to consider the request; and

WHEREAS, at its meeting the City Council decided that the amendment to the Pleasant Grove Transportation Master Plan is in the public's interest and consistent with the goals and policies of the General Plan; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of Pleasant Grove City, Utah County, State of Utah as follows:

SECTION 1. The Pleasant Grove City Council has evaluated the recommended addition of Appendix "E" to the Master Transportation Plan regarding improvements and estimation of costs for the intersection of 600 West and Center Street. The Master Transportation Plan is hereby AMENDED to add Appendix "E."

SECTION 2. SEVERABILITY. The sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses, and phrases of this Ordinance are severable. If any such section, paragraph, sentence, clause, or phrase shall be declared invalid or unconstitutional by the valid judgment or decree of a Court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any of the remaining sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phases of this Ordinance.

SECTION 3. EFFECTIVE DATE. This ordinance shall take effect immediately upon its passage and posting as provided by law.

SECTION 4. APPROVED AND ADOPTED AND MADE EFFECTIVE by the City Council of Pleasant Grove City, Utah County, Utah, this 6th day of February 2024.

Guy L. Fugal, Mayor

## ATTEST:

Wendy Thorpe, CMC
City Recorder

Motion: Council Member $\qquad$

Second: Council Member

| ROLL CALL | Yes | No | AbstainAbsent |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mayor Guy L. Fugal | - | - | - | - |
| Dianna Andersen | - | - | - | - |
| Steve Rogers | - | - | - | - |
| Eric Jensen | - | - | - | - |
| Cyd LeMone | - | - | - |  |
| Todd Williams | - | - |  |  |

## CERTIFICATE OF POSTING ORDINANCE

## Pleasant Grove City Corporation

I, the duly appointed recorder for the City of Pleasant Grove, hereby certify that a summary of the foregoing Ordinance No 2024

Dated this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2024.

[^0]

## PLEASANT GROVE TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN

 ADOPTED BY CITY COUNCIL ON XX,XX,2023
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## Acronyms and Abbreviations

| AADT | Annual Average Daily Traffic |
| :--- | :--- |
| CFP | Capital Facilities Plan |
| GOPB | Governor's Office of Planning and Budget |
| HCM | Highway Capacity Manual |
| ITE | Institute of Transportation Engineers |
| LOS | Level of Service |
| MAG | Mountainland Association of Governments |
| MPO | Metropolitan Planning Organization |
| STIP | Statewide Transportation Improvement Program |
| STP | Surface Transportation Program |
| RTP | Regional Transportation Plan |
| TAZ | Traffic Analysis Zone |
| TDU | Transit District of Utah |
| TDM | Travel Demand Model |
| TIP | Transportation Improvement Program |
| TMP | Transportation Master Plan |
| TRB | Transportation Research Board |
| UDOT | Utah Department of Transportation |
| UTA | Utah Transit Authority |

## 2023 Update

The following sections of The Pleasant Grove Transportation Master Plan (TMP), adopted in 2009, were updated in 2023 to include updated information:

- Update the Travel Demand Model
- Incorporate Updated Mag TransPlan50 recommendations.
- Update the Capital Facilities Plan project list.
- Updates to existing data that changed since the original plan was adopted in 2009.
- 600 West \& Center Street Study.


## Executive Summary

Pleasant Grove has experienced significant growth and development; the current census data (2020) reported a population of 37,726 in the City in 2020. For future growth, the Governor's Office of Planning and Budget projects a population of 42,062 in 2030 and 51,200 in 2050 for Pleasant Grove. Due to growth within Pleasant Grove and growth throughout the county, a comprehensive transportation plan must be developed and regularly maintained to combat the potential congestion caused by projected growth. This plan must incorporate the goals of the City of Pleasant Grove regarding the transportation systems within the city's authority as well as those regional facilities maintained by UDOT, UTA, Utah County, and neighboring communities.

Recognizing the need to update the Transportation Master Plan (TMP) to accommodate the future development throughout and around Pleasant Grove, travel demands resulting from the planned land uses outlined in the City's General Plan were modeled and documented. The results of that modeling process were used to make plans regarding future transportation improvements. This TMP is a culmination of the master plan update process and is expected to guide the Pleasant Grove transportation system for several years. The TMP discusses the various transportation elements in Pleasant Grove City, including traffic volumes and conditions, roadway functional classification, typical street sections, alternative transportation modes, traffic signals, access management, corridor preservation, capital improvements, and more.

## ROADWAY ELEMENTS

The existing transportation master plan of Pleasant Grove had several revisions to accommodate the growth expected throughout the city and maintain the quality of life desired by the residents. The updated roadway plan outlines the roadway functional classifications, the number of lanes, typical cross-sections, right-of-way required to accommodate future traffic in the year 2050 on each roadway, and locations for intersection improvements. In addition to the above, the TMP:

- Outlines the application of typical cross-sections to each functional classification.
- Guides on how to ensure safety as a primary goal in the design and operations of the City's roadways.
- Discusses the implementation of additional traffic calming measures.
- Describes proper access management guidelines and procedures.
- Expounds on a traffic impact study requirement for developers.
- Summarizes the practice of preserving future transportation corridors, coordinating with other agencies, and implementing impact fees to developers.
- Guides alternative modes of transportation (public transit, bicycle, and pedestrian facilities)


## ALTERNATIVE TRANSPORTATION ELEMENTS

To provide a well-balanced transportation system in Pleasant Grove, Pleasant Grove will encourage and develop transportation alternatives to automobiles. As the City grows and develops, alternative transportation elements such as public transit and bicycle/pedestrian facilities will play an increasing role in the overall transportation system. This TMP discusses future opportunities to encourage alternative modes of transportation throughout Pleasant Grove, including carpooling, park-and-ride lots, local UTA bus routes, bus rapid transit, commuter rail transit, and bicycle, pedestrian, and equestrian plans.

## OTHER TRANSPORTATION RELATED ELEMENTS

In addition to the roadway and alternative transportation elements, this TMP addresses other transportation elements such as safety, traffic calming, access management, and corridor preservation. The primary concerns of the TMP are safety, forecasting traffic growth, and providing adequate facilities to meet needs. The city will construct and maintain its transportation facilities in compliance with applicable design and engineering standards.

The city can implement multiple traffic-calming measures to reduce speeds on residential and commercial roadways. In summary, those measures include traffic control device use and actual street and route modification where necessary. There are appropriate situations and locations for traffic-calming use; however, the city must be cautious and organized in developing and implementing a traffic-calming program, or more problems could result than are solved. The general approach involves conducting an engineering study to determine the nature and extent of the traffic problems with guidelines for trafficcalming measures to address the traffic problems. Once a type of traffic calming is selected and implemented it will be monitored to evaluate the success of the traffic calming measure for future use. Details of the different traffic-calming measures and implementation are in this TMP.

Access management principles include controlling the location, amount, spacing, and type of driveways and intersections on arterial and collector streets. Managing access design will minimize traffic conflicts and maximize the capacity of major travel routes. This TMP provides access management guidelines for the city to use as more development occurs.

Corridor preservation allows a city to identify and protect the land from development needed for future transportation facilities. Through corridor preservation practices, the city will be able to preserve and protect land that the city needs for future transportation facilities. These practices include exactions, developer incentives, and agreements, fee simple acquisitions, transfer of development rights and or densities, land use controls, and purchases of options and easements. By preserving these corridors now (securing future right-of-way), the city will lower the cost and impact of these facilities.

## TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The Pleasant Grove Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) indicates the needed transportation improvements and prioritizes their implementation schedule. Each transportation improvement will have a planning-level cost estimate and a time frame for its implementation. The city separated the improvements into short-range ( 0 to 5 years), medium-range ( 5 to 10 years), and long-range ( 10 to 20 years) time frames. The city is not obligated to implement any improvement shown in the TIP. The city will determine the actual implementation of facilities and funding for each project on a case-by-case basis as the city works through the annual budgeting process.

Pleasant Grove City intends this TMP to be a living document that the city will use to plan and guide the development of its transportation system in a timely and efficient manner. Since many aspects of this TMP are primarily developer-driven, the city will update the TMP as the city grows and changes. Significant land-use changes or fluctuations in population could alter the need for or timing of improvements identified in the TIP. As a result, the city will review and update the TMP regularly. The city will perform significant reviews at least every five to ten years with road plans being every three to five years. This process will ensure that the TMP reflects the values and growth of Pleasant Grove City and serves its intended purpose for years to come.

### 1.0 Introduction

Pleasant Grove is a city in northern Utah County along the Wasatch Front. Neighboring Cities include American Fork, Lindon, and Cedar Hills, as shown in Figure 1. Pleasant Grove City has developable land within the city limits, which allows it to grow well beyond its current population. Like the overall growth in Utah County, Pleasant Grove has also experienced rapid residential and commercial economic growth in recent years. For example, the Bureau of the Census reported a total population of 37,726 for the city in the year 2020. Due to this expansive growth, many of the transportation facilities throughout the city are experiencing increasing congestion and may soon become functionally obsolete and need improvements. The city will need other upgraded transportation facilities to accommodate the new growth in those areas.

The last update to the City's transportation element of the General Plan was in 2009. The city recognizes the need to update the Transportation Master Plan (TMP) to accommodate future travel demand as the city grows. This transportation master plan update will guide the City's transportation system for the next several years.

The TMP discusses the various elements of transportation in the City, including traffic volumes and conditions, roadway functional classification, typical street sections, alternative transportation modes, traffic signals, access management, corridor preservation, and capital improvement recommendations.

## A BRIEF HISTORY

Like many of the communities in Utah, the Mormon pioneers settled in Pleasant Grove. These early settlers were sent by Brigham Young, thus establishing the small community on September 13, 1850. The pioneers were attracted by a small grove of trees which gave promise and hope of a land with water and rich soil. The official name "Pleasant Grove" did not come first although the name was based on the small grove of trees that were here when they first arrived. The first name of this community was "Battlecreek" named after the first skirmish in Utah between the Indians and pioneers, located at the mouth of the canyon above this small community. Because of the Indian conflict, the settlers were instructed to build a fort for protection. A meeting house and schoolhouse were then constructed to meet the spiritual and educational needs of the people who came to Pleasant Grove.

On January 19, 1855, the territorial legislature approved Pleasant Grove to become incorporated. The first municipal election was then held in May 1855 and Henson Walker took office as the first Mayor.

Nicknames began popping up that described certain areas of the community: "Little Denmark" was the area where Scandinavian people settled: "Monkey Town" was named because the youth gathered on "fog" corner in the area and "monkeyed" around which caused adults great concern over the "...mischievousness of the youth." "Mud Hole" was an area where the community's merchandising and entertainment occurred. It was said that the "upper class" lived in this area.

Life was difficult. The settlers were terrified of the Indians but also had to face famine and hunger. They had to rely on one another to survive a few winters. The meeting house was also used as a storehouse, but a fire brought the building and its contents to the ground and there just was not enough time to restock before winter came on again. Life was not all filled with hardships, however, people often met socially and because of the abundant strawberry crop every summer, "Strawberry Days" was created. Strawberry Days are the longest continuing community celebration in Utah to date. The strawberry fields are now gone, taken over by development. The schoolhouse still stands and has been converted into a nice pioneer museum to remind us of those who came first, those who were willing to take the risk, make their homes in an unknown wilderness, and prepare the way for those who came after.

This information is located on the Pleasant Grove website at Pleasant Grove History - Pleasant Grove City (plgrove.org).


## Transportation Master Plan

Figure 1: Vicinity Map
Horrocks.

### 2.0 Transportation Goals and Policies

This section of the TMP outlines the general transportation desires of the city; this will assist City leaders, planners, engineers, and land developers in developing transportation guidelines, standards, and solutions that reflect the unique characteristics of Pleasant Grove. City staff and leaders will use these goals and policies to evaluate transportation alternatives not addressed in the City's TMP and will be in harmony with the city's transportation needs and desires. The following sections outline the City's Transportation Goals and Policies.

## SAFE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM

Automobile accidents are one of the leading causes of injury and death in the United States. While we often freely accept the trade-off of increased exposure to accidents versus travel conveniences when we use automobiles, there is an inherent trust in the public infrastructure to comply with reliable safety standards.

Pleasant Grove will set transportation system safety as a high priority and work diligently to meet applicable safety standards. The city will require all major subdivision developments to provide multiple emergency vehicle accesses. They will also require secondary access for all projects with roadway lengths greater than 1,000 feet. The Planning Department will implement this requirement during the site plan review process. Pleasant Grove will provide pedestrian crossings for children, particularly near schools and recreation areas, and will encourage the development of school routing and recreation plans that minimize vehicle/pedestrian conflicts. With the involvement of the School District, PTA, City Public Works, and Engineering Department, the city will conduct an annual review of the safe walking routes. The Engineering and Planning Departments will collaborate with the School District to plan future school locations and walking routes within the existing municipal and annexation policy declaration boundaries consistent with the transportation system.

The Engineering Department will complete speed studies for areas of concern, and law enforcement agencies will enforce safe speeds. The city will maintain a logical progression of speed limit areas such that similar areas and street types are consistent in speed limitations.

The Engineering Department will review intersections and developments that are problem areas for traffic channelization solutions and improve traffic on streets through striping, raised medians and islands, reduction of roadside obstructions, and other traffic engineering solutions. They will also require all roadway features to meet minimum design standards established by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). All signs, pavement markings, and traffic signals must meet standards established by the manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) and enforce the current design standards during the review process.

Pleasant Grove will upgrade or install pedestrian safety features at intersections and crossings areas as deemed necessary by City Staff which may include but are not limited to 1) Warning lights and audible signals at high-volume intersections. 2) ADA ramps at all crossing areas. 3) Streetlights on both sides of the street at mid-block crossings and flashing beacons where feasible (Note: The City will minimize the establishment of mid-block crossings where possible.) 4) Raised median pedestrian refuge was feasible
on roadways with four or more lanes. 5) Stricter enforcement of jaywalking through signage and increased monitoring. 6) Optimal sidewalk conditions for walking and wheelchairs through repairing cracks and bumps, minimizing slopes, and maintaining visibility at corners. The City Staff will regularly review the pedestrian facilities throughout the city.

## CORRIDOR PRESERVATION

Corridor preservation allows a city to identify and protect the land needed for future transportation facilities from development that might be incompatible with these facilities. Pleasant Grove will sufficiently plan the needs of community-wide transportation systems. It will preserve future corridor locations and secure right-of-way using innovative methods, including exactions, developer incentives, and agreements, fee simple acquisitions, transfer of development rights and or densities, land use controls, and purchase of options and easements. Pleasant Grove will involve the local, regional, and state agency participation in developing the plan goals and implementing the projects planned in the City's Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP). The city will regularly review and update the TMP and TIP every five to ten years.

## MULTI-MODAL APPROACH

The private automobile is presently the most common and convenient form of transportation. The City in cooperation with MAG needs to plan for all modes of transportation to meet the community's needs and establish a more desirable urban environment. Alternative transportation types primarily include public transit, walking, and bicycling. Alternative modes of transportation can assist in reducing vehicular congestion and delay and reduce overall pollution emissions from vehicular traffic.

Pleasant Grove will provide effective connections and community use of mass transit systems in and near the City and a balanced multi-modal approach to transportation problems that considers mass transit, carpools, and other alternative modes to the single-occupant automobile. The city will develop and continually update a long-range mass transit plan as part of the City's TMP by 1) Planning for future light rail service and transit-oriented development in the downtown and other strategic locations. 2) Encourage transit and multi-modal facilities by improving bus stops. 3) Require developers of new commercial developments to consider transit and other multi-modal services in their design of parking facilities, roadways, and pedestrian access. 4) Work with UTA to establish new transit routes throughout the City and develop bus stop and park-and-ride requirements for office and commercial land uses. 5) Support the implementation of park-and-ride lots and encourage the development of high-frequency, express transit services.

## IMPROVE THE PHYSICAL CONDITION AND EFFICIENCY OF THE CITY'S ROADS

Roads require consistent monitoring and maintenance to avoid unnecessary wear and tear. Pleasant Grove should regularly monitor pavement conditions, vegetation overgrowth, and signing \& striping conditions to address deficiencies promptly to stop further deterioration. Pleasant Grove will maintain an efficient roadway network through regular maintenance programs. The city will: 1) Widen, improve, and
complete unfinished streets, install streets where there are high traffic demands initiate a street overlay improvement plan (4" minimum thickness) to repair all old and damaged roads, and plan for and complete the projects in the City's TMP. 2) Provide funding for needed road improvement projects by setting aside funds for each budget year. 3) Work with the railroad and other agencies to set target dates for improvements to railroad crossings and repair all roadways with a railroad crossing.

## CIRCULATION FLOW

Like many other cities throughout the Wasatch Front, Pleasant Grove has established its street network on a grid system. Pleasant Grove has established a hierarchy of roadway functional classifications to provide proper circulation flow on this grid system. Continuity in the defined functional roadway classification needs to occur between adjacent districts. Discontinuity in the roadway functional classification can cause confusion and congestion on the street network. Each roadway needs to serve a distinct function and purpose. Pleasant Grove will design transportation facilities for efficient traffic flow throughout the city with compatible connections to regional transportation systems. Pleasant Grove created its TMP to have a hierarchy of streets to work with the land use the street system serves. The city will abide by the street hierarchy identified in the TMP and follow corridor preservation techniques to preserve the right-of-way necessary for the different street classifications.

The principal function of arterial streets is to continuously move large volumes of traffic over a substantial distance. To ensure that arterial roads will function properly, the City will implement and enforce access management principles and standards (as outlined in the appendix) and parking restrictions. The street system shall include a hierarchy based on vehicle usage. The TMP expects trucks to stay on designated truck routes, which are primarily limited to arterial streets. Pleasant Grove will develop and pass a truck route ordinance; mandating trucks to travel on designated truck routes and roadway designs to provide adequate turning radii at intersections based on the specific roadway classifications (Table 10). In addition, the Engineering Department should develop a signage system that would inform heavy vehicle operators to drive on designated truck routes. The Planning Department will ensure that land uses requiring truck delivery are along roadways that can accommodate trucks.

Pleasant Grove will minimize traffic speeds on local streets by providing direct routes to collector streets. The city will verify that street designs are compatible with street functions by requiring large housing units, commercial developments, and public buildings to have direct routes onto arterial and collector roads to minimize their impacts on the community. In addition, the city needs to mandate, through ordinance, requiring the conduct of a traffic impact study for these types of developments. The Planning and Engineering Departments will work with all new projects during the review process to ensure a proper design conforms with the standards set in the City's TMP.

The planning and engineering departments will enforce and require access to any new residential development via a local road or an appropriate on-site circulation roadway system. Where feasible, the city will not allow new residential development to face collector or arterial streets to preserve and maintain the functionality and mobility of the major roadways throughout the city. The City will follow the access management standards as outlined in the appendix of this document and establish a hierarchy of streets by classifying all new roads according to their function and purpose.

Pleasant Grove will provide for internal circulation within the city by designing a functional hierarchy of streets to assist in dispersing traffic. This hierarchy will incorporate a broad network of arterial streets with smaller internal networks of collector and local roads. The City will establish a series of roadways within commercial districts to allow for traffic dispersal, thereby reducing congestion and requiring residential subdivisions to have a minimum of two access connections to neighboring subdivisions or streets. They will mandate that residential areas are interconnected with adjacent neighborhoods to prevent children from traveling on arterial and collector streets to reach nearby neighborhoods and schools. The city will design a circulatory system to accommodate regional transportation needs. The Engineering Department is responsible for obtaining updated information regarding projected traffic volumes and regional transportation plans affecting the city at least annually or as information is available from MAG and UDOT.

## LEVEL OF SERVICE

Level of Service (LOS) is a traffic engineering term for describing and measuring the travel delay experienced by vehicles. LOS ranges from free-flow traffic conditions (LOS A) to extremely congested travel (LOS F). Since traffic and overall travel are most congested at morning and afternoon peak periods, a typical practice allows for some driver discomfort during these peak periods while providing better LOS throughout the remainder of the day. Pleasant Grove will improve traffic flow and circulation to major activity centers in the city and have a street system that operates at an acceptable Level of Service (LOS) standard during peak-hour periods.

Pleasant Grove will provide streets that a minimum, will operate so that the average travel speeds would be no lower than about 40 percent of the free-flow speeds. Provide intersections that function at a LOS of $C$ (minimum average) during the peak hour (i.e., an average delay of fewer than 35 seconds per vehicle at signalized intersections and less than 25 seconds per vehicle at unsignalized intersections). There are exceptions to these standards where the associated impacts of the improvements needed to bring the facility up to the set standard are disproportionate to the benefits, and funding is unavailable to implement the improvements. The city will adhere to the year-by-year improvement project list to reduce congestion on arterial streets and intersections.

The city will improve the efficiency of streets and reduce potential traffic conflicts through improved or new signals, signs, pavement markings, and street lighting. They will adhere to the year-by-year project list that improves signals, signs, pavement markings, and street lighting. Pleasant Grove will work with businesses to explore non-traditional methods for reducing traffic volume through 1) Travel demand management and system management strategies by developing programs that provide a mix of land use with differing peak traffic periods. 2) Provide incentives for rideshare systems, and encourage flex-time work schedules, parking management, and telecommuting. The Engineering and Planning Departments will implement such programs as development warrants and plan future streets for the width necessary to serve projected traffic at an acceptable LOS as identified above. Require development to protect, preserve, and donate needed street width. Figure 9 and Figure 10 shows the desired typical cross-sections for the different roadway classifications. The Engineering Department will mandate a Traffic Impact Study (TIS) for every new development that would generate more than one hundred peak-hour trips. Table 1 outlines some examples of minimum thresholds for different land uses that would require a TIS. The city
will collect traffic impact fees directly proportional to the impact of a development on the collector and arterial roadways.

Table 1 Examples of Land Use Thresholds that Require Traffic Impact Studies

| Land Use | Size of Development that Generates $\geq 100$ Peak-Hour Trips |
| :---: | :---: |
| Residential (Single Family Homes) | 90 Units |
| Residential (Apartments) | 150 Units |
| Residential (Condo/Townhomes) | 190 Units |
| Residential (Mobile Home Park) | 170 Units |
| Shopping Center | 6,000 Sq. Ft. of GLA |
| Fast-food restaurant with Drive-In | 3,000 Sq. Ft. of GFA |
| Gas Station with Convenience Store | 7 Fueling Positions |
| Bank with Drive-In | 2,000 Sq. Ft. of GFA |
| General Office | 67,000 Sq. Ft. of GFA |
| Medical/Dental Office | 29,000 Sq. Ft. of GFA |
| Research and Development Facility | 71,000 Sq. Ft. of GFA |
| Light Industrial/Warehousing | 185,000 Sq. Ft. of GFA |
| Manufacturing Plant | 144,000 sq. Ft. of GFA |
| Park-and-Ride Lot with Bus Service | 160 Parking Spaces |

Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual (7th Edition). GLA = Gross Leasable Area. GFA = Gross Floor Area.

## QUALITY IMAGE THROUGH STREETSCAPE DESIGN

The driver's perspective passing through an area and the resident's observation of living and working there can define the sense of community. Communities establish a sense of pride by creating a vision to define a unique and positive image of and for the community. The city will consider aesthetics in the different roadway classifications design to enhance the overall City image. Achieve a higher standard for street beautification, function, and safety.

Pleasant Grove will require all new developments to plant trees in the park strips as part of the landscaping. The city will identify main thoroughfares where 1) Landscaping beautification will benefit the community, 2) Explore alternative landscaping options for better visibility and safety, 3) Coordinate with Public Works to ensure maintenance needs are addressed, and 4) Use flexible street design to accommodate existing mature trees. They will require all new developments to plant trees, landscape the medians and park strips, provide for water and other maintenance needs of the landscaped areas, and create a list of approved park strip trees to ensure that tree roots do not create maintenance problems. The city will upgrade and beautify sidewalks and other walkways to create a functional but aesthetically pleasing pedestrian streetscape. Create pedestrian rest stops with places for park benches and additional landscaping. Explore alternatives for standard waste receptacles and design streetscapes to reflect and enhance the adjacent land use. The size and type of trees and width of park strips can vary according to need.

## PEDESTRIAN AND NON-MOTORIZED CIRCULATION

The scale of a community is best expressed and further enhanced through short, slow-speed trips within the city as opposed to trips that go through Pleasant Grove. Pleasant Grove will support pedestrian and bicycle travel as alternatives to the private automobile and achieve a more walkable community. Support
and encourage bicycles, pedestrians, and other non-motorized travel within the city. Coordinate with adjacent districts to offer continuous routes for travel and recreation between communities.

Pleasant Grove will increase connectivity and efficiency of bicycle and pedestrian facilities along all main arterial and collector streets and keep the City's bicycle and pedestrian facilities master plan up to date. The city will create a balance between bicycle and pedestrian facilities to satisfy the transportation and recreational needs of the residents. They will do this by 1) Improving bicyclist and pedestrian access to parks, recreation centers, mass transit facilities, schools, and other activity destinations by requiring the incorporation of bicycle and pedestrian facilities into private development plans. 2) Requiring sidewalks of sufficient width on both sides of all roads. The city will vigorously enforce this standard on arterial roadways and within commercial areas, with exceptions granted on a case-by-case basis. 3) In developing bicycle and pedestrian facilities, these facilities lead somewhere, are as direct as possible, and are interconnected. 4) Coordinate with school districts on existing and future new school locations relative to student bicycle and pedestrian issues. 5) Assure to incorporate bicycle and pedestrian facilities into roadway and mass transit project plans since it is much more difficult and expensive to retrofit bicycle and pedestrian facilities to existing roads and transit facilities. 6) Encourage the development of multi-use trail facilities in the City's urban environment since they are more practical and efficient. 7) Coordinate with UDOT on new state road construction projects relative to bicycle and pedestrian facilities, such as State Street and Main Street (Geneva Road). 8) Coordinate with UTA on new projects and facilities they own regarding bicycle and pedestrian issues.

Pleasant Grove will encourage alternative modes of transportation through carefully developed support systems by 1) Working with local businesses to offer better bicycle access and improved storage security. 2) Encouraging employers to provide lockers and showers for employees who walk or cycle to work. 3) Working with UTA in establishing bike-and-ride facilities at bus stops, carpool lots, and park-and-ride lots. 4) Creating continuous bicycle paths/routes between residential, commercial, and other areas. 5) Paving the shoulders of roadways that are unpaved and that are designated to accommodate bicycle lanes or a route. Pleasant Grove will ensure that space for bicycle lanes is provided, or in the case of a route, a wider outside general-purpose lane (14 feet). 6) Create a safer environment for bicyclists and pedestrians through proper location and design of sidewalks, bike lanes, multi-use trails, and other bicycle and pedestrian facilities. 7) Coordinate with the adjacent communities, such as Lindon, American Fork, and Cedar Hills (as well as the Forest Service) on bicycle and pedestrian standards; so that the City's bicycle and pedestrian facilities will have a greater likelihood of interconnecting with the facilities of the adjacent community. 8) Conducting planning/engineering studies for its planned bike, pedestrian, and other trail facilities for locating, designing, and acquiring right-of-way for these facilities. 9. Working with the Murdock Canal Company in developing and executing an agreement to formally make available a portion of the canal right-of-way for multi-use trail development, which would include equestrian use.

Pleasant Grove will maintain the safety and accessibility of pedestrian walkways by 1) Developing a maintenance program for sidewalk cleaning, clearance, and snow removal with a clear division of City and citizen responsibility. 2) Developing a program for sidewalks that includes an inventory of the condition of the City's sidewalks and an identification of where there are gaps (lack of sidewalks) in the existing sidewalk network. 3) Determining priorities for sidewalk replacement and new construction based on
sidewalk conditions and safety. 4) In areas of highest need, annually allocate resources to replace inadequate sidewalks and construct new sidewalks in areas with gaps in the network.

## TRAFFIC CALMING DESIGN

Traffic calming design encourages the reduction of speeds and vehicle volumes through roadway design elements manipulation.

Design elements include roadway width, alignment of streets, and connectivity to adjacent streets. Residential streets and other high-pedestrian-use areas most warrant traffic calming. Traffic calming encourages slow speeds through residential and downtown areas by implementing traffic-calming techniques where necessary.

Pleasant Grove will geometrically design new residential streets to avoid excessive speeds by 1) Varying Street widths and patterns to encourage or discourage traffic where appropriate. 2) Employing stopcontrolled intersections or roundabouts spaced no farther than one thousand feet apart for residential streets. 3) Maintaining traffic connections that do not overutilize residential routes. 4) Restricting residential roads to a maximum length of 1,300 feet and connecting both ends to either a Local Road or Collector Road. 5) Limiting the maximum length of a cul-de-sac to four hundred feet. Loop or circle streets are preferred to cul-de-sacs to maintain circulation and emergency access.

Local neighborhood streets will provide vehicular and pedestrian access to all land parcels. The city will reduce speeds on downtown and residential streets to 20 miles per hour and create a City-wide traffic calming plan that includes justification, warrants, standards, and specifications for the various traffic calming measures.

## DESIGN CIRCULATION AND STREET PATTERN TO SUPPORT THE GENERAL PLAN LAND USE GOALS

A relationship exists between the type of land use and the traffic volumes on the streets. Pleasant Grove will design circulation and street patterns that are compatible with existing and future land use goals and design and plan the City's transportation system to serve as a tool in implementing the General Plan's Land Use Goals. Pleasant Grove will: 1) Lower speed and minimal traffic in residential neighborhoods to improve the quality of life and minimize vehicular traffic on these streets through traffic-calming measures where necessary. 2) Restrict large retail developments to areas adjacent to arterial streets designed to facilitate large traffic volumes and use zoning and other land-use regulatory tools to restrict commercial projects to the property facing arterial roads. 3) Coordinate the general plans of the land use and transportation elements to ensure complementary goals and policies.

## PRESERVE AIR QUALITY AND ENERGY

An efficient transportation system contributes to a decrease in pollution and energy consumption associated with most forms of transportation. Therefore, an efficient street network that reduces the time vehicles idle at intersections is in the best interest of the city residents. Using non-motorized travel is another way to reduce pollution and energy consumption. Where possible, the transportation plan will investigate innovative methods of preserving air quality and conserving valuable energy resources.

Pleasant Grove plans to 1) Improve intersection design and traffic signal timing plans to reduce vehicular stop time at major intersections throughout the city. Coordinate traffic signals along arterials to reduce delays experienced by thru traffic. 2) Create a street system that moves automobile traffic efficiently through City streets by a) Securing right-of-way that is necessary to accommodate future traffic volumes. b) Requiring traffic impact fees proportionate to the traffic impacts that development will produce. c) Encouraging mixed-use developments to decrease vehicle trips during peak hours. 3) Encourage other methods of travel within the city by constructing trails and larger sidewalks. 4) Encourage public awareness and participation in emission reduction programs.

### 3.0 Existing Conditions

The following data were collected and analyzed to evaluate existing conditions: existing socioeconomic conditions, existing land use, existing roadway inventory (including lane configurations, functional classification, intersection control, etc.), existing traffic volumes, existing traffic conditions (Level of Service, etc.), existing roadway jurisdiction, and existing alternative transportation modes (transit, pedestrian, and bicycle facilities). This data forms the basis for analyzing the current transportation system and provides the foundation for future traffic projections.

## EXISTING SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITIONS

Socioeconomic data consists of population, employment, and the number of households associated with a particular area or zone. This data was obtained from the Mountainland Association of Governments (MAG) and reviewed by the city for accuracy. The MAG travel demand model uses these statistics to predict the number of trips traveling to and from each Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ) defined by the model. Since MAG's travel demand model serves the primary purpose of forecasting traffic volumes and level of service on a regional level. The model was modified by dividing some of the regional TAZs into smaller local TAZs throughout the city to estimate the travel demand characteristics more accurately. These newly divided TAZ can be seen in Figure 2. Table 2 shows a summary of the corresponding socioeconomic data for each of these zones.

## EXISTING LAND USE

Traffic volumes and patterns are related to land use and development density. To develop an accurate travel demand model, a thorough review of existing land uses throughout the City was conducted and calibrated the model to represent existing traffic conditions.


Transportation Master Plan
Figure 2: Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ) Map

Table 2: Existing Socioeconomic Conditions

| TAZ Number | Population (Persons) | Employment (Jobs) | Dwelling Units (Units) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2161 | 2272 | 515 | 596 |
| 2162 | 1475 | 82 | 366 |
| 2163 | 1633 | 0 | 428 |
| 2165 | 3110 | 254 | 525 |
| 2166 | 1338 | 142 | 345 |
| 2185 | 645 | 280 | 218 |
| 2187 | 2263 | 562 | 753 |
| 2188 | 4 | 759 | 1 |
| 2204 | 1059 | 14 | 286 |
| 2205 | 1228 | 0 | 284 |
| 2206 | 951 | 0 | 247 |
| 2207 | 498 | 2691 | 120 |
| 2208 | 1278 | 0 | 303 |
| 2209 | 1172 | 67 | 306 |
| 2210 | 1596 | 1 | 413 |
| 2211 | 1962 | 882 | 599 |
| 2212 | 1575 | 249 | 430 |
| 2213 | 1490 | 131 | 487 |
| 2214 | 1513 | 240 | 444 |
| 2215 | 2226 | 0 | 541 |
| 2216 | 1333 | 131 | 427 |
| 2217 | 1773 | 0 | 509 |
| 2218 | 2049 | 0 | 558 |
| 2219 | 123 | 529 | 52 |
| 2220 | 577 | 1800 | 244 |
| 2221 | 2184 | 422 | 705 |
| 2222 | 1813 | 271 | 516 |
| 2223 | 2321 | 0 | 643 |
| 2231 | 3305 | 283 | 1197 |
| 2232 | 2231 | 542 | 811 |
| 2233 | 518 | 399 | 203 |
| 2234 | 1033 | 735 | 307 |
| 2235 | 10 | 171 | 4 |
| 2236 | 3148 | 2943 | 1104 |
| 2237 | 5 | 740 | 1 |
| 2238 | 575 | 322 | 203 |
| 2239 | 0 | 829 | 0 |
| 2240 | 20 | 1500 | 7 |
| 2241 | 0 | 188 | 0 |

## EXISTING ROADWAY INVENTORY

The existing number of lanes and the current functional classification of each roadway are from field visits, aerial photography, the City's previous TMP, and transportation plans from surrounding authorities. Figure 3 shows the results of that existing roadway inventory. In addition, Horrocks documented the type of intersection control and existing auxiliary lanes for all major intersections and used all this data to model and analyze existing traffic conditions throughout the City.
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Figure 3: Existing Roadway Functional
Horrocks. Classification and Number of Lanes Map

## EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Existing traffic volumes were collected on numerous key roadway segments to evaluate roadway capacities, calibrate the travel demand model, and identify existing deficiencies in the roadway system. These counts were obtained from various sources, including UDOT's Average Daily Traffic (ADT) volumes, traffic counts performed for previous traffic studies, and manual traffic counts collected at 28 intersections throughout the city. Where necessary, these counts were adjusted up to the year 2022. The raw traffic data collected is provided in the appendix.

## EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

A term used to describe the traffic operations on roadways and at intersections is Level of Service (LOS). There are different methodologies available to calculate LOS, the most used methods are in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) published by the Transportation Research Board. The HCM defines six levels of LOS ranging from LOS A to LOS F; LOS A represents free-flow conditions, and LOS F represents severely congested traffic conditions. For this analysis, two types of LOS were used to evaluate the roadway network: Roadway LOS and Intersection LOS. A discussion of these several types of LOS is below.

## ROADWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE

Roadway LOS is used as a planning tool to quantitatively represent the ability of a particular roadway to accommodate the travel demand. As a rule of thumb and based on previous experience, the following tables were used to estimate the roadway LOS based on the functional classification, the number of lanes, and the ADT of each roadway in question:

Table 3 Freeway LOS Capacity Criteria (Maximum Volume)

| Lanes | LOS C | LOS D | LOS E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 60,000 | 70,000 | 89,000 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 95,000 | 112,000 | 140,000 |

Table 4 Arterial LOS Capacity Criteria (Maximum Volume)

| Lanes | LOS C | LOS D | LOS E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 10,800 | 13,400 | 16,100 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 12,400 | 15,100 | 17,700 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 28,500 | 32,800 | 40,300 |

Table 5 Collector LOS Capacity Criteria (Maximum Volume)

| Lanes | LOS C | LOS D | LOS E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 9,700 | 12,100 | 14,500 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 10,800 | 13,400 | 16,100 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 23,100 | 26,900 | 33,900 |

## INTERSECTION LEVEL OF SERVICE

Intersection LOS is a more precise method for quantifying traffic operations compared to the Roadway LOS methodology described above. The Roadway LOS looks at the big picture, while the Intersection LOS considers individual vehicular movements within an intersection. Since intersections tend to be the source of bottlenecks within transportation networks, a detailed look into the delay experienced at each intersection is performed. The Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) shows the methodology for calculating. This delay. Table 6 describes the resulting LOS criteria for both signalized and unsignalized intersections.

Table 6 Signalized \& Unsignalized Intersection LOS Criteria

| Level of Service | Average Control Delay (sec/veh) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Signalized | Unsignalized* |
| A | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10-20$ | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>20-35$ | $>15-25$ |
| D | $>35-55$ | $>25-35$ |
| E | $>55-80$ | $>35-50$ |
| F | $>80$ | $>50$ |

*Note: The LOS for unsignalized intersections represents the approach with the highest delay.
Even with the rapid growth in Pleasant Grove City in recent years, most roadways operate at an acceptable LOS at the existing travel demand (Figure 4). Figure 4 shows a few areas experiencing undesirable traffic congestion and delays.

## EXISTING ROADWAY JURISDICTION

The current street system in and around Pleasant Grove consists of a mixture of state, county, and locally owned and operated roads. This mixture may present challenges when coordinating roadway maintenance and improvement programs between authorities. However, by identifying the different agencies and the roadways each authority is responsible for, coordination of future improvements is enhanced.
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Figure 4: Existing Level of Service (LOS)
Horrocks. \& Average Daily Traffic Volume (ADT)

## EXISTING ALTERNATIVE TRANSPORTATION MODES

Public transit is a form of alternative transportation within Pleasant Grove City provided by the Utah Transit Authority (UTA). Figure 5 shows the existing transit facilities that run through the city. As shown in the figure, several regional UTA bus routes run through the City along State Street and other roads, with several stops in downtown Pleasant Grove.

Most of the bus service in Pleasant Grove consists of express bus service whose routes use I-15 and other principal roads. Pleasant Grove residents and businesses use this service to access Salt Lake City and other Salt Lake County locations, as well as Orem, Provo, Payson, and other Utah County locations.

The existing UTA bus routes that connect Pleasant Grove with other communities are as follows:

- Route $\mathbf{8 0 7}$ - North County/Lehi Station/UVU: This route has a terminus at the UVU station and the Lehi Station. There is a stop at 150 S and Main Street in Pleasant Grove.
- Route $\mathbf{8 0 6}$ - Eagle Mountain/Saratoga Springs/Lehi Station/UVU: This route has a terminus at the UVU station and the Eagle Mountain Church Park \& Ride. There is not stop in Pleasant Grove.
- Route 850 - State Street: This route has termini at Lehi Station and Provo Central Station. There is a stop at 150 S and Main Street in Pleasant Grove.

Pleasant Grove considers mass transit and bicycle and pedestrian transportation as an important part of Pleasant Grove's transportation system. Several existing trails are available to pedestrians, bicyclists, and equestrians. The Upper Bonneville Shoreline Trail and Bonneville Shoreline Trail are located principally on Forest Service land east of the city. Walkers, joggers, and mountain bikers frequently use the Murdock Canal Trail. All these trails are important to City residents as recreational recreation facilities. Walking paths, multi-use trails (such as the one on Pleasant Grove Boulevard), and pedestrian routes are available to Pleasant Grove's citizenry.


### 4.0 Future Conditions

Future travel patterns and associated travel conditions are a direct function of projected land use and socioeconomic conditions. Thus, since municipal boundaries do not restrict travel, a larger area of socioeconomic characteristics is used to estimate future travel volumes in Pleasant Grove City and the surrounding street systems. Future land use and socioeconomic data were obtained from the Mountainland Association of Governments (MAG) and supplemented by data from Pleasant Grove City.

## FUTURE SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITIONS

The analysis of land use and socioeconomic data and projections is beyond the scope of this type of transportation study. The transportation system was planned and designed to accommodate future growth projections using a certain amount of socioeconomic documentation is appropriate. The city considers the socioeconomic data collected to be the best available; however, land use planning is a dynamic process, and the report assumptions should not supersede other planning efforts. Table 7 shows the estimated socioeconomic conditions such as population, employment, and dwelling units for the Traffic Analysis Zones (TAZ) within Pleasant Grove City, shown in Figure 2 for 2050.

Pleasant Grove City plans for growth to occur throughout the city. Today's transportation system needs to accommodate existing traffic demands and have capacity built into it to accommodate the projected traffic demands of tomorrow. A couple of assumptions were considered regarding the socioeconomic data and the growth expected to occur within the city. First, the TAZ-specific socioeconomic information only approximates the Pleasant Grove City boundaries based on the data provided by the MAG and reviewed by Pleasant Grove. In addition, actual values may differ somewhat because of the size study area of the Regional Transportation Model that includes the unincorporated areas in and around Pleasant Grove City.

MAG is responsible for regional transportation planning throughout the Utah Valley area. The primary responsibility of MAG is to function as the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for Utah County. MAG helps ensure all cities and counties in the urbanized areas of Utah County follow consistent right-of-way widths and general standards to make sure of adequate regional transportation facilities. The primary products of MAG include a 20-year Long Range Transportation Plan and a 5-year Transportation Improvement Program that are both constrained by available (or available) revenue. As a result of this constraint, the Long-Range Plan does not typically include all the regional facility improvements planned by local communities.

Table 7: 2050 Socioeconomic Conditions

| TAZ Number | Population (Persons) | Employment (Jobs) | Dwelling Units (Units) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2161 | 2096 | 593 | 606 |
| 2162 | 1482 | 82 | 410 |
| 2163 | 1565 | 0 | 457 |
| 2165 | 2607 | 273 | 750 |
| 2166 | 1856 | 142 | 559 |
| 2185 | 918 | 556 | 344 |
| 2187 | 2618 | 679 | 984 |
| 2188 | 3 | 1003 | 1 |
| 2204 | 1175 | 16 | 61 |
| 2205 | 1194 | 0 | 313 |
| 2206 | 909 | 0 | 259 |
| 2207 | 517 | 2856 | 143 |
| 2208 | 1442 | 0 | 390 |
| 2209 | 1110 | 72 | 321 |
| 2210 | 1888 | 4 | 561 |
| 2211 | 1869 | 1089 | 652 |
| 2212 | 1649 | 858 | 511 |
| 2213 | 1676 | 354 | 599 |
| 2214 | 1563 | 287 | 505 |
| 2215 | 2096 | 0 | 568 |
| 2216 | 1298 | 187 | 462 |
| 2217 | 1996 | 0 | 629 |
| 2218 | 2428 | 0 | 746 |
| 2219 | 113 | 559 | 53 |
| 2220 | 484 | 2482 | 228 |
| 2221 | 2078 | 508 | 734 |
| 2222 | 1979 | 280 | 628 |
| 2223 | 2307 | 0 | 707 |
| 2231 | 3701 | 455 | 1442 |
| 2232 | 2529 | 586 | 980 |
| 2233 | 596 | 541 | 252 |
| 2234 | 2055 | 1379 | 726 |
| 2235 | 9 | 1768 | 4 |
| 2236 | 2982 | 3344 | 1157 |
| 2237 | 4 | 916 | 1 |
| 2238 | 527 | 534 | 205 |
| 2239 | 0 | 1289 | 0 |
| 2240 | 77 | 1969 | 28 |
| 2241 | 0 | 1426 | 0 |

## FUTURE LAND USE

The future conditions traffic analysis assumes full buildout as represented in the City's current General Plan. The General Plan outlines the densities and types of land uses expected will be built throughout the city. This data was used to validate and modify the projected socioeconomic conditions used in MAG's travel demand model for the TAZ in and around the City.

## TRAVEL DEMAND MODELING

Future travel demand projections are a function of land use and socio-economic conditions. MAG's regional travel demand model was used to accomplish this effort. First, the TAZ from MAG's model was divided into smaller TAZ to more accurately model traffic demand within and around the city. Using existing traffic and land use data from Pleasant Grove City, the travel demand model was calibrated to represent existing traffic conditions in Pleasant Grove City. Once the travel demand model was up to date for existing conditions, the model used future land uses and socioeconomic data to predict future roadway traffic volumes and roadway conditions.

## PROJECTED TRAFFIC VOLUMES AND CONDITIONS

The resulting output of the travel demand model consisted of projected traffic volumes on all the major streets throughout the city. This data was used to formulate roadway improvements on individual streets. Various alternatives were modeled and analyzed to develop these improvements. Various measures of effectiveness were considered to establish the projected traffic volumes and conditions for future roadway improvements, including Level of Service, delay, and overall safety. Pleasant Grove's existing and 2040 traffic scenarios were modeled. The following scenarios of broad alternatives are described in greater detail below.

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

Existing conditions were simulated using the travel demand model. These conditions were reviewed and compared with existing operations and traffic volumes to determine deficiencies or problems caused by existing travel demand as opposed to growth in travel demand. Existing traffic volumes and LOS are depicted in Figure 4.

## NO-BUILD CONDITIONS

The no-build conditions consisted of modeling the potential development and growth throughout the city without making any additional improvements beyond what is already on the MAG Long Range (20-year) Plan. Figure 6 shows the resulting traffic volumes (2050 projections) and LOS. This scenario was modeled to help pinpoint various problem areas throughout the city and demonstrate the need for traffic improvements. This option assumes that MAG would finish the traffic improvements on the current plan by 2050, including widening State Street to seven lanes and widening Pleasant Grove Blvd to five lanes. Regardless of these assumed improvements, a few roadways throughout the city are expected to perform at an undesirable LOS without any additional traffic improvements:

- 100 East from 200 South to 1100 North.
- Pleasant Grove Boulevard from Sam White Lane to State Street.

In addition to basic roadway widening concerns, various intersections are anticipated to operate poorly without proper improvements, including:

- 200 South \& State Street
- 200 South \& Pleasant Grove Blvd
- 200 South \& 300 East
- 200 South \& Murdock Drive
- 600 West \& Center Street
- 1300 West \& 1000 South
- 100 East \& State Street
- 100 East \& 1800 North
- Canyon Road \& 2600 North
- Canyon Road \& 4000 North
- 1100 North \& 600 West
- 1100 North \& 1300 West
- 1100 North \& 2000 West
- 1800 North \& 600 West
- 1800 North \& 1300 West
- 2600 North \& 600 West
- 2600 North \& 900 West
- 2600 North \& 1300 West
- 4000 North \& 900 West
- Locust Drive \& 1000 South
- Locust Drive \& 200 South


## BUILD CONDITIONS

The 2050 build scenario was developed while attempting to balance transportation needs with realistically available funding. Figure 7 outlines future improvements throughout the city. Figure 8 shows the anticipated traffic volumes and LOS for all implemented improvements. The next chapter outlines the details of these future improvements.


## Transportation Master Plan

Figure 6: 2050 No-Build Level of Service with Traffic Volume
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Figure 7: Roadway Master Plan
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Pleasant Grove
Figure 8: 2050 Build LOS with Volumes Map

### 5.0 Alternatives Evaluation and Guidelines

After evaluating the existing and future conditions, the following pages outline several guidelines to accommodate future traffic volumes and roadway conditions.

## ROADWAY FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION

Transportation planning allows for adequate transportation solutions and connectivity with the surrounding areas while identifying ways impacts can be kept to a minimum. The key to maintaining this balance exists in the ability to adequately plan for major corridors that minimize traffic in neighborhoods while at the same time coordinating land use and transportation plans to capitalize on the efficient movements of people and goods. A hierarchy of streets known as a Functional Classification of Streets is defined to accomplish this objective. The functional classification scheme coincides with the surrounding areas. The city has defined a functional classification system consisting of the following roadway classifications:

- Major Arterial ( 5 to 7 lanes $-112^{\prime} \& 136^{\prime}$ right of way)
- Minor Arterial (3 lanes - 76' right of way)
- Industrial Arterial (3 lanes - 76' right of way)
- Collector (2 to 3 lanes - $70^{\prime}$ right of way)
- Local Road (2 lanes -48' \& 56' right of way)

Each of these roadway classifications has a specific purpose and function. For example, the primary purpose of an arterial street is to move traffic, accommodate longer trips, and serve higher-density retail and commercial land uses. Long continuous routes with high traffic volumes and speeds characterize arterial roadways. On the other hand, local roads are intended to provide local access to individual properties. Local roads are shorter in length with lower speeds and volumes. Collector roads provide a transition between arterials and local roadways by providing both access and traffic-moving capability. Collector-type facilities serve moderate traffic volumes and speeds.

Table 8 and Table 9 summarize some of the planning and design issues for each roadway classification, including right-of-way width, number of travel lanes, access control, traffic capacity, speed, trip length, and expected accident rate. In addition, the city designed typical cross-sections for each of the roadway classifications listed above. Figure 9 and Figure 10 illustrate these typical cross-sections. The typical crosssections below are for reference only; use Pleasant Grove's standards and specifications for the design. Figure 11 shows the functional classification assigned to all main roadways in the city.

Table 8: Functional Classification Planning and Design

| Functional Group | Right-of-Way <br> Width | No. of Travel <br> Lanes | Access Control | Traffic Capacity <br> (vehicles per day) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Arterial | 136 feet | 7 | Public Streets Only | $<64,000$ |
| Major Arterial | 112 feet | 5 | Public Streets Only | $<42,000$ |
| Minor Arterial | 76 feet | 3 | Encourage Public Streets Only | $<17,800$ |
| Collector | 70 feet | 2 | Control Driveway Spacing | $<16,200$ |
| Local | 56 feet | 2 | Varies | $<2,000$ (\& varies) |

Table 9: Functional Classification Operations

| Functional Group | Speed (mph) | Typical Trip Length | Typical Accident Rate <br> (Accidents per million vehicle miles) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Arterial | $45+(\&$ varies) | 3 to 15 miles | 3 |
| Minor Arterial | 35 to 45 (\& varies) | 1 to 5 miles | 6 |
| Collector | 25 to 40 (\& varies) | $<2$ miles | 8 |
| Local | $<25$ (\& varies) | $<0.5$ miles | Varies |

At the intersections of many major and minor arterials, traffic volumes are expected to be high enough to potentially warrant additional turning lanes, such as exclusive right-turn or dual left-turn lanes. The city will require widening some localized intersections to accommodate these extra lanes. As City staff reviews traffic impact studies submitted by developers, attention to intersection operations surrounding the future development to determine the need for additional auxiliary lanes. In addition, the city will conduct a detailed intersection analysis of existing traffic operations during every major review of the City TMP once every two to three years.

The city may determine that exclusive bus turnout lanes are necessary for specific locations based on a case-by-case basis to preserve roadway capacity; additional widening for exclusive bus turnout lanes does not appear necessary. Unless otherwise specified by the city, bus maneuvers will primarily occur within the shoulder areas at designated bus stops.

Roadway designs need to provide adequate curb radii at intersections based on the specific roadway classifications of the intersecting roads. Table 10 outlines appropriate turning radii for corresponding intersecting roadway classifications.

Table 10: Intersection Curb Radii Chart

| Cross Street | Road Types |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Major Arterial | Minor Arterial | Collector | Local |
| Major Arterial | $35^{\prime}$ | $35^{\prime}$ | $35^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Minor Arterial | $35^{\prime}$ | $35^{\prime}$ | $30^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Collector | $35^{\prime}$ | $30^{\prime}$ | $30^{\prime}$ | $25^{\prime}$ |
| Local | N/A | N/A | $25^{\prime}$ | $25^{\prime}$ |

Figure 9: Typical Cross-Sections-Arterial Roads
MAJOR ARTERIAL (136' ROW) - 7 Lanes
MAY APPLY TO UDOT ROADWAYS


MAJOR ARTERIAL (112' ROW) - 5 Lanes
PLEASANT GROVE BLVD


## MINOR ARTERIAL (76' ROW) - 3 Lanes



INDUSTRIAL ARTERIAL (76' ROW) - 3 Lanes
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Figure 10: Typical Cross-Sections - Collectors \& Local Roads


RESIDENTIAL LOCAL ROAD (56' ROW) - 2 Lanes


RESIDENTIAL SUB-LOCAL ROAD (48 \& 56' ROW) - 2 Lanes


For construction, apply Pleasant Grove City standards.


Transportation Master Plan
Figure 11: Future Functional
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## ALTERNATIVE TRANSPORTATION MODES

## MASS TRANSIT

As Pleasant Grove City and the surrounding areas continue to grow, roadways will become more congested due to the increasing number of vehicles. To help alleviate some of the congestion and reduce the number of vehicles on the roadway system, alternative modes of transportation will become increasingly important.

The Utah Transit Authority (UTA) is the public transportation provider in Pleasant Grove. UTA operates fixed-route buses, express buses, bus rapid transit (BRT), ski buses, light rail, and commuter rail. In this capacity, UTA currently operates two bus routes in Pleasant Grove ( $807 \& 850$ ). As demand increases, Pleasant Grove and UTA have the responsibility to collaborate and develop transit plans that cater to alternative transportation options for residents.

As part of MAG's Trans Plan 50, a central light rail line is scheduled and unfunded for phase 2, which will travel from Provo to American Fork. Pleasant Grove and UTA will continue to plan for future transit needs. The following paragraphs outline several guidelines for increasing and improving alternative transportation modes in Pleasant Grove City.

## UTA LOCAL/EXPRESS BUS SERVICE

Bus service helps provide a low-cost alternative travel mode for the public while benefiting communities. With the continued growth in Pleasant Grove, bus routes need to expand to meet the increasing demand for service. Currently, several different regional bus routes pass through Pleasant Grove. Figure 13 shows the new bus routes. The City and UTA need to coordinate to solidify these routes to provide optimum linkage between the commercial/industrial and residential areas in Pleasant Grove.

UTA has no specific plans to expand the local bus service. However, bus route planning is an ongoing process, and as the need arises, Pleasant Grove will seek to add more services. City planning officials have indicated that additional local bus service could be considered by UTA using the following routes: 100 East; the future 1000 South/1200 East (Lindon) Connection; Main Street/Geneva Road; 3300 North; and 900 West (North of 3300 North). Additionally, MAG has considered routes on 2000 West/700 North (Lindon)/1000 South, 500 East, 1100 North, 200 South, and State Street between Main Street and Pleasant Grove Blvd.

## INTERCITY CONNECTOR

MAG's Regional Transportation Plan identifies the Intercity Connector as a Phase 1 project between 2009 and 2015; the project has a triangular shape north of University Parkway with an extension near the tip of the triangle. The extension runs from UTA's park-and-ride facility near the American Fork Main Street/I15 Interchange to downtown Pleasant Grove. The eastern part of the triangle is at University Parkway and State Street in Orem. The western tip is at 1200 South and Geneva Road. The system runs south through Provo, using University Avenue and State Street, through Springville using Main Street, and through Spanish Fork using U.S. Hwy. 89. The Intercity Connector will provide an interface with the various FrontRunner stations in American Fork, Vineyard, and Orem.

## BUS RAPID TRANSIT (BRT)

The Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) identifies the BRT as a Phase I project. The RTP identifies a Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) project between Provo and Orem. The termini for this project are 1200 South/Geneva Road in Orem and 100 West and approximately 1800 South in Provo. It will interface with the Intercity Connector on University Parkway and 1200 South in Orem and the FrontRunner commuter rail to the south in Provo.

## LIGHT RAIL

A TRAX extension project from Lehi to Provo is in the planning phases, and the MAG Regional Transportation Plan is a Vision Project; this means it is unfunded and will not be implemented until sometime after 2030. The route would follow the rail line east of I-15 that UTA owns in Lehi, American Fork, Pleasant Grove, Lindon, and Vineyard. From Vineyard, the route is in the FrontRunner right-of-way. The TRAX line parallels and is adjacent to State Street in Pleasant Grove.

## COMMUTER RAIL TRANSIT

FrontRunner commuter rail is currently under construction between downtown Salt Lake City and Provo (approximately 100 West/ 1800 South). The FrontRunner commuter rail is anticipated to be completed and operational sometime in the year 2013. Stations that are planned that are near Pleasant Grove (but not in Pleasant Grove) are American Fork and Vineyard.

## INTERMODAL CENTER

There is a possibility of developing an intermodal center that would accommodate light rail, the Intercity Connector, and local/express bus in downtown Pleasant Grove at about 200 West and 200 South. Approximately one acre of vacant land is at this location (behind the post office) for a light rail station with some parking facilities. However, there has been some thought that this site may be too small and may need a larger site to accommodate the TRAX station, the Intercity Connector, the local/express bus, and a complement of parking. Coordination between the City and UTA will take place soon on this site.

## BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES PLAN

Trails are a crucial element of the transportation system and improve the overall quality of life for the community. Trails throughout the City parallel roadways but may also follow canals, rivers, utility corridors, and natural drainage channels. Pedestrians, bicyclists, and equestrians (in rural areas) could share these routes. Figure 12 shows the location of the proposed projects. Table 11 outlines the proposed active transportation list. More information on the Pleasant Grove Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan (Adopted November 2013) can be found on the Pleasant Grove City website, Microsoft Word PG Final Plan DRAFT-11-26-13.docx (plgrove.org).

## TRAIL PRIORITIES

The city has indicated that it will continue with its recreational trails priorities of the past plan, which are: Battle Creek Trailhead Park; Bonneville Shoreline Trail and Trailhead Parks (upper and lower); Wetlands in the Grove (connect trail systems in current developments and adjacent cities); bike paths (city-wide along existing collectors and some arterials); and Murdock Canal Trail.

Table 11: Trail Descriptions

| Project Number | Name/Location |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pleasant Grove Trails - North/South |  |
| 1 | Upper Bonneville Shoreline Trail - unimproved hiking, mountain bike, and equestrian trail |
| 2 | Bonneville Shoreline Trail - unimproved hiking/mountain bike trail (regional) |
| 3 | Murdock Canal Trail - multi-use trail (existing canal (regional) with equestrian) |
| 4 | 1500 East - pedestrian route/bike lane |
| 5 | Dalton Ave/1260 East - pedestrian route/ bike lane |
| 6 | 500 East - pedestrian route/bike lane |
| 7 | Locust Avenue - pedestrian route/bike lane |
| 8 | State Street - pedestrian route/bike route |
| 9 | Old Fort Wall (300 East to 100 West) - pedestrian route |
| 10 | 100 East/A. F. Canyon Road Trail - pedestrian route/bike lane |
| 11 | Main Street/Geneva Road - pedestrian route/bike lane |
| 12 | 600 West - pedestrian route/bike lane |
| 13 | 900 West (2600 North to city limits) - pedestrian route/bike lane |
| 14 | 900 West (1000 South to Pleasant Grove Boulevard) - pedestrian path |
| 15 | 1300 West (city limits to 2600 North) - pedestrian route/bike lane |
| 16 | 1450 West (2600 North to Murdoch Canal Road) - pedestrian route/bike lane |
| 17 | Pleasant Grove Boulevard - multi-use trail (regional) |
| 18 | 2000 West Ditch Trai//Monet Drive - multi-use trail |
| 19 | 2000 West Trail - multi-use trail (regional) |
| Pleasant Grove Trails - East/West |  |
| 20 | 1000 South - pedestrian route/bike lane (regional) |
| 21 | 700 South (Sam White Lane) - pedestrian route/bike lane |
| 22 | Utah Valley Drive (500 South) - pedestrian route/bike lane |
| 23 | Old Fort Wall (300 South to 100 North) - pedestrian route |
| 24 | 200 South (200 South/220 South/100 South) - pedestrian route/bike lane |
| 25 | 100 South/Center Street - pedestrian route/bike lane |
| 26 | Rail Trail - UTA rail right-of-way next to State Street(regional) multi-use |
| 27 | 400 North - pedestrian route/bike lane |
| 28 | 500 North/Grove Creek Drive - pedestrian route/bike lane |
| 29 | 1100 North - pedestrian route/bike lane |
| 30 | 1800 North - pedestrian route/bike lane |
| 31 | 2600 North - pedestrian route/bike lane |
| 32 | 3300 North - pedestrian route/bike lane |

From the 2009 TMP Document



## BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS

There are several observations about bicycle and pedestrian transportation during the development of this TMP. Consequently, the city will seek to:

- Install painted bike Lanes - 4 to 5 feet wide next to the general-purpose lane.
- Construct multi-use trails -10 to 12 feet wide, when possible, if there is enough room.
- Separate equestrian facilities from bike/pedestrian facilities, but both can be within the same corridor.
- Provide connection between parks/schools and bike/pedestrian facilities.
- Connect mass transit facilities with bike/pedestrian facilities.
- Join sidewalks where gaps exist, particularly on busy, high-speed roads and roads designated as pedestrian routes.
- Develop priorities for providing sidewalks on streets where gaps exist throughout the city.
- Coordinate and interconnect trails with adjacent cities (Lindon, American Fork, Highland, and Cedar Hills), the County, and the Forest Service.
- Avoid placing bicycle facilities on high-speed and busy roads.
- Conduct planning/engineering studies to locate, design, and acquire ROW for the trails concerning bike, pedestrian, and other trail facilities.
- Make plans to implement the "Trails" Plan (include the facilities in various street projects, as it is harder to retrofit facilities).
- Develop multi-use trails in the urban environment.
- Maintain street pavement in good condition and pave roadway shoulders with bike lanes.
- Coordinate with UDOT relative to pedestrian and bike facilities on state roads (i.e., State Street, Geneva Road, 100 East, etc.)
- Execute and finalize an agreement with the Murdock Canal Company as soon as possible to formally make available the canal road as a trail to the public. The Canal Company is committed to piping or covering the canal, and the city has indicated a desire to pave a trail of fifteen feet or more over the top within the next three years. The Canal Company expects to start work during the summer of 2009. A planned multi-use trail with an equestrian facility is within this corridor.
- Note the State of Utah permits bicycles on all Utah roads except for access-controlled freeways. The designation of certain roads as Class II (bike lane) or Class III (bike route) facilities does not imply that these are the only roadways intended for bicycle use. The designation of a Class II and III network of on-street bikeways recognizes that certain roadways are optimal bicycle routes because of directness or access to significant destinations.


## NEW TRAILS

After evaluating the existing bicycle and pedestrian facilities, the southeastern quadrant and other miscellaneous city locations could use some facilities to make the bicycle/pedestrian facilities network a complete system and interconnect them with other planned facilities. The list below reflects the planned additions to the network:

- 1300 East/Dalton Drive (200 South-Grove Creek Drive)
- Grove Creek Drive (100 East-1100 North, approximately 1050 East)
- 500 East ( 200 South-Murdock Drive)
- 400 North (100 East-600 West)
- State Street (south of Pleasant Grove Boulevard)
- 700 South (1300 West-Pleasant Grove Blvd.)


## INTER-JURISDICTION COORDINATION

During the evaluation of the existing and planned bicycle/pedestrian facilities, several facilities in the City's plan did not connect with facilities in neighboring authorities and ended. Coordination between Pleasant Grove and the adjacent authorities to make it possible for the bicycle/pedestrian facilities to be continuous across city boundaries.

The following Pleasant Grove bicycle and pedestrian facilities do not connect with a comparable counterpart in one of the neighboring cities:

## Bike/Pedestrian Facilities that do not connect with Lindon City's Facilities

- 1500 East
- Locust Avenue
- Main Street (PG)/Geneva Road (Lindon)

Bike/Pedestrian Facilities that do not connect with American Fork City's Facilities

- 700 South/Sam White Lane Trail
- "220 South" Trail
- 1100 North Trail
- 1800 North Trail
- 2600 North Trail


## Bike/Pedestrian Facilities that do not connect with Highland City's Facilities

- 3300 North Trail


## Bike/Pedestrian Facilities that do not connect with Cedar Hills City's Facilities

- American Fork Canyon Trail
- 900 West Trail


## DEFINITIONS OF BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES

To assist the city in planning and discussing bicycle and pedestrian facilities, and have an understanding of these facilities, some definitions of these facilities are below:

- Bike Lane: A portion of a designated roadway for the preferential or exclusive use of bicyclists by striping, signing, and pavement markings.
- Bikeway or Bike Route: A generic term for any road, street, path, or travel way, which in some manner is specifically designated for bicycle travel, regardless of whether such designated facilities are for the exclusive use of bicycles or shared with other transportation modes.
- Bicycle Route system: A system of bikeways designated by the authority having authority with appropriate directional and information route markers, with or without specific bicycle route numbers. Bike routes establish a continuous routing but may be a combination of any bikeways.
- Rail-Trail: A shared use path, either paved or unpaved, built within the right-of-way of an existing or former railroad.
- Roadway: The portion of the highway, including shoulders, intended for vehicular use.
- Shared Roadway: A roadway without a bikeway designation that allows bicycle and motor vehicle travel and may have wide curb lanes or paved shoulders.
- Shared or Multiple Use Path or Trail: A pathway that is physically separated from motorized vehicular traffic by open space or a barrier and which is either within the highway right-of-way or
within an independent right-of-way that is open for use by bicyclists, pedestrians, skaters, wheelchair users, joggers, and other non-motorized users.
- Signed Shared Roadway: A roadway identified as a preferred bike route by signing.
- Shoulder: The portion of the roadway (paved or unpaved) contiguous with the traveled way for accommodation of bicycle travel, stopped vehicles, emergency use, and for lateral support of subbase, base, and surface courses.
- Sidewalk: The portion of a street or highway right-of-way designed for preferential or exclusive use by pedestrians.


## REFERENCES FOR BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES

The city will obtain and use the following references for planning and designing bicycle and pedestrian facilities:

- AASHTO Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities, 1999
- UDOT's Guide for Bicycle and Pedestrian Accommodations
- Portland Pedestrian Design Guide, June 1998
- City of Portland, Office Transportation, Bicycle Master Plan, July 8, 1998
- Victoria Transport Policy Institute, Pedestrian and Bicycle Planning: A Guide to Best Practices, April 2006
- Pleasant Grove Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan


## SIGNAL INVENTORY

Figure 14 shows the location of the existing and future traffic signals. All the intersection improvements are based on future traffic projections. Future traffic signals or roundabouts will require a detailed traffic study documenting the need for such intersection improvements. All future signal locations shown in Figure 14 are pending; the future signal locations meet the signal warrants outlined in the MUTCD.

One signal is expected at the realignment of Center Street and 600 West. Because this signal is near the existing traffic signal at State Street and Pleasant Grove Boulevard, the two will require coordinated timing. Three other future traffic signals fall on 2000 West, one on 700 North, and two on 100 East. The city will consider roundabouts as a viable alternative at main intersections in place of or where traffic signals are not warranted.

## SAFETY

One of the main goals of the TMP and long-term transportation planning is to envision traffic growth and provide adequate facilities as the need arises. Another goal of equal importance is constructing these future facilities for safe operations. The City will build and maintain these facilities to design and engineering standards from the Pleasant Grove ordinances, the American Association of State Highway Transportation Officials (AASHTO) "Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets," the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), and the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) standards and school zone treatments.

## DRIVEWAYS

One safety item that deserves attention is the interaction of driveways on collector and arterial streets. Where accesses do exist on these roadways, the City will require that sufficient space be provided to allow vehicles to turn around on site so that they always exit the driveway facing the street. For example, private residences ought to have circular type driveways to safely enter and exit the driveway with ease. Backing maneuvers into busy streets is dangerous as this is not the typical action drivers expect. Where on-street parking is permitted on busy streets, the city will require that parking stalls be parallel as opposed to perpendicular to traffic to avoid dangerous backing maneuvers into oncoming traffic.

## OFFSET INTERSECTIONS

Offset intersections often have negative impacts on traffic flow and can potentially create capacity problems at intersections where the left turn storage areas overlap, forcing queued vehicles into traffic lanes. Aligning access on both sides of the street will minimize conflict points in the roadway and provide safer and more efficient traffic flow.


## Transportation Master Plan

Figure 14: Signal Inventory

## INTERSECTION TRAFFIC CONTROLS

Stop signs and traffic signals should not be used when not warranted. Studies have shown that in areas where these forms of control have been installed but are not warranted, the motoring public will disregard the control measures and right-of-way assignments at that location. This disregard for traffic control devices creates hazardous locations and a general disregard for other traffic control measures in the area.

## Stop Sign Warrants

The city will use the MUTCD as the standard for determining how and when a stop sign is installed. As stated in the MUTCD, "Stop signs should be used if engineering judgment indicates that one or more of the following conditions exist:

- Intersection of a less important road with a main road where the application of the normal right-ofway rule would not be expected to provide reasonable compliance with the law.
- Street entering a through highway or street.
- Unsignalized intersection in a signalized area; and
- High speeds, restricted view, or crash records indicate a need for control by the stop sign."

The City will minimize the number of vehicles required to stop, if possible, to preserve the capacity and functionality of the roadway network; therefore, the City will determine which road to stop by verifying the street carrying the lowest traffic volume. Less restrictive traffic control, such as a yield sign, will be used as an alternative to a stop sign, if possible, to minimize delays. The city will also install yield signs in compliance with the MUTCD guidelines. Stop signs should not be used to control speed but to designate right-of-way at intersecting roadways. Multi-way stop control may be used as a safety measure at intersections where the traffic volume is equal for all approaches and where safety is of concern or as an interim measure where a traffic signal is justified and has yet to be installed. City Staff will use engineering judgment and the guidelines outlined in the MUTCD to determine the appropriate application of stop and yield signs.

## Traffic Signal Warrants

The city will not install traffic signals unless at least one or more of the eight traffic signal warrants (as outlined in the MUTCD) have been met. Even if warrants are met for a particular intersection, City Staff will need to base the decision for installing a traffic signal based on information obtained through engineering studies and comparisons with the requirements outlined in the MUTCD. As stated in the MUTCD, "the satisfaction of a traffic signal warrant or warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic control signal." The eight warrants outlined in the MUTCD include the following:

- Warrant 1: Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume
- Warrant 2: Four-Hour Vehicular Volume
- Warrant 3: Peak Hour
- Warrant 4: Pedestrian Volume
- Warrant 5: School Crossing
- Warrant 6: Coordinated Signal System
- Warrant 7: Crash Experience
- Warrant 8: Roadway Network


## Roundabouts

Many communities in the United States are beginning to embrace the concept of roundabouts. A roundabout is an intersection control measure used successfully in Europe and Australia for many years. A roundabout is a circular-raised-center island with deflecting islands on the intersecting streets to direct traffic movement around the circle. Traffic circulates counterclockwise direction, making right turns onto the intersecting streets. There are no traffic signals but entering traffic yields vehicles already in the roundabout.

Advantages of roundabouts include reduced traffic delays, increased safety, and reduced right-of-way requirements. They can reduce delays compared to a signalized intersection due to eliminating the stop phase. At the same time, roundabouts can improve safety because the number of potential impact points and conflict points the driver must monitor are both reduced over a conventional four-way intersection. Professionally designed roundabouts can accommodate emergency vehicles, trucks, and snow-plowing equipment.

Unlike the typical New England "traffic circle" or "rotary," design standards for roundabouts are extremely specific, and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has prepared a design guide for modern roundabouts in the United States. Development of a roundabout will only occur because of an intersection study performed by a qualified Traffic Engineer and when the minimum capacity and design criteria are met. The FHWA has determined that the maximum flow rate that a roundabout can accommodate depends on the geometric elements (circle diameter, number of lanes, etc.), the circulating flow (vehicles going around the circle), and entry flow (vehicles entering the roundabout). A single-lane roundabout can accommodate up to 1,800 vehicles per hour, and a double-lane roundabout can accommodate up to 3,400 vehicles per hour. Figure 15 shows an example of a typical single-lane roundabout design.

Figure 15: Typical Roundabout Design


The National Transportation Research Board examined traffic delays before and after roundabouts were installed at eight intersections in the United States. The study determined that delays (the time spent stopped and moving up to the intersection) decreased on average by 78 percent and 76 percent during the AM Peak Hour and PM Peak Hour, respectively. The results indicate that roundabouts can reduce congestion in certain circumstances. In addition, the FHWA studied the safety characteristics of a sample of eleven roundabouts in the United States. The agency determined that the number of personal injury accidents and property damage-only accidents decreased by 51 percent and 29 percent, respectively, after roundabouts replaced conventional intersections. Roundabouts are an appropriate solution for certain problem intersections in the region. Figure 7 shows the potential future roundabout locations. The city will build roundabouts at these locations pending, more detailed traffic analysis as the need arises.

## TRAFFIC CALMING

Street patterns are typically developed in response to the community's desires at construction time. In Utah, the history of using a grid system of large blocks and wide roads for planning and development purposes started long ago and has proven efficient for moving people and goods throughout a network of surface streets. However, the nature of a grid system with wide and long, straight roads can result in excessive speeds. For that reason, the city will implement traffic calming measures (TCMs) where appropriate speed reduction on residential roadways. The Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) has established a definition for traffic calming that reads: "Traffic calming is the combination of mainly physical measures that reduce the negative effects of motor vehicle use, alter driver behavior, and improve conditions for non-motorized street users." Altering driver behavior includes lowering speeds, reducing aggressive driving, and increasing respect for non-motorized street users.

## TYPES OF TRAFFIC CALMING MEASURES

There are several types of TCM grouped into three categories depending on the level of control or effect on traffic flow and speeds. Category One measures are the least restrictive, while Category Three is the most dramatic. These categories are outlined in further detail below. Several factors can influence the choice of TCMs, including the location, street classification, street geometry, adjacent land uses, public transit needs, budget, climate, aesthetics, and community preferences.

## Category One - Traffic Control Devices

Traffic control devices consist of signs, signals, and pavement markings to regulate, warn, guide, and provide information to drivers. Examples include regulator signs (i.e., speed limit signs), warning signs (i.e., pedestrian warning signs), traffic signals, etc. Often traffic control devices are overused as TCMs. Though the function of traffic calming devices is often like that of TCMs, specific traffic control devices should not be overused to communicate different purposes. One of the primary purposes of traffic control devices is to inform drivers of traffic laws and specific right-of-ways to maintain order and safety. Overuse of such traffic control devices diminishes their intended purpose. For example, the MUTCD states that "stop signs should not be used for speed control." When used following the guidelines outlined in the MUTCD, traffic control devices can assist as part of roadway/intersection designs to calm traffic where necessary.

## Category Two - Street Modification

Street modification TCMs include actions that physically alter the vertical or horizontal alignment of the roadway. Vertical changes include speed humps, speed tables, raised intersections, etc. Horizontal changes include chicanes and lateral shifts. Other street modifications TCMs include constrictions (i.e., narrowing, pinch points, islands, chokers, etc.), narrow pavement widths (i.e., medians, edge treatments, bulb-outs, etc.), entrance features, roundabouts, small corner radii, street closures, and streetscaping (i.e., surface textures and colors, landscaping, street trees, street furniture, etc.).

## Category Three - Route Modification

Route modifications consist of altering available routes of traffic flow. Examples include one-way streets, diverters, closures, and turn prohibitions. Instead of attempting to change drivers' behavior (Categories One and Two), route modification TCM attempts to alter drivers' routes altogether.

## STREETSCAPING

Streetscaping includes the planning and placement of items such as street furniture, lighting, art, trees, landscaping, and side treatments along streets and intersections. Although a city can implement streetscaping without traffic calming, TCMs need a certain element of streetscaping to be functional. Streetscaping softens the appearance of speed humps or tables and enhances the aesthetics of roundabouts and other constrictions. Landscaping and other roadside treatments make street closures more effective and safer by highlighting the presence of the measure.

## OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

An important consideration for TCMs is spacing. If TCMs are too far apart (greater than 600 to 1000 feet), speeding can occur between the measures. TCMs need spacing of 200 to 300 feet apart, so vehicles will not have sufficient distance to accelerate between measures. Other considerations when deciding which TCMs to install include snow removal maintenance and emergency vehicle access. Some TCMs, for example, speed humps or tables, may decrease the efficiency of snow removal and emergency vehicle access.

## INSTALLATION OF TRAFFIC CALMING MEASURES

The city will base its decision to implement TCMs on the engineering merits of a TCM application, as opposed to the results of a TCM popularity contest between neighborhoods. An engineering study documenting the need for such measures and the nature of the traffic problem via speed and volume measurements will be the determining factor.

The city will then determine if any TCMs can solve the problem and match the terrain, climate, and nature of the street in question. Based on the need and the available tools, the city will temporarily implement one or several measures subject to performance evaluations and neighborhood reviews. The city will compare the before and after results for speed and volume changes to see if the TCMs have performed as expected before implementing these improvements permanently.

To make any TCMs effective, traffic calming must be community-based and as widespread as possible. For example, the repercussions of traffic calming on one street can result in higher speeds on adjacent roads due to a shift in travel patterns. The need for a community-based traffic calming plan is fundamental to
the quality of life for the citizens of the community. The City will produce a more detailed and formal traffic calming plan as needs arise to address appropriate applications, obtain warrants for the installation of different TCMs, and outline suitable installation procedures of different TCMs more specifically.

As Pleasant Grove City develops a traffic-calming plan and implements TCMs, it will consult the latest engineering information to ensure the plan contains the latest and best guidelines. ITE is the definitive resource on traffic-calming issues and produces a significant amount of literature on the subject. A complete discussion on the latest TCMs and related issues is at http://www.ite.org/traffic/index.asp.

## ACCESS MANAGEMENT

Access management deals with coordinating the location, number, spacing, and design of access points to minimize site access conflicts and maximize traffic capacity and roadway safety. Uncoordinated growth along main travel corridors often results in strip development and the proliferation of access points. In many instances, each development along the corridor has its access driveway. Numerous access points along main travel corridors create unnecessary conflicts between turning and through traffic which causes delays and accidents. Numerous derived benefits are from controlling the location and number of access points to a roadway. Those benefits include:

- Improving overall roadway safety
- Reducing the total number of vehicle trips
- Decreasing interruptions in traffic flow
- Minimizing traffic delays and congestion
- Maintaining roadway capacity
- Extending the useful life of roads
- Avoiding costly highway projects
- Improving air quality
- Encouraging compact development patterns
- Improving access to adjacent land uses
- Enhancing pedestrian and bicycle facilities

Guidelines regarding access management throughout Pleasant Grove are referenced in the Appendix.

## CORRIDOR PRESERVATION

Corridor preservation is a tool for transportation planning tool that agencies should use and apply to all future transportation corridors. This plan identifies several new transportation facilities. The city will use corridor preservation techniques when planning for these future facilities. The purposes of corridor preservation are to:

- Preserve the viability of future options,
- Reduce the cost of these options, and
- Minimize environmental and socio-economic impacts of future implementation.

Corridor Preservation seeks to preserve the right-of-way needed for future transportation facilities and prevent development that might be incompatible with these facilities. This is primarily accomplished by the community's ability to apply land use controls such as zoning and development approvals. Adoption of the TMP by Pleasant Grove City is a commitment to citizens and future leaders in the community that the identified future corridors will be the ultimate location for transportation facilities.

The main elements of corridor preservation are ensuring that the preserved corridors are in the correct location and meet the applicable design and right-of-way standards for the type of facility. As the master plan does not define the exact alignment of each future corridor, it becomes the City's responsibility to make sure that the preserved corridors are correct. This will have to be accomplished through the engineering and planning reviews done within the City as development and annexation requests are approved that involve properties within or adjacent to the future corridors.

## CORRIDOR PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES

Some examples of specific corridor preservation techniques that may be most beneficial and easily implemented include the following:

- Developer Incentives and Agreements: Public agencies can offer incentives like tax abatements, density credits, or timely site plan approvals to developers who maintain property within planned transportation corridors in an undeveloped state.
- Exactions: As development proposals are submitted to the city for review, efforts can be made to exact land identified within the future corridors. Exactions are like impact fees; except they pay with land rather than cash.
- Fee Simple Acquisitions: This will consist of hardship purchases or city acquisition of property identified within the corridors. Parcels obtained in fee title can later be sold at market value to the owner of the transportation facility when construction begins.
- Transfer of Development Rights and Density Transfers: Government entities can provide developers and landowners incentives to participate in corridor preservation programs using the transfer of development rights and density transfers. This is a powerful tool in that there seldom is any capital cost to local governments.
- Land Use Controls: This method allows government entities to use police power to regulate the intensity and types of land use. Zoning ordinances are the primary control over land use and the most important land use tools available in corridor preservation programs.
- Purchase of Options and Easements: Options and easements allow government agencies to purchase interests in property within highway corridors without obtaining the full title of the land. Usually, easements are far less expensive than fee title acquisitions.


## TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDIES

As growth occurs, the city needs to evaluate the impacts of future developments on the surrounding transportation networks before approving to build. To accomplish this, a required Traffic Impact Study (TIS) will need to be performed for any development that will generate more than one hundred peak-hour trips. Table 1 gives examples of different land uses that generate more than one hundred peak-hour trips. A TIS will allow the City to determine the site-specific impacts of development, including internal site circulation, access issues, and adjacent roadway and intersection impacts. In addition, a TIS will assist in defining impacts on the overall transportation system in the vicinity of the development. The area and
items to be evaluated in a TIS include key intersections and roads as determined by the City Engineer on a case-by-case basis. Other items that need to be included in a TIS include:

- A description of the project site and study area boundaries, including a site plan and study area map showing the future project access locations and connections to the adjacent road network.
- A description of existing and planned land uses within the study area, including a discussion of the project land use.
- A description of existing and future main roadways and intersections in the study area, including lane configurations and traffic controls.
- A discussion of trip generation, distribution, and assignment methodologies and assumptions.
- A level of service (LOS) and capacity analysis of existing traffic levels and conditions for key roadway segments and intersections.
- A LOS and capacity analysis of background traffic levels and conditions (existing traffic plus additional traffic projected from normal growth rates and from other known developments in the study area at the time of completion) for main roadway segments and intersections.
- A LOS and capacity analysis of background plus project traffic levels and conditions (background traffic plus projected traffic associated with the new project) for key roadway segments and intersections.
- Safety analysis for key roadways and intersections, including applicable accident histories.
- Any applicable yield sign, stop sign, multi-way stop-signs, and traffic signal warrant analyses.
- A determination of the street system's ability to accommodate projected traffic levels.
- Identification of impacts to the existing street system because of the project.
- A discussion of improvements to be implemented as part of the project to accommodate project traffic, such as roadway and intersection widening to provide exclusive turn lanes or modifications to traffic controls.
- A discussion of mitigation measures to be implemented to restore or improve traffic operations to an acceptable LOS on any key roadway segments or at main intersections within the study area.

A qualified Traffic Engineer, chosen by the city at the developer's cost, will conduct each TIS. The City Engineer will determine the scope of each TIS review its contents once complete and provide comments. Upon receiving approval from the City Engineer, the TIS requirement related to the development will be satisfied. If a developer feels that their project does not meet the TIS requirements, the developer will need to provide documentation stating their case for the City Engineer review.

A TIS may be required for developments that do not meet the trip generation threshold ( $\geq 100$ peak-hour trips) if there are unique or controversial issues associated with the project that the City feels need to be addressed. These projects will be identified and evaluated on a case-by-case basis.

## AGENCY COORDINATION

Many of the roads in Pleasant Grove City are owned by or connected to roads owned by other agencies such as UDOT, neighboring cities, and Utah County. A close working relationship needs to be maintained between these different authorities and the city to ensure that roadway projects are coordinated and consistent.

## IMPACT FEES

Impact fees are a way for a community to obtain funds to assist in the construction of needed infrastructure improvements to serve new growth. The premise behind impact fees is that if new development were not allowed, the existing infrastructure would adequately serve the existing level of development in the city. Therefore, new development should pay for improvements required because of new growth. Impact fees are assessed for many types of infrastructure and facilities provided by a community, such as roads, sewer, water, parks, and trails. According to state law, Pleasant Grove cannot use impact fees to correct existing deficiencies in a system, only to fund growth-related capital improvements.

There are many ways to quantify the impact of new growth on the transportation system in Pleasant Grove City. One way to assess the traffic impact is to consider all the needed transportation improvements and eliminate the cost of those necessary improvements to correct existing deficiencies. Another way to determine the traffic impacts from new growth is to estimate the total traffic growth on each road due to new development, and then apply this percentage to the total improvement cost, thus identifying the cost of the eligible improvements for funding through impact fees. The city can use the TMP improvements to identify growth-related improvements and form the basis for a comprehensive impact fee program.

## PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT PROCESS

Public involvement is key to producing an effective and worthwhile transportation master plan for the City to implement and follow. Collecting and responding to public input allows City staff and decisionmakers to consider all the issues and address them appropriately. An intensive effort was put forth to collect public comment regarding this update of the City's transportation master plan, including the following actions:

- Post a draft of the transportation master plan document on the City's website for anyone to download and review.
- Held a public open house on Wednesday, May 13th, 2009.
- Approximately eighty residents signed in at the open house, of which some included couples; as a result, the project team estimated that upwards of one hundred people attended the open house.
- Advertised the public open house by placing announcements on utility bills and the City's newsletter, posting details on the City's website, and mailing individual postcard invitations to any property owners whose property lay within two hundred feet of a planned roadway widening or new roadway alignment (over 1,300 postcards).
- Provided a comment form at the public open house for residents to communicate their concerns and approval of specific elements of the new plan.
- Presented a progress report of the Transportation Master Plan update process at both City Council and Planning Commission Meetings on May 26th and May 28th, respectively.
- Held a final public hearing on June 23rd, 2009, at a joint session of the City Council and Planning Commission.

Public involvement has proven to be a critical element of the transportation planning process. Details of the public involvement effort for this update of the City's TMP are in the appendix of this report. Lastly, as the city updates this plan in the future, the city will collect and consider public input as this plan evolves.

## ACCESS MANAGEMENT

Access management is a term that refers to providing and managing access to land development while maintaining traffic flow and being attentive to safety issues. It includes elements such as driveway spacing, signal spacing, and corner clearance. Access management is a key element in transportation planning, helping to make transportation corridors operate more efficiently and carry more traffic without costly road widening projects, Access management offers local governments a systematic approach to decisionmaking applying principles uniformly, equitably, and consistently throughout the area.

An access management program must address the balance between access and mobility. While the functional classification of roads implies the priority of access versus mobility, access management does much the same thing. Freeway moves vehicles over long distances at high speeds with very controlled access and great mobility. Conversely, residential streets offer high levels of access but at low speeds and with little mobility. Access management standards must account for these distinct functions of various facilities. The following gives the principles of access management and the full access management standards are in Appendix C:

## PRINCIPLES OF ACCESS MANAGEMENT

Constantly growing traffic congestion concerns over traffic safety and the ever-increasing cost of upgrading roads have generated interest in managing access to land development while simultaneously preserving the flow of traffic on the surrounding road system in terms of safety, capacity, and speed. Access management attempts to balance the need to provide good mobility through traffic with the requirements for reasonable access to adjacent land uses.

The most important concept in understanding the need for access management is to ensure the movement of traffic and access to the property are not mutually exclusive (See FIGURE X: Mobility vs. Land Access Representation). No facility can move traffic very well and provide unlimited access simultaneously. The extreme examples of this concept are the freeways and the cul-de-sac. A freeway moves traffic very well with few opportunities for road access, while a cul-de-sac has unlimited opportunities for road access but does not move traffic very well. In many cases, accidents and congestion are the result of streets trying to serve both mobility and access at the same time.

A good access management program will accomplish the following:

- Limit the number of conflict points at the driveway location.
- Separate conflict areas.
- Reduce the interference of trough traffic.
- Provide sufficient spacing for at-grade, signalized intersections.
- Provide adequate on-site circulation and storage.

Access management attempts to end the endless cycle of road improvements followed by increased access, congestion, and the need for more road improvements.

Poor planning and inadequate access control can quickly lead to an unnecessarily high number of direct accesses along roadways. The movements on and off roads at driveway locations, when the spacing of those driveways is too close, can make it difficult for through traffic to flow smoothly at desired speeds and levels of safety. The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) states, "The number of accidents is disproportionately higher at driveways than at other intersections...thus their design and location merit special consideration." Studies have shown that anywhere between 50 and 70 percent of all crashes on the urban street system are access-related.

Fewer direct access, greater separation of driveways, and better driveway design and location are the basic elements of access management. There is less occasion for through traffic to brake and change lanes to avoid turning traffic when Pleasant Grove uses these techniques uniformly and comprehensively.

Consequently, with good access management, traffic flow will be smoother and average travel speeds higher. There will be less potential for accidents. According to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), before and after analysis shows that routes with well-managed access can experience 50 percent fewer accidents than comparable facilities with no access controls.

### 6.0 Potential Funding Sources

Funding sources for transportation are essential if Pleasant Grove City's planned improvements are to be built. Presently there are three sources of revenue available to Pleasant Grove City: federal funding, state funding, and local general funding. The following paragraphs further describe the various transportation funding sources available to the city.

## FEDERAL FUNDING

Federal monies are available to cities and counties through the federal-aid program. The Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) administers the funds. A project must be on the five-year Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) to be eligible.

The Surface Transportation Program (STP) funds projects for any roadway with a functional classification of a collector street or higher. Both rehabilitation and new construction can use STP funds. The Joint Highway Committee programs a portion of the STP funds for projects around the State in urban areas. The State Transportation Commission can use another portion of the STP funds for projects in any area of the State at its discretion. Transportation Enhancement funds are allocated based on a competitive application process. The Transportation Enhancement Committee reviews the applications, and then a portion of these applications are passed to the State Transportation Commission. Transportation enhancements include twelve categories ranging from historic preservation, bicycle, and pedestrian facilities, and water runoff mitigation. Other federal and State trail funds are available from the Utah State Parks and Recreation Program.

## STATE FUNDING

The State Legislation establishes the distribution of State Class B and C Program monies, and the State Department of Transportation administers it. State fuel taxes, registration fees, driver's license fees,
inspection fees, and transportation permits derive the revenue for the program. UDOT keeps seventy-five percent of these funds for its construction and maintenance programs. The rest is made available to counties and cities.

Class B and C funds are allocated to each city and county by a formula based on population, road mileage, and land area. Class B funds go to counties, and Class $C$ funds go to cities and towns. Maintenance and construction projects can use Class $B$ and $C$ funds; however, construction or maintenance projects that exceed $\$ 40,000$ must use thirty percent of those funds. The remainder of these funds can be used to match federal funds or to pay the principal, interest, premiums, and reserves for issued bonds.

## LOCAL FUNDING

Most cities utilize general fund revenues for their transportation programs. Another option for transportation funding includes the creation of special improvement districts. These districts are organized to fund a project to benefit an identifiable group of properties. Another funding source cities use is revenue bonding for projects to benefit the entire community.

Private interests often provide resources for transportation improvements. Developers construct the local streets within subdivisions, dedicate right-of-way, and participate in collector/arterial street construction adjacent to their developments. Developers can also be considered a source of funds for projects using impact fees. These fees are assessed because of the impacts a particular development project will have on the surrounding roadway system, such as the need for traffic signals or street widening.

### 7.0 Transportation Improvement Program

One of the main purposes of the TMP is to plan a street classification system that will serve Pleasant Grove City's transportation needs for the next 20 years. Designating a roadway functional classification system allows the city to preserve the necessary right-of-way along individual roadway corridors for the future upgrade of the existing infrastructure to the master-plan standard. After evaluating the roadway network and projecting future travel demands on each of those roadways, a roadway functional classification was developed (Figure 11).

After evaluating the projected travel demand and future deficiencies in the City's roadway network, a transportation improvement program (TIP) was developed. The TIP indicates the needed improvements at times, provides a planning level cost estimate for each improvement, and identifies potential funding sources (see Table 12 and Figure 16).

If used correctly, this can be a valuable tool for City officials in the budgeting and planning process, as the TIP outlines the anticipated timing, costs, and potential funding sources for transportation improvements.

Improvements are separated into the following categories: short-range ( 0 to 5 years), mid-range ( 5 to 10 years), and long-range ( 10 to 20 years). Regardless of improvements or enhancements to alter transportation modes, private single-occupant vehicles will remain the predominant form of transportation in Pleasant Grove City for the near future. As such, most of the upcoming improvements
involve roadway infrastructure to accommodate future traffic demand projections and maintain acceptable operating conditions.

Several projects do not include planning-level cost estimates. These projects are either already funded, currently under construction, anticipated to be constructed by other jurisdictions or private developers and not require local funds, or are not far enough into the conceptual design stages to determine costs.

As development continues throughout Pleasant Grove City, the City will consult the TMP and TIP to identify improvements that may benefit from work or funds required by individual developers. Consulting the TMP and TIP would help preserve the correct amount of right-of-way. In addition, this would assist in identifying projects the developer may be required to construct or contribute to as part of their required on-site and off-site improvements. However, there are projects not anticipated to be part of any new developments or will not be able to wait for development to occur before the improvements are needed. These projects may not be able to benefit from private funding sources, and the city will have to produce other funding alternatives for these projects.

Finally, the TIP must be reviewed and updated continually to work as designed. The city will modify the TIP by deleting projects that have been completed or are no longer a priority and adding new projects not previously identified. A suitable time for an annual review and update is in January, as this provides sufficient time for any changes to the TIP to be incorporated into the budget planning process for that year. Continual maintenance is critical for the TIP to remain effective over time.

Table 12: Pleasant Grove City Transportation Improvement Program

| Pleasant Grove City Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project <br> No. | Type of Improvement ${ }^{1}$ | Project Location | Jurisdiction(s) | Potential Funding Source² |
| 0-5 Years |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Intersection Realignment/Capacity Improvement | 600 West: Center Street to 1100 North | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 2 | Capacity Improvement / New Traffic Signal (Under Contract) | State Street: American Fork to 200 South | UDOT | F, S, C, O |
| 3 | Alignment Extension | 1000 South: Locust Avenue to 1150 East | Pleasant Grove/ Lindon | C, 0 |
| 4 | Alignment Extension/ New Railroad Crossing | Garden Drive: 1300 West to 1000 West | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 5 | Capacity Improvement with Potential Roundabout (Under Design) | 4000 North: Harvey Park to Canyon Road | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 5-10 Years |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Intersection Improvement | Locust Ave \& 1000 South | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 7 | Capacity Improvement / New Alignment/ New Traffic Signal | 100 South: End of Existing to American Fork Border | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 8 | Capacity Improvement / New Alignment | 220 South: PG Boulevard to 840 West | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 9 | Capacity Improvement / Intersection Improvements | 1300 West: 2600 North to PG Boulevard | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 10 | Capacity Improvement / New Traffic Signal (Under Construction) | 2600 North: American Fork Boundary to 100 East | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 11 | Capacity Improvement | Pleasant Grove Blvd: 2000 West to I-15 Interchange | UDOT | F, S, C, O |
| 12 | Alignment Extension | 800 North: 1300 West to 1100 West | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 13 | Alignment Extension | 450 South: North County Blvd to Evermore Lane | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 14 | Alignment Extension | 900 West: 1800 North to 1600 North | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |


| Pleasant Grove City Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project <br> No. | Type of Improvement ${ }^{1}$ | Project Location | Jurisdiction(s) | Potential Funding Source ${ }^{2}$ |
| 15 | New Alignment | Mill Creek Road: 3300 North to 3700 N (PG)/ Avanyu Dr (Cedar Hills) | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 16 | Intersection Improvement | 600 West \& 1800 North | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 17 | Intersection Improvement | 600 West \& 1100 North | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 10-20 Years |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Capacity Improvement | 700 South/Sam White Lane: PG Blvd to Proctor Ln and 910 West to 750 West | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 19 | Alignment Extension | Murdock Dr: 500 North to 300 North | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 20 | Alignment Extension | 250 West: 700 South to 1000 South | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 21 | Capacity Improvement | Doterra Drive: PG Boulevard to Finish Section | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 22 | Capacity Improvement / New Traffic Signal | 100 East: Valley View Drive to Approximately Mountaintop Cir | Pleasant Grove/ UDOT | F, S, C, O |
| 23 | Potential Roundabout | Locust Ave \& 200 South | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 24 | Potential Roundabout | Main Street \& 200 South | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 25 | Potential Signal | 450 South \& 2000 West | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 26 | Intersection Improvement | 900 West/ 2600 North | Pleasant Grove | C, O |
| 27 | Intersection Improvement | 600 West/ 2600 North | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 28 | Potential Roundabout | 300 East/200 South | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 29 | Potential Intersection Improvement | Murdock Dr/ 200 South | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 30 | Potential Signal | 4000 North/ Canyon Road | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 31 | Alignment Extension | 1105 East: End of Existing to 125 South | Pleasant Grove | C, O |
| 32 | Alignment Extension | Quality Drive: North County Blvd to Garden Grove Lane | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 33 | New Alignment | 750 West: 220 South to 700 South | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |
| 34 | New Alignment | 500 South: 750 West to 250 West | Pleasant Grove | C, 0 |

${ }^{1}$ Miscellaneous local roads have not been included since they will most likely be built by developers as part of their developments.
${ }^{2}$ Potential Funding Sources: F-Federal, S-State, C-City, and O-Other.


## Transportation Master Plan

Figure 16: TIP Project Map
Horrocks.

## Appendix A: Raw Traffic Data

## MetroCount Traffic Executive

## Vehicle Counts

## VehicleCount-247 -- English (ENU)

Datasets:
Site: [Pleasant Grove] Location 1 - on 2600 N between 860 W and 600 W Attribute:
Direction: Box 10

Survey Duration: 10:10 Monday, May 9, 2022 => 12:07 Monday, May 16, 2022,
Zone:
File: $\quad$ Location $1-2600$ N Between $600 \mathrm{~W} \& 900$ W.EC0 (Plus )
Identifier: FZ10RDWC MC56-L5 [MC55] (c)Microcom 19Oct04
Algorithm: Factory default axle (v5.05)
Data type:
Axle sensors - Paired (Class/Speed/Count)
Profile:
Filter time:
Included classes:
10:11 Monday, May 9, 2022 => 12:07 Monday, May 16, 2022 (7.081)

## Speed range:

Direction:
Separation:
Name:
Scheme:
Units:
In profile:
$1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13$
6-99 mph.
North, East, South, West (bound), P = East, Lane $=0-16$
Headway > 0 sec, Span $0-328.084 \mathrm{ft}$
Default Profile
Vehicle classification (Scheme F3)
Non metric (ft, mi, ft/s, mph, lb, ton)
Vehicles $=17131 / 17153$ (99.87\%)

* Monday, May 9, 2022 - Total=3471 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops
$000001000200030004000500 \quad 0600 \quad 0700 \quad 0800090010001100120013001400150016001700180019002000210022002300$

| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 7}$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{-}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 75 | $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ | 111 | 106 | 99 | 94 | 69 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 109 | $\mathbf{1 4 2}$ | 94 | 95 | 109 | 85 | 81 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 40 | 58 | $\mathbf{1 7 8}$ | 94 | 107 | 111 | 110 | 74 | 60 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 83 | 69 | $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ | 88 | 98 | 96 | 99 | 81 | 57 |

PM Peak 1430-1530 (548), PM PHF=0.77

* Tuesday, May 10, 2022 - Total=5574, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 0730-0830 (442), AM PHF=0.80 PM Peak 1430-1530 (596), PM PHF=0.79

* Wednesday, May 11, 2022 - Total=5852, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 56 | 110 | 399 | 423 | 247 | 253 | 281 | 449 | 417 | 318 | 491 | 421 | 522 | 479 | 380 | 278 | 175 | 81 | 32 |  |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 26 | 42 | 109 | 76 | 57 | 65 | 109 | 121 | 68 | 109 | 119 | 139 | 129 | 108 | 86 | 54 | 30 | 11 | 10 |
| 7 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 15 | 96 | 103 | 62 | 64 | 62 | 118 | 120 | 68 | 144 | 98 | 134 | 133 | 90 | 75 | 38 | 20 | 6 | 6 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 25 | 125 | 110 | 57 | 62 | 50 | 105 | 98 | 82 | 107 | 96 | 118 | 114 | 102 | 62 | 43 | 14 | 6 | 3 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 22 | 44 | 136 | 101 | 52 | 70 | 104 | 117 | 78 | 100 | 131 | 108 | 131 | 103 | 80 | 55 | 40 | 17 | 9 | 4 |

AM Peak 0730-0830 (473), AM PHF=0.87 PM Peak 1700-1800 (522), PM PHF=0.94

* Thursday, May 12, 2022 - Total=2234, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 34 | 87 | 384 | 374 | 281 | 222 | 278 | 337 | 193 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 20 | 46 | 93 | 99 | 53 | 63 | 101 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 91 | 92 | 67 | 55 | 65 | 83 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 23 | 106 | 94 | 52 | 60 | 73 | 72 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 13 | 32 | 141 | 95 | 63 | 54 | 77 | 81 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

AM Peak 0730-0830 (432), AM PHF=0.77 PM Peak 1200-1300 (337), PM PHF=0.83

* Friday, May 13, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Saturday, May 14, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Sunday, May 15, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 060 | 0700 | 0800 | 090 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 1300 | 1400 | 150 | 160 | 170 | 180 | 190 | 200 | 210 | 220 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

AM Peak 0000-0100(0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Monday, May 16, 2022 - Total=0 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops

000001000200030004000500060007000800090010001100120013001400150016001700180019002000210022002300


## MetroCount Traffic Executive <br> Vehicle Counts

## VehicleCount-255 -- English (ENU)

Datasets:

Site:
Attribute:
Direction:
Survey Duration:
Zone:
File:
Identifier:
Algorithm:
Data type:
Profile:
Filter time:
Included classes:
Speed range:
Direction:
Separation:
Name:
Scheme:
Units:
In profile:
[Pleasant Grove] Location 2 - On 1800 N between 750 W and 600 W Box 1
8 - East bound $A>B$, West bound $B>A$. Lane: 1
10:14 Monday, May 9, 2022 => 13:20 Monday, May 16, 2022,
Location 2 - On 1800 N between 750 W and $600 \mathrm{~W} . E C 0$ (Plus )
DD252GHQ MC56-L5 [MC55] (c)Microcom 19Oct04
Factory default axle (v5.05)
Axle sensors - Paired (Class/Speed/Count)

10:15 Monday, May 9, 2022 => 13:20 Monday, May 16, 2022 (7.12897)
$1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13$
6-99 mph.
North, East, South, West (bound), P = East, Lane $=0-16$
Headway > 0 sec, Span 0-328.084 ft
Default Profile
Vehicle classification (Scheme F3)
Non metric ( $\mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{mi}, \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{mph}, \mathrm{lb}, \mathrm{ton}$ )
Vehicles $=9840 / 9855$ (99.85\%)

* Monday, May 9, 2022 - Total=2029 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops
$00000100020003000400 \quad 0500 \quad 0600 \quad 07000800 \quad 090010001100120013001400150016001700180019002000210022001300$

| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 7}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 31 | 44 | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | 73 | 81 | 43 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ | 42 | $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ |

PM Peak 1415-1515 (311), PM PHF=0.69

* Tuesday, May 10, 2022 - Total=3155, 15 minute drops


| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 2}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 33 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | 36 | 21 | 38 | 55 | 43 | 48 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | 59 | 68 | 53 | 58 | 38 |
| 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | 42 | 24 | 30 | 33 | 39 | 42 | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ | 47 | 78 | 80 | 43 | 45 | 27 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 9 | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 46 | 39 | 44 | 37 | 40 | 40 | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | 47 | 61 | 65 | 79 | 33 | 35 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 11 | $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ | 38 | 29 | 45 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

AM Peak 0715-0815 (293), AM PHF=0.62 PM Peak 1415-1515 (318), PM PHF=0.69

* Wednesday, May 11, 2022 - Total=3382, 15 minute drops
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllll}0000 & 0100 & 0200 & 0300 & 0400 & 0500 & 0600 & 0700 & 0800 & 0900 & 1000 & 1100 & 1200 & 1300 & 1400 & 1500 & 1600 & 1700 & 1800 & 1900 & 2000 & 2100 & 2200 & 2300\end{array}$

| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 19 | 49 | 239 | 200 | 127 | 136 | 188 | 199 | 197 | 252 | 248 | 285 | 337 | 285 | 226 | 172 | 126 | 46 | 28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 20 | 71 | 32 | 26 | 39 | 56 | 56 | 40 | 62 | 66 | 88 | 70 | 70 | 41 | 29 | 13 | 8 |
| 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 54 | 50 | 33 | 24 | 46 | 46 | 52 | 94 | 61 | 80 | 90 | 86 | 58 | 48 | 30 | 15 | 6 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 45 | 39 | 34 | 45 | 40 | 50 | 46 | 57 | 51 | 67 | 76 | 68 | 56 | 42 | 39 | 11 | 9 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 22 | 120 | 40 | 28 | 41 | 63 | 47 | 43 | 61 | 74 | 72 | 83 | 61 | 42 | 41 | 28 | 7 | 5 |

AM Peak 0715-0815 (290), AM PHF=0.60 PM Peak 1700-1800 (337), PM PHF=0.94

* Thursday, May 12, 2022 - Total=1274, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 30 | 265 | 171 | 120 | 143 | 168 | 199 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 24 | 70 | 32 | 31 | 35 | 59 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 51 | 38 | 31 | 29 | 45 | 43 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 65 | 27 | 26 | 34 | 45 | 44 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 125 | 36 | 31 | 49 | 43 | 53 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

AM Peak 0715-0815 (311), AM PHF=0.62 PM Peak 1200-1300 (199), PM PHF=0.84

* Friday, May 13, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Saturday, May 14, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Sunday, May 15, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 100 |  | 1200 | 1300 | 140 |  | 1600 | 1700 | 180 | 190 | 200 | 210 | 220 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Monday, May 16, 2022 - Total=0 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops

000001000200030004000500060007000800090010001100120013001400150016001700180019002000210022002300

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## MetroCount Traffic Executive

## Vehicle Counts

## VehicleCount-264 -- English (ENU)

Datasets:

## Site:

Attribute:
Direction:
Survey Duration:
Zone:
File:
Identifier:
Algorithm:
Data type:
Profile:
Filter time:
Included classes:
Speed range:
Direction:
Separation:
Name:
Scheme:
Units:
In profile:
[Pleasant Grove] Location 3-On 1300 W inbetween 1440 N and 1340 N Box 15
7 - North bound $A>B$, South bound $B>A$. Lane: 1
10:17 Monday, May 9, 2022 => 13:40 Monday, May 16, 2022,
Location 3-1300 W inbetween 1440 N and 1340 N.EC0 (Plus )
TE782G2C MC5900-X13 (c)MetroCount 09Nov16
Factory default axle (v5.05)
Axle sensors - Paired (Class/Speed/Count)

10:18 Monday, May 9, 2022 => 13:40 Monday, May 16, 2022 (7.14031)
$1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13$
6-99 mph.
North, East, South, West (bound), P = North, Lane $=0-16$
Headway > 0 sec, Span 0-328.084 ft
Default Profile
Vehicle classification (Scheme F3)
Non metric ( $\mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{mi}, \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{mph}, \mathrm{lb}$, ton)
Vehicles $=12329 / 12337$ (99.94\%)

* Monday, May 9, 2022 - Total=2508 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops
$00000100020003000400 \quad 05000600 \quad 0700 \quad 08000900100011001200130014001500160017001800190020001002200102300$

| $\mathbf{-}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 54 | 54 | 82 | 70 | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ | 82 | 55 | 62 | 41 | 27 | 11 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 76 | 73 | 82 | $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ | 69 | 41 | 56 | 35 | 22 | 9 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 94 | 69 | 77 | $\mathbf{9 2}$ | 63 | 66 | 49 | 36 | 20 | 9 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 12 | 47 | 106 | 78 | $\mathbf{8 4}$ | 76 | 82 | 30 | 39 | 33 | 14 | 6 |

PM Peak 1645-1745 (389), PM PHF=0.88

* Tuesday, May 10, 2022 - Total=4055, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 45 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | 59 | 39 | 38 | 76 | 41 | 41 | 79 | 96 | $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ | 97 | 81 | 54 | 45 |
| 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 17 | 45 | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | 45 | 43 | 54 | 59 | 49 | 76 | 91 | 98 | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | 82 | 59 | 43 | 38 |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 18 | $\mathbf{8 4}$ | 54 | 46 | 34 | 35 | 64 | 49 | 104 | 80 | 79 | $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ | 65 | 55 | 59 | 21 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 28 | $\mathbf{8 4}$ | 6 | 14 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

AM Peak 0730-0830 (311), AM PHF=0.93 PM Peak 1700-1800 (431), PM PHF=0.86

* Wednesday, May 11, 2022 - Total=4132, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 13 | 34 | 71 | 265 | 233 | 207 | 159 | 229 | 320 | 298 | 240 | 330 | 337 | 407 | 306 | 224 | 207 | 133 | 65 | 27 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 32 | 65 | 66 | 39 | 43 | 59 | 84 | 50 | 75 | 69 | 112 | 82 | 67 | 60 | 33 | 19 | 8 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 12 | 49 | 58 | 46 | 39 | 47 | 86 | 79 | 61 | 77 | 97 | 107 | 78 | 54 | 56 | 41 | 22 | 8 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 25 | 92 | 58 | 51 | 33 | 74 | 94 | 77 | 58 | 89 | 83 | 89 | 69 | 51 | 42 | 30 | 14 | 4 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 26 | 92 | 52 | 44 | 48 | 65 | 81 | 58 | 71 | 89 | 88 | 99 | 77 | 52 | 49 | 29 | 10 | 7 |

AM Peak 0730-0830 (307), AM PHF=0.83 PM Peak 1700-1800 (407), PM PHF=0.91

* Thursday, May 12, 2022 - Total=1634, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 24 | 52 | 258 | 263 | 185 | 157 | 185 | 267 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 40 | 78 | 63 | 32 | 42 | 64 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 54 | 61 | 40 | 36 | 48 | 71 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 15 | 73 | 62 | 43 | 38 | 40 | 57 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 20 | 91 | 62 | 39 | 51 | 55 | 75 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

AM Peak 0730-0830 (303), AM PHF=0.83 PM Peak 1200-1300 (267), PM PHF=0.89

* Friday, May 13, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Saturday, May 14, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |

AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Sunday, May 15, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 100 |  | 1200 | 1300 | 140 |  | 1600 | 1700 | 180 | 190 | 200 | 210 | 220 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Monday, May 16, 2022 - Total=0 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops

000001000200030004000500060007000800090010001100120013001400150016001700180019002000210022002300

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## MetroCount Traffic Executive

## Vehicle Counts

## VehicleCount-274 -- English (ENU)

Datasets:

Site:
Attribute:
Direction:
Survey Duration:
Zone:
File:
Identifier:
Algorithm:
Data type:
Profile:
Filter time:
Included classes:
Speed range:
Direction:
Separation:
Name:
Scheme:
Units:
In profile:
[Pleasant Grove] Location 4 - On 1100 N Between 860 W and 600 W Box 12
8 - East bound $A>B$, West bound $B>A$. Lane: 0
10:19 Monday, May 9, 2022 => 13:38 Monday, May 16, 2022,
Location 4-1100 N Between 860 W and 600 W.ECO (Plus )
TD487P87 MC5900-X13 (c)MetroCount 09Nov16
Factory default axle (v5.05)
Axle sensors - Paired (Class/Speed/Count)

10:20 Monday, May 9, 2022 => 13:38 Monday, May 16, 2022 (7.13804)
$1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13$
6-99 mph.
North, East, South, West (bound), P = East, Lane $=0-16$
Headway > 0 sec, Span 0-328.084 ft
Default Profile
Vehicle classification (Scheme F3)
Non metric ( $\mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{mi}, \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{mph}, \mathrm{lb}$, ton)
Vehicles $=20704 / 20746$ (99.80\%)

* Monday, May 9, 2022 - Total=4070 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops
 _ - - -

| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 61 | 80 | 151 | 136 | 117 | $\mathbf{1 5 4}$ | 126 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 114 | 134 | 126 | 144 | 102 | 114 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 129 | 123 | 127 | 163 | 126 | 84 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 164 | 131 | 136 | 162 | 119 | 88 | 84 | 40 |

PM Peak 1715-1815 (623), PM PHF=0.96

* Tuesday, May 10, 2022 - Total=6624, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 0745-0845 (492), AM PHF=0.81 PM Peak 1645-1745 (654), PM PHF=0.92

* Wednesday, May 11, 2022 - Total=7035, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 10 | 7 | 11 | 18 | 59 | 149 | 409 | 427 | 372 | 325 | 409 | 564 | 468 | 447 | 536 | 588 | 588 | 538 | 428 | 329 | 200 | 88 | 44 |
| 6 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 27 | 55 | 135 | 112 | 65 | 97 | 158 | 105 | 107 | 107 | 135 | 174 | 150 | 121 | 89 | 50 | 34 | 24 |
| 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 35 | 101 | 86 | 109 | 75 | 101 | 149 | 112 | 117 | 140 | 147 | 140 | 127 | 117 | 88 | 58 | 30 | 11 |
| 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 29 | 100 | 89 | 74 | 87 | 101 | 130 | 123 | 90 | 133 | 160 | 149 | 130 | 96 | 63 | 49 | 11 | 2 |
| 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 31 | 58 | 153 | 117 | 77 | 98 | 110 | 127 | 128 | 133 | 156 | 146 | 125 | 131 | 94 | 89 | 43 | 13 | 7 |

AM Peak 1145-1245 (547), AM PHF=0.87 PM Peak 1615-1715 (627), PM PHF=0.90

* Thursday, May 12, 2022 - Total=2975, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 16 | 58 | 137 | 422 | 413 | 373 | 305 | 377 | 442 | 397 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 27 | 58 | 129 | 139 | 58 | 82 | 103 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 28 | 111 | 88 | 91 | 66 | 86 | 115 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 36 | 102 | 86 | 64 | 90 | 94 | 112 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 31 | 46 | 151 | 110 | 79 | 91 | 115 | 112 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

AM Peak 0715-0815 (493), AM PHF=0.82 PM Peak 1215-1315 (446), PM PHF=0.97

* Friday, May 13, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Saturday, May 14, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Sunday, May 15, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 060 | 0700 | 0800 | 090 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 1300 | 1400 | 150 | 160 | 170 | 180 | 190 | 200 | 210 | 220 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Monday, May 16, 2022 - Total=0 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops

000001000200030004000500060007000800090010001100120013001400150016001700180019002000210022002300

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

## MetroCount Traffic Executive

## Vehicle Counts

## VehicleCount-284 -- English (ENU)

Datasets:

Site:
Attribute:
Direction:
[Pleasant Grove] Location 5-On 100 E between 1100 N and Pleasant Grove Middle School New Box 2
7 - North bound $A>B$, South bound $B>A$. Lane: 2
Survey Duration: 10:24 Monday, May 9, 2022 => 13:33 Monday, May 16, 2022,
Zone:
File:
Identifier:
Algorithm:
Data type:
Profile:
Filter time:
Included classes:
Speed range:
Direction:
Separation:
Name:
Scheme:
Units:
In profile:

Location 5-100 E between 1100 N and Pleasant Grove Middle School.EC0 (Plus )
TZ675G2B MC5900-X13 (c)MetroCount 09Nov16
Factory default axle (v5.05)
Axle sensors - Paired (Class/Speed/Count)

10:25 Monday, May 9, 2022 => 13:33 Monday, May 16, 2022 (7.13087)
$1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13$
6-99 mph.
North, East, South, West (bound), P = North, Lane $=0-16$
Headway > 0 sec, Span 0-328.084 ft
Default Profile
Vehicle classification (Scheme F3)
Non metric (ft, mi, ft/s, mph, lb, ton)
Vehicles $=48779 / 48867$ (99.82\%)

* Monday, May 9, 2022 - Total=9552 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops
$0000010002000300040005000600 \quad 07000800 \quad 09001000110012001300140015001600170018001900200021002200 \quad 2300$

| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 557 | 1120 | 1203 | 1171 | 1502 | 1252 | 979 | 806 | 513 | 338 | 111 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 206 | 277 | 275 | 361 | 299 | 276 | 256 | 149 | 124 | 36 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 297 | 319 | 283 | 394 | 313 | 279 | 237 | 138 | 90 | 33 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 164 | 319 | 310 | 287 | 389 | 328 | 215 | 155 | 109 | 73 | 24 |
| - | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 29 | 29 | 326 | 358 | 12 | 20 | 158 | 17 | 51 | 18 |

PM Peak 1700-1800 (1502), PM PHF=0.95

* Tuesday, May 10, 2022 - Total=16316, 15 minute drops


| $\mathbf{5 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 25 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 11 | 45 | 142 | $\mathbf{3 3 0}$ | 235 | 167 | 180 | 246 | 232 | 234 | 336 | 355 | $\mathbf{4 1 3}$ | 335 | 259 | 198 | 171 | 91 | 35 |
| 14 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 28 | 54 | $\mathbf{2 4 1}$ | 229 | 211 | 172 | 158 | 231 | 212 | 330 | 323 | 346 | $\mathbf{3 7 0}$ | 331 | 245 | 192 | 157 | 91 | 37 |
| 10 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 44 | 74 | $\mathbf{3 1 9}$ | 242 | 188 | 187 | 176 | 263 | 195 | 330 | 324 | 328 | $\mathbf{3 9 9}$ | 330 | 227 | 201 | 152 | 65 | 35 |
| 8 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 15 | 59 | 113 | $\mathbf{3 4 6}$ | 241 | 200 | 192 | 236 | 261 | 204 | 307 | 325 | 344 | $\mathbf{3 8 7}$ | 309 | 198 | 189 | 128 | 60 | 24 |

AM Peak 0715-0815 (1236), AM PHF=0.89 PM Peak 1700-1800 (1569), PM PHF=0.95

* Wednesday, May 11, 2022 - Total=16502, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 66 | 37 | 16 | 28 | 40 | 146 | 300 | 1052 | 1070 | 731 | 703 | 946 | 1199 | 1048 | 988 | 1219 | 1284 | 1513 | 1288 | 1053 | 821 | 561 | 272 | 121 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | 14 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 16 | 57 | 118 | 344 | 207 | 167 | 205 | 327 | 254 | 222 | 292 | 289 | 353 | 350 | 323 | 250 | 151 | 95 | 44 |
| 13 | 13 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 22 | 68 | 254 | 257 | 197 | 150 | 216 | 289 | 278 | 244 | 296 | 311 | 408 | 332 | 267 | 215 | 149 | 64 | 31 |
| 16 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 12 | 47 | 73 | 339 | 213 | 177 | 182 | 221 | 292 | 258 | 245 | 300 | 338 | 367 | 308 | 244 | 169 | 123 | 53 | 26 |
| 13 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 18 | 61 | 102 | 341 | 256 | 150 | 204 | 304 | 291 | 258 | 277 | 331 | 346 | 385 | 298 | 219 | 187 | 138 | 60 | 20 |

AM Peak 0730-0830 (1281), AM PHF=0.93 PM Peak 1700-1800 (1513), PM PHF=0.93

* Thursday, May 12, 2022 - Total=6409, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 0715-0815 (1256), AM PHF=0.89 PM Peak 1215-1315 (968), PM PHF=0.98

* Friday, May 13, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Saturday, May 14, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Sunday, May 15, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops

| 00 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Monday, May 16, 2022 - Total=0 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops

000001000200030004000500060007000800090010001100120013001400150016001700180019002000210022002300


## MetroCount Traffic Executive

## Vehicle Counts

## VehicleCount-294 -- English (ENU)

Datasets:
Site: [Pleasant Grove] Location 6-on 600 W between 550 N \& 400 N

Attribute:
Direction: Box 20
7 - North bound $A>B$, South bound $B>A$. Lane: 0
Survey Duration: 10:33 Monday, May 9, 2022 => 13:41 Monday, May 16, 2022,
Zone:
File: Location $6-600 \mathrm{~W}$ between $550 \mathrm{~N} \& 400$ N.ECO (Plus )
Identifier: TD43MFAP MC5900-X13 (c)MetroCount 09Nov16
Algorithm: Factory default axle (v5.05)
Data type:
Axle sensors - Paired (Class/Speed/Count)
Profile:
Filter time: $\quad 10: 34$ Monday, May 9,2022 => $13: 41$ Monday, May 16, 2022 (7.13047)
Included classes: $\quad 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13$
Speed range: 6-99 mph.
Direction:
Separation:
Name:
Scheme:
Units:
In profile:

North, East, South, West (bound), P = North, Lane $=0-16$
Headway > 0 sec, Span $0-328.084 \mathrm{ft}$
Default Profile
Vehicle classification (Scheme F3)
Non metric (ft, mi, ft/s, mph, lb, ton)
Vehicles $=22438 / 22471$ (99.85\%)

* Monday, May 9, 2022 - Total=4987 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}0000 & 0100 & 0200 & 0300 & 0400 & 0500 & 0600 & 0700 & 0800 & 0900 & 1000 & 1100 & 1200 & 1300 & 1400 & 1500 & 1600 & 1700 & 1800 & 1900 & 2000 & 2100 & 2200 & 2300\end{array}$


| $\mathbf{-}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 2}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| - | 0 | 107 | 106 | 97 | 138 | 150 | 149 | $\mathbf{1 5 9}$ | 104 | 95 | 61 | 42 | 18 |
| - | 45 | 95 | 115 | 118 | 117 | 116 | $\mathbf{1 5 4}$ | 125 | 128 | 94 | 76 | 36 | 19 |
| 0 | 78 | 94 | 96 | 109 | 143 | 157 | $\mathbf{1 7 0}$ | 133 | 89 | 84 | 61 | 28 | 14 |
| 0 | 99 | 97 | 84 | 152 | 150 | 147 | $\mathbf{1 5 9}$ | 131 | 86 | 67 | 59 | 25 | 11 |

PM Peak 1715-1815 (642), PM PHF=0.94

* Tuesday, May 10, 2022 - Total=7184, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 0730-0830 (439), AM PHF=0.86 PM Peak 1715-1815 (667), PM PHF=0.92

* Wednesday, May 11, 2022 - Total=7318, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39 | 15 | 8 | 11 | 32 | 121 | 211 | 395 | 419 | 350 | 318 | 392 | 447 | 449 | 454 | 565 | 639 | 635 | 548 | 401 | 398 | 266 | 142 | 63 |  |
| 16 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 45 | 85 | 111 | 99 | 71 | 84 | 115 | 111 | 99 | 137 | 144 | 163 | 128 | 109 | 101 | 78 | 51 | 16 | 11 |
| 9 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 24 | 50 | 85 | 113 | 78 | 90 | 96 | 109 | 120 | 122 | 130 | 155 | 160 | 139 | 108 | 104 | 85 | 38 | 28 | 7 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 33 | 56 | 116 | 82 | 88 | 75 | 106 | 117 | 105 | 96 | 142 | 173 | 148 | 147 | 88 | 101 | 57 | 31 | 9 | 6 |
| 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 52 | 60 | 109 | 113 | 85 | 82 | 106 | 106 | 113 | 137 | 156 | 167 | 164 | 134 | 96 | 92 | 46 | 22 | 10 | 2 |

AM Peak 0730-0830 (449), AM PHF=0.97 PM Peak 1630-1730 (663), PM PHF=0.96

* Thursday, May 12, 2022 - Total=2949, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 22 | 108 | 213 | 386 | 395 | 319 | 277 | 376 | 406 | 357 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 47 | 59 | 117 | 99 | 67 | 78 | 104 | 78 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 23 | 52 | 89 | 89 | 85 | 57 | 90 | 110 | 99 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 36 | 62 | 110 | 83 | 70 | 67 | 104 | 102 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 41 | 52 | 128 | 106 | 65 | 86 | 104 | 90 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

AM Peak 0715-0815 (444), AM PHF=0.87 PM Peak 1200-1300 (406), PM PHF=0.92

* Friday, May 13, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Saturday, May 14, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Sunday, May 15, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Monday, May 16, 2022 - Total=0 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops

000001000200030004000500060007000800090010001100120013001400150016001700180019002000210022002300

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## MetroCount Traffic Executive

## Vehicle Counts

## VehicleCount-304 -- English (ENU)

Datasets:
Site: [Pleasant Grove] Location 7 - on 500 N between 100 E and 200 E

Attribute:
Direction:
Survey Duration:
Zone:
File:
Identifier:
Algorithm:
Data type:
Profile:
Filter time:
Included classes:
Speed range:
Direction:
Separation:
Name:
Scheme:
Units:
In profile:

Box 14
8 - East bound $A>B$, West bound $B>A$. Lane: 0
10:35 Monday, May 9, 2022 => 13:29 Monday, May 16, 2022,
Location 7 - 500 N between 100 E and 200 E.EC0 (Plus )
TD0275QN MC5900-X13 (c)MetroCount 09Nov16
Factory default axle (v5.05)
Axle sensors - Paired (Class/Speed/Count)

10:36 Monday, May 9, 2022 => 13:29 Monday, May 16, 2022 (7.12054)
$1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13$
6-99 mph.
North, East, South, West (bound), P = East, Lane $=0-16$
Headway > 0 sec, Span 0-328.084 ft
Default Profile
Vehicle classification (Scheme F3)
Non metric (ft, mi, ft/s, mph, lb, ton)
Vehicles = $10201 / 10211$ (99.90\%)

* Monday, May 9, 2022 - Total=1914 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops


| $\mathbf{-}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{8 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 1}$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | 48 | 72 | 56 | 51 | 44 | 37 | 28 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 14 | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | 46 | 76 | 68 | 61 | 44 | 37 | 25 | 25 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | 73 | 57 | 71 | 83 | 57 | 33 | 21 | 17 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | 55 | 61 | 52 | 60 | 46 | 28 | 29 | 11 |

PM Peak 1415-1515 (277), PM PHF=0.98

* Tuesday, May 10, 2022 - Total=3640, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 49 | 88 | 174 | 239 | 228 | 204 | 208 | 224 | 171 | 311 | 260 | 245 | 279 | 300 | 208 | 182 | 121 | 70 | 43 |
| 5 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 30 | 82 | 71 | 49 | 51 | 55 | 52 | 49 | 65 | 70 | 80 | 73 | 45 | 38 | 25 | 17 | 13 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 43 | 52 | 44 | 65 | 50 | 59 | 38 | 84 | 59 | 56 | 68 | 72 | 59 | 51 | 36 | 16 | 16 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 29 | 47 | 47 | 54 | 39 | 48 | 49 | 43 | 95 | 67 | 59 | 59 | 76 | 49 | 49 | 30 | 22 | 8 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 19 | 33 | 54 | 58 | 59 | 51 | 59 | 61 | 38 | 83 | 69 | 60 | 72 | 79 | 55 | 44 | 30 | 15 | 6 |

AM Peak 0800-0900 (239), AM PHF=0.73 PM Peak 1415-1515 (327), PM PHF=0.86

* Wednesday, May 11, 2022 - Total=3451, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 12 | 41 | 81 | 190 | 188 | 161 | 135 | 196 | 241 | 189 | 179 | 269 | 279 | 294 | 251 | 241 | 207 | 156 | 78 | 23 |
| 6 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 12 | 26 | 52 | 43 | 34 | 36 | 64 | 63 | 34 | 74 | 74 | 75 | 75 | 67 | 66 | 39 | 33 | 11 |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 18 | 61 | 50 | 37 | 23 | 37 | 62 | 43 | 40 | 50 | 64 | 75 | 54 | 60 | 53 | 47 | 19 | 6 |
| 8 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 25 | 54 | 43 | 35 | 34 | 51 | 57 | 37 | 57 | 71 | 71 | 77 | 63 | 57 | 46 | 34 | 15 | 3 |
| 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 16 | 26 | 49 | 43 | 46 | 44 | 72 | 58 | 46 | 48 | 74 | 70 | 67 | 59 | 57 | 42 | 36 | 11 | 3 |

AM Peak 1145-1245 (255), AM PHF=0.89 PM Peak 1645-1745 (297), PM PHF=0.96

* Thursday, May 12, 2022 - Total=1196, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 13 | 38 | 74 | 176 | 168 | 140 | 131 | 146 | 174 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 12 | 32 | 57 | 48 | 37 | 24 | 40 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 13 | 44 | 46 | 37 | 34 | 42 | 47 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 13 | 26 | 59 | 26 | 32 | 28 | 34 | 43 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 14 | 23 | 41 | 39 | 23 | 32 | 46 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

AM Peak 0730-0830 (203), AM PHF=0.86 PM Peak 1215-1315 (183), PM PHF=0.93

* Friday, May 13, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Saturday, May 14, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 |

AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Sunday, May 15, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops

| 00 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

AM Peak 0000-0100(0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Monday, May 16, 2022 - Total=0 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops

000001000200030004000500060007000800090010001100120013001400150016001700180019002000210022002300

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

## MetroCount Traffic Executive <br> Vehicle Counts

## VehicleCount-315 -- English (ENU)

Datasets:

| Site: | [Pleasang Grove] Location 8 - on 200 S between 100 E and 200 E |
| :---: | :---: |
| Attribute: | Box 16 |
| Direction: | 8 - East bound $A>B$, West bound $B>A$. Lane: 2 |
| Survey Duration: | 10:39 Monday, May 9, 2022 => 13:32 Monday, May 16, 2022, |
| Zone: |  |
| File: | Location 8-200 S between 100 E and 200 E.EC0 (Plus ) |
| Identifier: | TD47ACV0 MC5900-X13 (c)MetroCount 09Nov16 |
| Algorithm: | Factory default axle (v5.05) |
| Data type: | Axle sensors - Paired (Class/Speed/Count) |
| Profile: |  |
| Filter time: | 10:40 Monday, May 9, 2022 => 13:32 Monday, May 16, 2022 (7.11953) |
| Included classes: | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 |
| Speed range: | 6-99 mph. |
| Direction: | North, East, South, West (bound), P = East, Lane = 0-16 |
| Separation: | Headway > 0 sec, Span $0-328.084 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Name: | Default Profile |
| Scheme: | Vehicle classification (Scheme F3) |
| Units: | Non metric (ft, mi, ft/s, mph, lb, ton) |
| In profile: | Vehicles = 21415 / 21443 (99.87\%) |

* Monday, May 9, 2022 - Total=4045 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops


| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 152 | 545 | 503 | 494 | 628 | 511 | 420 | 392 | 233 | 121 | 46 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 126 | 117 | 171 | 131 | 133 | 121 | 71 | 53 | 15 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 122 | 119 | 161 | 120 | 115 | 120 | 65 | 38 | 14 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 144 | 110 | 117 | 152 | 127 | 98 | 74 | 51 | 20 | 8 |

PM Peak 1700-1800 (628), PM PHF=0.92

* Tuesday, May 10, 2022 - Total=6936, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 0700-0800 (480), AM PHF=0.78 PM Peak 1645-1745 (657), PM PHF=0.90

* Wednesday, May 11, 2022 - Total=7463, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | 8 | 9 | 13 | 15 | 84 | 164 | 413 | 385 | 402 | 405 | 479 | 564 | 476 | 420 | 549 | 586 | 584 | 577 | 465 | 402 | 257 | 126 | 52 |
| 5 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 39 | 82 | 104 | 107 | 87 | 103 | 170 | 121 | 94 | 128 | 156 | 142 | 179 | 123 | 112 | 52 | 57 | 15 |
| 10 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 12 | 39 | 118 | 96 | 111 | 91 | 108 | 118 | 121 | 103 | 130 | 138 | 152 | 128 | 147 | 106 | 70 | 34 | 16 |
| 8 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 34 | 30 | 102 | 99 | 96 | 103 | 97 | 126 | 123 | 108 | 135 | 131 | 124 | 138 | 109 | 95 | 83 | 25 | 14 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 30 | 56 | 111 | 86 | 88 | 124 | 171 | 150 | 111 | 115 | 156 | 161 | 166 | 132 | 86 | 89 | 52 | 10 | 7 |

AM Peak 1145-1245 (585), AM PHF=0.86 PM Peak 1715-1815 (621), PM PHF=0.87

* Thursday, May 12, 2022 - Total=2971, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 22 | 68 | 133 | 491 | 326 | 365 | 368 | 428 | 520 | 219 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 24 | 98 | 81 | 106 | 84 | 90 | 124 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 36 | 121 | 80 | 93 | 101 | 89 | 127 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 13 | 29 | 166 | 69 | 77 | 103 | 96 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 32 | 44 | 106 | 96 | 89 | 80 | 153 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

AM Peak 1145-1245 (531), AM PHF=0.87 PM Peak 1200-1300 (520), PM PHF=0.92

* Friday, May 13, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Saturday, May 14, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Sunday, May 15, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

AM Peak 0000-0100(0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Monday, May 16, 2022 - Total=0 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops

000001000200030004000500060007000800090010001100120013001400150016001700180019002000210022002300

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

## MetroCount Traffic Executive

## Event Counts

## EventCount-324 -- English (ENU)

Datasets:

Site:
Attribute:
Input A:
Input B:
Survey Duration:
Zone:
File:
Identifier:
Algorithm:
Data type:
Profile:
Filter time:
Separation:
Name:
Scheme:
Units:
In profile:
[Pleasant Grove] Location 9-on 100 E between 300 S and State St Box 18
7 - North bound A>B, South bound B>A. - Lane= 0, Added to totals. (/2.000)
0 - Unused or unknown. - Lane= 1, Excluded from totals.
10:41 Monday, May 9, 2022 => 13:23 Monday, May 16, 2022,
Location 9-100 E between 300 S and State St.EC0 (Plus )
TB352TVC MC5900-X13 (c)MetroCount 09Nov16
Event Count (v5.05)
Axle sensors - Paired (Class/Speed/Count)

10:42 Monday, May 9, 2022 => 13:23 Monday, May 16, 2022 (7.11197)
GapX > 0 sec
Default Profile
Count events divided by setup divisor
Non metric (ft, mi, ft/s, mph, lb, ton)
Events $=49063 / 49063$ (100.00\%)

* Monday, May 9, 2022=6145 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}0000 & 0100 & 0200 & 0300 & 0400 & 0500 & 0600 & 0700 & 0800 & 0900 & 1000 & 1100 & 1200 & 1300 & 1400 & 1500 & 1600 & 1700 & 1800 & 1900 & 2000 & 2100 & 2200 & 2300\end{array}$


| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 201 | 206 | $\mathbf{2 3 8}$ | 221 | 183 | 152 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 96 | 27 |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 167 | 221 | 230 | $\mathbf{2 7 4}$ | 210 | 158 | 115 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 219 | 198 | 195 | $\mathbf{2 3 4}$ | 193 | 126 | 111 |

PM Peak 1700-1800 (1034), PM PHF=0.90

* Tuesday, May 10, 2022=11165, 15 minute drops

| 000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | 19 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 99 | 201 | 527 | 745 | 659 | 595 | 667 | 711 | 604 | 780 | 844 | 902 | 997 | 904 | 617 | 519 | 424 | 186 | 89 |  |
| 15 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 34 | 68 | 185 | 172 | 145 | 170 | 182 | 151 | 165 | 214 | 232 | 248 | 240 | 174 | 127 | 110 | 62 | 23 | 16 |
| 7 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 36 | 98 | 173 | 174 | 132 | 160 | 180 | 145 | 176 | 193 | 219 | 250 | 228 | 173 | 135 | 120 | 44 | 30 | 7 |
| 8 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 34 | 64 | 178 | 208 | 160 | 157 | 156 | 178 | 145 | 205 | 222 | 215 | 277 | 216 | 139 | 140 | 101 | 43 | 23 | 17 |
| 6 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 46 | 68 | 183 | 180 | 154 | 161 | 181 | 172 | 163 | 235 | 216 | 236 | 223 | 220 | 132 | 119 | 93 | 37 | 13 | 16 |

AM Peak 0745-0845 (748), AM PHF=0.90 PM Peak 1645-1745 (1010), PM PHF=0.91

* Wednesday, May 11, 2022=11001, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 1145-1245 (752), AM PHF=0.90 PM Peak 1700-1800 (1087), PM PHF=0.91

* Thursday, May 12, 2022=4010, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 63 | 20 | 21 | 18 | 15 | 92 | 185 | 495 | 603 | 551 | 452 | 564 | 673 | 261 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 23 | 62 | 149 | 142 | 120 | 118 | 167 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 22 | 49 | 108 | 145 | 149 | 97 | 138 | 186 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 25 | 52 | 163 | 144 | 129 | 114 | 154 | 155 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 40 | 61 | 163 | 167 | 131 | 122 | 155 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

AM Peak 1145-1245 (662), AM PHF=0.89 PM Peak 1200-1300 (673), PM PHF=0.90

* Friday, May 13, 2022=0, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=-nan(ind) PM Peak 0000-0100 (0), PM PHF=-nan(ind)

* Saturday, May 14, 2022=0, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=-nan(ind) PM Peak 0000-0100 (0), PM PHF=-nan(ind)

* Sunday, May 15, 2022=0, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 0600 | 0700 | 0800 | 0900 | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 | 1700 | 1800 | 1900 | 2000 | 2100 | 2200 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=-nan(ind) PM Peak 0000-0100 (0), PM PHF=-nan(ind)

* Monday, May 16, 2022=0 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops

000001000200030004000500060007000800090010001100120013001400150016001700180019002000210022002300

| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

AM Peak 0000-0100(0), AM PHF=-nan(ind)

## MetroCount Traffic Executive

## Vehicle Counts

## VehicleCount-328 -- English (ENU)

Datasets:
Site: [Pleasant Grove] Location 10 - on 300 E between 500 S and State St

Attribute:
Direction:
Survey Duration:
Zone:

Algorithm: $\quad$ Factory default axle (v5.05)
Data type:
Profile:
Filter time:
Included classes:
Speed range:
Direction:
Separation:
Name:
Scheme:
Units:
In profile:

File: Location 10-300 E between 500 S and State St.EC0 (Plus )
Identifier: TC10GDG5 MC5900-X13 (c)MetroCount 09Nov16
Axle sensors - Paired (Class/Speed/Count)
Box 19
7 - North bound $A>B$, South bound $B>A$. Lane: 0
10:43 Monday, May 9, 2022 => 13:27 Monday, May 16, 2022,

10:44 Monday, May 9, 2022 => 13:27 Monday, May 16, 2022 (7.11384)
$1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13$
6-99 mph.
North, East, South, West (bound), P = North, Lane $=0-16$
Headway > 0 sec, Span 0-328.084 ft
Default Profile
Vehicle classification (Scheme F3)
Non metric (ft, mi, ft/s, mph, lb, ton)
Vehicles = $30096 / 30153$ (99.81\%)

* Monday, May 9, 2022 - Total=5746 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops
$0000010002000300040005000600 \quad 0700 \quad 0800 \quad 090010001100120013001400150016001700180019002000210022002300$


PM Peak 1700-1800 (980), PM PHF=0.91

* Tuesday, May 10, 2022 - Total=9915, 15 minute drops


| 47 | 19 | 22 | 17 | 43 | 148 | 276 | 643 | 527 | 388 | 378 | 470 | 617 | 497 | 702 | 799 | 845 | 913 | 759 | 592 | 505 | 384 | 218 | 106 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 20 | 56 | 105 | 189 | 114 | 87 | 80 | 166 | 127 | 126 | 189 | 206 | 218 | 226 | 175 | 115 | 123 | 76 | 36 |
| 8 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 22 | 52 | 141 | 115 | 105 | 99 | 104 | 155 | 115 | 189 | 194 | 208 | 245 | 172 | 157 | 129 | 106 | 57 | 30 |
| 20 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 17 | 41 | 75 | 183 | 116 | 96 | 95 | 110 | 167 | 115 | 197 | 217 | 215 | 241 | 171 | 137 | 128 | 74 | 48 | 24 |
| 6 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 16 | 65 | 93 | 214 | 107 | 73 | 97 | 176 | 129 | 140 | 190 | 199 | 216 | 209 | 190 | 123 | 133 | 81 | 37 | 16 |

AM Peak 0715-0815 (727), AM PHF=0.85 PM Peak 1715-1815 (921), PM PHF=0.94

* Wednesday, May 11, 2022 - Total=10289, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 1145-1245 (681), AM PHF=0.92 PM Peak 1715-1815 (877), PM PHF=0.89

* Thursday, May 12, 2022 - Total=4146, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 0715-0815 (686), AM PHF=0.88 PM Peak 1200-1300 (667), PM PHF=0.92

* Friday, May 13, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Saturday, May 14, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops


AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Sunday, May 15, 2022 - Total=0, 15 minute drops

| 0000 | 0100 | 0200 | 0300 | 0400 | 0500 | 060 | 0700 | 0800 | 090 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 1300 | 1400 | 150 | 160 | 170 | 180 | 190 | 200 | 210 | 220 | 2300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

AM Peak 0000-0100 (0), AM PHF=1.00 PM Peak 1200-1300 (0), PM PHF=1.00

* Monday, May 16, 2022 - Total=0 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops

000001000200030004000500060007000800090010001100120013001400150016001700180019002000210022002300

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

## Appendix B: Existing Synchro Model Output



## SR-89 5/14/2007 2008 Existing Condition <br> 6/15/200

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


## SR-89 5/14/2007 2008 Existing Conditions

6/15/2009


Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
12：SR－89 \＆Geneva Road
Timing Plan：AM Peak

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个虫 |  | \％ | ¢4¢ | F | 7 | $\uparrow$ | F | 7 | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 |
| Volume（vph） | 2 | 415 | 260 | 45 | 317 | 57 | 147 | 123 | 26 | 121 | 339 | 19 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 0.91 |  | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Fit | 1.00 | 0.94 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 4791 |  | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.54 | 1.00 |  | 0.36 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.43 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.63 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1000 | 4791 |  | 667 | 5085 | 1583 | 792 | 1863 | 1583 | 1177 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 2 | 451 | 283 | 49 | 345 | 62 | 160 | 134 | 28 | 132 | 368 | 21 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 2 | 685 | 0 | 49 | 345 | 42 | 160 | 134 | 6 | 132 | 368 | 5 |
| Turn Type | Perm |  |  | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |


| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 8 | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 81.7 | 81.7 | 81.7 | 81.7 | 81.7 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 26.3 | $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { Effective Green，} g(s) & 81.7 & 81.7 & 81.7 & 81.7 & 81.7 & 26.3 & 26.3 & 26.3 & 26.3 & 26.3 & 26.3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { Actuated } g / C \text { Ratio } & 0.68 & 0.68 & 0.68 & 0.68 & 0.68 & 0.22 & 0.22 & 0.22 & 0.22 & 0.22 & 0.22 \\ \text { Clearance Time（s）} & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 \\ \text { Vehide Extension（s）} & 3.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 & 3.0 & 3.0\end{array}$ | Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 681 | 3262 | 454 | 3462 | 1078 | 174 | 408 | 347 | 258 | 776 | 347 | v／s Ratio Perm v／c Ratio Uniform Delay，d1


|  | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.92 | 0.33 | 0.02 | 0.51 | 0.47 | 0.01 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 6.1 | 7.1 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 45.8 | 39.4 | 36.7 | 41.2 | 40.8 | 36.7 |
| Progression Factor | 0.47 | 0.44 | 0.68 | 0.73 | 0.21 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |


|  | 0.47 | 0.44 | 0.68 | 0.73 | 0.21 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Progression Factor | 0.44 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 45.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 17 | 0 |  |  |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | elay（s） Level of Service Approach LOS


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM Average Control Delay | 23.1 | HCM Level of Service | C |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.38 | Sum of lost time（s） | 12.0 |
| Actuated CCcle Lenght（s） | 120.0 | A |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $54.7 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |

Analysis Period（min）
c Critical Lane Group

## SR－89 5／14／2007 2008 Existing Conditions

6／15／2009


Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 15： 700 North \＆SR－89

Timing Plan：AM Peak

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ＊ | $\uparrow$ | F | ＊ | $\uparrow$ | F | ＊ | ヶヶヶ¢ | 「 | \％ | ヶヶ¢ |  |
| Volume（vph） | 48 | 85 | 134 | 52 | 200 | 38 | 151 | 524 | 43 | 32 | 876 |  |
| Ideal Flow（yphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 190 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6. |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.0 |
| Fit | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.8 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.0 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5085 | 158 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.37 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.70 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.28 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.43 | 1.00 | 1.0 |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 694 | 1863 | 1583 | 1299 | 1863 | 1583 | 528 | 5085 | 1583 | 796 | 5085 | 15 |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.9 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 52 | 92 | 146 | 57 | 217 | 41 | 164 | 570 | 47 | 35 | 952 |  |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 52 | 92 | 24 | 57 | 217 | 23 | 164 | 570 | 35 | 35 | 952 |  |
| Turn Type | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Pe！ |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | | Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 19.4 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 88.6 | 88.6 | 88.6 | 88.6 | 88.6 | $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Effective Green，} g(s) & 19.4 & 19.4 & 19.4 & 19.4 & 19.4 & 19.4 & 88.6 & 88.6 & 88.6 & 88.6 & 88.6 & 88.6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lrrrrrrrrrrrr}\text { Actuated } g / C \text { Ratio } & 0.16 & 0.16 & 0.16 & 0.16 & 0.16 & 0.16 & 0.74 & 0.74 & 0.74 & 0.74 & 0.74 & 0.74 \\ \text { Clearance Time（s）} & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0 & 6.0\end{array}$


| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 112 | 301 | 256 | 210 | 301 | 256 | 390 | 3754 | 1169 | 588 | 3754 | 1169 | v／s Ratio Perm V／c Ratio

0.07


| Uniform Delay，d1 | 45.6 | 44.4 | 42.8 | 44.1 | 47.7 | 42.8 | 6.0 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 4.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 0.4 |  |


| Incremental Delay，d2 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 8.2 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | evel of Service

Approach Delay（s） Approach LOS

| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM Average Control Delay | 14.9 | HCM Level of Service | B |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.47 | Sum of lost time（s） | 12.0 |
| Actuated CCcle Length（s） | 120.0 | ICU evt of Sevice | B |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $59.2 \%$ | ICU Level o Servic |  |

Analysis Period（min）
c Critical Lane Group


Cnalysitical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


## SR-89 5/14/2007 2008 Existing Conditions

6/15/2009


Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
91: I-15 SB Ramp \& Pleasnt Grove Blvd.
Timing Plan: AM Peak


Analysis Period (min)
c Critical Lane Group

## SR-89 5/14/2007 2008 Existing Conditions

6/15/2009


HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


## SR-89 5/14/2007 2008 Existing Conditions <br> 6/15/2009



## SR-89 5/14/2007 2008 Existing Condition <br> 6/15/200

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


[^1]| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analy 42: 500 North \& 100 East |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Timing Plan: AM Peak |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | * |  | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 32 | 72 | 377 | 18 | 51 | 852 |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 35 | 78 | 410 | 20 | 55 | 926 |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  | None |  |  | TWLTL |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 1447 | 410 |  |  | 429 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol | 410 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol | 1037 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 1447 | 410 |  |  | 429 |  |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 88 | 88 |  |  | 95 |  |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 302 | 642 |  |  | 1130 |  |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 113 | 410 | 20 | 55 | 926 |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 35 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 78 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| cSH | 477 | 1700 | 1700 | 1130 | 1700 |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.54 |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 23 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 14.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 14.9 | 0.0 |  | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 57.7\% |  | Level | of Service | B |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

| 48: 1100 North \& 1300 West |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Timing Plan: AM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $\downarrow$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\dagger$ |  | \% | $\dagger$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | , | 106 | 25 | 54 | 214 | 9 | 24 | 71 | 40 | 27 | 152 | 14 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 9 | 115 | 27 | 59 | 233 | 10 | 26 | 77 | 43 | 29 | 165 | 15 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (fts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right tum flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | WLTL |  |  | TWLTL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 242 |  |  | 142 |  |  | 594 | 506 | 129 | 570 | 515 | 238 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 146 | 146 |  | 355 | 355 |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 448 | 360 |  | 215 | 160 |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 242 |  |  | 142 |  |  | 594 | 506 | 129 | 570 | 515 | 238 |
| tC , single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.1 | 5.5 |  | 6.1 | 5.5 |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 |  |  | 96 |  |  | 93 | 86 | 95 | 94 | 70 | 98 |
| CM capacity (veh/h) | 1324 |  |  | 1440 |  |  | 383 | 561 | 921 | 521 | 559 | 801 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 9 | 142 | 59 | 242 | 147 | 210 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 9 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 26 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 27 | 0 | 10 | 43 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1324 | 1700 | 1440 | 1700 | 580 | 566 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 25 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.7 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 15.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | A |  | B | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.4 |  | 1.5 |  | 13.3 | 15.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | B | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 38.1\% |  | CU Level | of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
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Delay 10.7
HCM Level of Service
Intersection Capacity Utilization $42.0 \%$
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HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

| 83: Pleasant Grove B | Blvd. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Timin | Plan: A | Peak |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\xlongequal{\prime}$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\stackrel{ }{\text { F }}$ |  | \% | $\dagger$ |  | \% | $\dagger$ |  |  | 4 |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 392 | 346 | 2 | 30 | 552 | 26 | 1 | 35 | 19 | 4 | 42 | 607 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 426 | 376 | 2 | 33 | 600 | 28 | 1 | 38 | 21 | 4 | 46 | 660 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (fts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right tum flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC , conflicting volume | 628 |  |  | 378 |  |  | 2247 | 1923 | 377 | 1947 | 1910 | 614 |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 628 |  |  | 378 |  |  | 2247 | 1923 | 377 | 1947 | 1910 | 614 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6. |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 55 |  |  | 97 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 0 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 954 |  |  | 1180 |  |  | 0 | 36 | 669 | 0 | 37 | 492 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 426 | 378 | 33 | 628 | 1 | 59 | 710 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 426 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 2 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 21 | 660 |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 954 | 1700 | 1180 | 1700 | 0 | 54 | 238 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.45 | 0.22 | 0.03 | 0.37 | Er | 1.09 | 2.99 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 58 | 0 | 2 | 0 | Er | 125 | 1580 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.8 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 0.0 | Er | 273.2 | 936.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B |  | A |  | F | F | F |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 6.2 |  | 0.4 |  | Er |  | 936.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | F |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | Err |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 81.5\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | D |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^3]

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 101: 1800 North \& 1300 West |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^4]| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 103: 1800 North \& 100 East |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rangle$ |  | 7 | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  | 7 | f |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 5 | 92 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 64 | 268 | 4 | 6 | 652 | 8 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 0 | 5 | 100 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 70 | 291 | 4 | 7 | 709 | 9 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TWLTL |  |  | TWLTL |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 1170 | 1161 | 713 | 1261 | 1163 | 293 | 717 |  |  | 296 |  |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | 726 | 726 |  | 433 | 433 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | 444 | 435 |  | 829 | 730 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 1170 | 1161 | 713 | 1261 | 1163 | 293 | 717 |  |  | 296 |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | 6.1 | 5.5 |  | 6.1 | 5.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 100 | 98 | 77 | 99 | 98 | 99 | 92 |  |  | 99 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 348 | 362 | 432 | 197 | 323 | 746 | 884 |  |  | 1266 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 105 | 18 | 70 | 296 | 724 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 2 | 70 | 0 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 100 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 428 | 435 | 884 | 1700 | 1266 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.25 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 24 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 16.2 | 13.6 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | C | B | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 16.2 | 13.6 | 1.8 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 59.5\% |  | Level | Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


[^5]| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 106: 2600 North \& 900 West |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Timing Plan: AM Peak |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\downarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | ${ }^{*}$ |  |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 12 | 75 | 149 | 47 | 63 | 55 |  |  |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 13 | 82 | 162 | 51 | 68 | 60 |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None | None |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 213 |  |  |  | 295 | 188 |  |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 213 |  |  |  | 295 | 188 |  |  |
| t , single (s) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 |  |  |  | 90 | 93 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1357 |  |  |  | 689 | 855 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 95 | 213 | 128 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 13 | 0 | 68 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 51 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1357 | 1700 | 758 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 1 | 0 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 1.1 | 0.0 | 10.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 1.1 | 0.0 | 10.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 3.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 27.6\% |  | CU Level | Service | A |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

| 108: Huntsman Lane | \& 90 | Wes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Timing Plan: AM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | 7 | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ${ }_{\dagger}$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 29 | 3 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 3 | 3 | 43 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 0 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 11 | 22 | 3 | 3 | 47 | 0 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 35 | 25 | 36 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 0 | 0 | 11 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 856 | 843 | 848 | 857 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 7.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 14.8\% |  | CU Leve | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^6]
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| HCM Unsignalized Int 123: 1100 North \& 600 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { terse } \\ & 0 \mathrm{We} \end{aligned}$ | ction st | Capac | y Ana |  |  |  |  |  | Timin | Plan: | Peak |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rangle$ |  | $\rangle$ | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $>$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  | 7 | A |  |  | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 12 | 188 | 26 | 79 | 205 | 22 | 10 | 46 | 19 | 35 | 124 | 25 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 13 | 204 | 28 | 86 | 223 | 24 | 11 | 50 | 21 | 38 | 135 | 27 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (tt/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | TWLTL |  |  | TWLTL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 247 |  |  | 233 |  |  | 734 | 663 | 218 | 697 | 665 | 235 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 245 | 245 |  | 407 | 407 |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 489 | 418 |  | 290 | 259 |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 247 |  |  | 233 |  |  | 734 | 663 | 218 | 697 | 665 | 235 |
| tC , single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.1 | 5.5 |  | 6.1 | 5.5 |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 97 | 90 | 97 | 92 | 73 | 97 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1319 |  |  | 1335 |  |  | 356 | 498 | 821 | 468 | 491 | 804 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 246 | 86 | 247 | 82 | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 13 | 86 | 0 | 11 | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 28 | 0 | 24 | 21 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1319 | 1335 | 1700 | 522 | 513 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 1 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.5 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 16.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A | A |  | B | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.5 | 2.0 |  | 13.2 | 16.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  | B | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 49.4\% |  | CU Level | of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


[^7]| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 127: 1100 North \& 300 East |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Timing Plan: AM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $>$ | - | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | $\dagger$ |  | \% | 今 |  |  | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 1 | 68 | 60 | 81 | 168 | 3 | 54 | 20 | 30 | 1 | 54 | 17 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 1 | 74 | 65 | 88 | 183 | 3 | 59 | 22 | 33 | 1 | 59 | 18 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | WLTL |  |  | TWLTL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  | 1157 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 186 |  |  | 139 |  |  | 515 | 471 | 107 | 480 | 502 | 184 |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 109 | 109 |  | 360 | 360 |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 407 | 362 |  | 120 | 141 |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 186 |  |  | 139 |  |  | 515 | 471 | 107 | 480 | 502 | 184 |
| tC , single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.1 | 5.5 |  | 6.1 | 5.5 |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 100 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 88 | 96 | 97 | 100 | 89 | 98 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1389 |  |  | 1444 |  |  | 496 | 561 | 948 | 573 | 549 | 858 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 1 | 139 | 88 | 186 | 113 | 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 1 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 59 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 65 | 0 | 3 | 33 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1389 | 1700 | 1444 | 1700 | 591 | 600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.19 | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.6 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 11.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | A |  | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.1 |  | 2.5 |  | 12.5 | 11.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 34.9\% |  | CU Level | of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

| 130: 1100 North \& 500 East |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Timing Plan: AM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | - |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 58 | 10 | 4 | 173 | 2 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 9 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 5 | 63 | 11 | 4 | 188 | 2 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 10 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | TWLTL |  |  | TWLTL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume | 190 |  |  | 74 |  |  | 292 | 278 | 68 | 279 | 283 | 189 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 79 | 79 |  | 198 | 198 |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 213 | 199 |  | 82 | 85 |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 190 |  |  | 74 |  |  | 292 | 278 | 68 | 279 | 283 | 189 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.1 | 5.5 |  | 6.1 | 5.5 |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 98 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 98 | 99 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1384 |  |  | 1526 |  |  | 730 | 696 | 995 | 761 | 698 | 853 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 79 | 195 | 20 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 5 | 4 | 15 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 11 | 2 | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1384 | 1526 | 722 | 763 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.6 | 0.2 | 10.1 | 9.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A | A | B | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.6 | 0.2 | 10.1 | 9.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | , | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 20.9\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^8]| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis <br> 134: 1100 North \& Murdock Drive <br> Timing Plan: AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 6 | 52 | 11 | 0 | 152 | 2 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 14 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 7 | 57 | 12 | 0 | 165 | 2 | 37 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 15 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | TWLTL |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 167 |  |  | 68 |  |  | 258 | 243 | 62 | 242 | 248 | 166 |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76 | 76 |  | 166 | 166 |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 183 | 167 |  | 76 | 82 |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 167 |  |  | 68 |  |  | 258 | 243 | 62 | 242 | 248 | 166 |
| tC , single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.1 | 5.5 |  | 6.1 | 5.5 |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 95 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 98 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1410 |  |  | 1533 |  |  | 762 | 719 | 1002 | 794 | 722 | 878 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 75 | 167 | 38 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 7 | 0 | 37 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 12 | 2 | 0 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1410 | 1533 | 761 | 848 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.7 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 9.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.7 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 9.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 23.8\% |  | Leve | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## SR-89 5/14/2007 2008 Existing Condition <br> 12/2009

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
139: 500 North \& 700 East


[^9]| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 145: 200 South \& Locust Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Timing Plan: AM Peak |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\cdots$ | $\sigma$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NWL | NWR |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | f |  |  | $\uparrow$ | * |  |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 121 | 55 | 39 | 178 | 84 | 25 |  |  |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 132 | 60 | 42 | 193 | 91 | 27 |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume |  |  | 191 |  | 440 | 161 |  |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol |  |  | 191 |  | 440 | 161 |  |  |
| tC, single (s) |  |  | 4.1 |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) |  |  | 2.2 |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% |  |  | 97 |  | 84 | 97 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) |  |  | 1382 |  | 557 | 884 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NW 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 191 | 236 | 118 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 42 | 91 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 60 | 0 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1700 | 1382 | 609 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 2 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 1.6 | 12.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  | A | B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 1.6 | 12.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 3.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 37.4\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |

## SR-89 5/14/2007 2008 Existing Condition <br> 12/2009

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


[^10]| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 149: 200 South \& Murdock Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 15 | 39 | 18 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 45 | 12 | 0 | 15 | 39 | 18 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 16 | 42 | 20 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 49 | 13 | 0 | 16 | 42 | 20 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 104 |  |  | 62 |  |  | 230 | 189 | 52 | 196 | 199 | 104 |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 104 |  |  | 62 |  |  | 230 | 189 | 52 | 196 | 199 | 104 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 93 | 98 | 100 | 98 | 94 | 98 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1487 |  |  | 1541 |  |  | 671 | 698 | 1015 | 746 | 689 | 950 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 78 | 104 | 62 | 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 16 | 0 | 49 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1487 | 1541 | 677 | 753 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 1 | 0 |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 1.6 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 10.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 1.6 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 10.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 26.7\% |  | Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

| 152: 200 South \& 1300 East |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Timing Plan: AM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL WBT |  | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL SBT |  | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 4 | 13 | 10 | 4 | 25 | 8 | 23 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 25 | 13 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Hourly flow rate (vph) } & 4 & 14 & 11 & 4 & 27 & 9 & 25 & 11 & \\ \text { Pedestrians }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 36 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 96 | 73 | 20 | 76 | 74 | 32 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 36 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 96 | 73 | 20 | 76 | 74 | 32 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 97 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 97 | 99 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1575 |  |  | 1589 |  |  | 849 | 813 | 1058 | 899 | 812 | 1042 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 29 | 40 | 38 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 4 | 4 | 25 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 11 | 9 | 2 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1575 | 1589 | 848 | 879 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 0 | 0 | . | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 1.1 | 0.8 | 9.4 | 9.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 1.1 | 0.8 | 9.4 | 9.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS A A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 5.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 18.6\% |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## SR-89 5/14/2007 2008 Existing Conditions <br> 6/12/2009



HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
165: 1000 South \& Locust Ave


[^11]

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


## SR-89 5/14/2007 2008 Existing Conditions

6/15/2009


Analysis Period (min)

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
11: SR-89 \& Pleasant Grove Blvd
Timing Plan: PM Peak


## SR-89 5/14/2007 2008 Existing Conditions

6/15/2009


Cnalysitical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
14： 700 South \＆SR－89
Timing Plan：PM Peak

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Contigurations | \％ | ¢ |  | \％ | F |  | 7 | ヶ个个 | 7 | \％ | 个个官 |  |
| Volume（vph） | 114 | 264 | 73 | 302 | 151 | 16 | 119 | 1355 | 279 | 19 | 959 |  |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 |  |
| Fit | 1.00 | 0.97 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 1802 |  | 1770 | 1837 |  | 1770 | 5085 | 1583 | 1770 | 5037 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.64 | 1.00 |  | 0.16 | 1.00 |  | 0.16 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.10 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1198 | 1802 |  | 290 | 1837 |  | 294 | 5085 | 1583 | 178 | 5037 |  |
| Peak－hour factor，PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.9 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 124 | 287 | 79 | 328 | 164 | 17 | 129 | 1473 | 303 | 21 | 1042 | 70 |
| RTOR Reduction（vph） | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 6 |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 124 | 357 | 0 | 328 | 178 | 0 | 129 | 1473 | 256 | 21 | 1106 |  |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt |  |  | pm＋pt |  |  | pm＋pt |  | Perm | Perm |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green，G（s） | 33.2 | 27.2 |  | 53.2 | 41.2 |  | 54.8 | 54.8 | 54.8 | 41.8 | 41.8 |  |
| Effective Green，g（s） | 33.2 | 27.2 |  | 53.2 | 41.2 |  | 54.8 | 54.8 | 54.8 | 41.8 | 41.8 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.28 | 0.23 |  | 0.44 | 0.34 |  | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.35 | 0.35 |  |
| Clearance Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension（s） | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（vph） | 360 | 408 |  | 375 | 631 |  | 220 | 2322 | 723 | 62 | 1755 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot | 0.02 | 0.20 |  | c0．15 | 0.10 |  | 0.03 | c0．29 |  |  | 0.22 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Perm | 0.08 |  |  | c0．24 |  |  | 0.23 |  | 0.16 | 0.12 |  |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.34 | 0.88 |  | 0.87 | 0.28 |  | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.63 |  |
| Uniform Delay，d1 | 33.8 | 44.8 |  | 28.9 | 28.6 |  | 38.8 | 24.9 | 21.1 | 28.9 | 32.6 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.81 | 0.76 | 0.69 | 0.84 | 0.86 |  |
| Incremental Delay，d2 | 0.6 | 18.6 |  | 19.7 | 0.2 |  | 3.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 14.0 | 1.7 |  |
| Delay（s） | 34.3 | 63.3 |  | 48.6 | 28.9 |  | 34.8 | 20.0 | 15.7 | 38.4 | 29.7 |  |
| Level of Service | C | E |  | D | C |  | C | C | B | D | C |  |
| Approach Delay（s） |  | 56.0 |  |  | 41.6 |  |  | 20.3 |  |  | 29.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM Average Control Delay | 30.0 | HCM Level of Service | C |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.73 | Sum of lost time（s） | 12.0 |
| Actuated CCcle Lenght（s） | 120.0 | ICU Eet of Sevice | E |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $84.6 \%$ |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） | 15 |  |  |

Analysis Period（min）
c Critical Lane Group


Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
40: 700 South \& Geneva Road
Timing Plan: PM Peak

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{1}$ |  | * | $\uparrow$ | F' |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | F' | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ |  |
| Volume (vph) | 34 | 150 | 38 | 122 | 151 | 16 | 64 | 700 | 200 | 54 | 402 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 190 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.0 |
| Fit | 1.00 | 0.97 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.8 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.0 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1807 |  | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 |  | 3524 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 158 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.65 | 1.00 |  | 0.63 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.89 | 1.00 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 1.0 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1217 | 1807 |  | 1173 | 1863 | 1583 |  | 3155 | 1583 | 594 | 3539 | 158 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.9 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 37 | 163 | 41 | 133 | 164 | 17 | 70 | 761 | 217 | 59 | 437 |  |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 37 | 183 | 0 | 133 | 164 | 4 | 0 | 831 | 116 | 59 | 437 |  |
| Turn Type | Perm |  |  | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | 4 | 8 |  | 8 | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.8 |  | 18.3 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 18.3 |


| Actuated Green, $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{s})$ | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 18.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Effete | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 183 | 183 | 183 |


| Effective Green, $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s})$ | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 18.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Actuated $g / C$ Ratio | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |


| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 278 | 413 | 268 | 426 | 362 | 1693 | 850 | 319 | 1899 | 850 | v/s Ratio Perm v/c Ratio

Uniform Delay, d1

| 0.13 | 0.44 |
| :--- | :--- |

c0.11

|  | 0.43 | 0.50 | 0.38 | 0.01 | 0.49 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.02 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Progression Factor | 10.5 | 11.3 | 11.4 | 11.1 | 10.2 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 3.7 |
|  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.0 | 1.00 | 10 |  |

 Delay (o) Level of Service Approach LOS

| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM Average Control Delay | 6.6 | HCM Level of Service | A |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio | 0.49 | Sum of lost time (s) | 8.0 |
| Actuared CCcle Length (s) | 34.1 | 8 |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $62.6 \%$ | ICU Level of Service | B |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |

Analysis Period (min)
c Critical Lane Group

## SR-89 5/14/2007 2008 Existing Conditions <br> 6/15/2009



Critical Lane Group

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


Analysis Period (min)
c Critical Lane Group

SR-89 5/14/2007 2008 Existing Conditions
6/15/2009


HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
94: I-15 NB Ramp \& Pleasant Grove Blvd
Timing Plan: PM Peak

c Critical Lane Group

## SR-89 5/14/2007 2008 Existing Conditions

6/15/2009
Synchro 7 - Repor
Page 11


Critical Lane Group


Analysis Period (min)
c Critical Lane Group

## SR-89 5/14/2007 2008 Existing Conditions <br> 6/15/2009

| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | ¢ヶ¢ | 个个 | F | ＊ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume（veh／h） | 55 | 700 | 666 | 360 | 253 | 46 |  |  |  |  |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | 0\％ | 0\％ |  | 0\％ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |  |  |  |
| Hourly flow rate（vph） | 60 | 761 | 724 | 391 | 275 | 50 |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width（tt） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed（ft／s） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare（veh） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None | TWLTL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh） |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal（ti） |  | 629 | 1179 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX，platoon unblocked | 0.86 |  |  |  | 0.88 | 0.86 |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume | 1115 |  |  |  | 1097 | 362 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$ ，stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  | 724 |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2，stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  | 373 |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu，unblocked vol | 809 |  |  |  | 589 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| tC ，single（s） | 4.1 |  |  |  | 6.8 | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage（s） |  |  |  |  | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF（s） | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| p0 queue free \％ | 91 |  |  |  | 48 | 95 |  |  |  |  |
| cM capacity（veh／h） | 699 |  |  |  | 524 | 933 |  |  |  |  |
| Direction，Lane \＃ | EB 1 | EB 2 | EB 3 | EB 4 | WB 1 | WB 2 | WB 3 | SB 1 |  |  |
| Volume Total | 60 | 254 | 254 | 254 | 362 | 362 | 391 | 325 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 275 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 391 | 50 |  |  |
| cSH | 699 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 562 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.58 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 |  |  |
| Control Delay（s） | 10.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.8 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |
| Approach Delay（s） | 0.8 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 48．6\％ |  | CU Level | of Service |  |  | A |  |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## SR－89 5／14／2007 2008 Existing Condition <br> 12／2009

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

|  | $\dagger$ | 4 | $\dagger$ | 1 |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |  |
| Lane Configurations | \％ |  | $\uparrow$ | $\stackrel{7}{ }$ | ＊ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Volume（veh／h） | 27 | 45 | 761 | 63 | 41 | 621 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade | 0\％ |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate（vph） | 29 | 49 | 827 | 68 | 45 | 675 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width（ft） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed（fts） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right tum flare（veh） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  | None |  |  | TWLTL |  |
| Median storage veh） |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Upstream signal（ti） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX，platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC ，conflicting volume | 1591 | 827 |  |  | 896 |  |  |
| vC1，stage 1 conf vol | 827 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2，stage 2 conf vol | 764 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu，unblocked vol | 1591 | 827 |  |  | 896 |  |  |
| tC ，single（s） | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage（s） | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF（s） | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| po queue free \％ | 91 | 87 |  |  | 94 |  |  |
| cM capacity（veh／h） | 317 | 371 |  |  | 758 |  |  |
| Direction，Lane \＃ | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |
| Volume Total | 78 | 827 | 68 | 45 | 675 |  |  |
| Volume Left | 29 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 |  |  |
| Volume Right | 49 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| cSH | 349 | 1700 | 1700 | 758 | 1700 |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.22 | 0.49 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.40 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 21 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |  |  |
| Control Delay（s） | 18.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Lane LOS | C |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay（s） | 18.3 | 0.0 |  | 0.6 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 51．0\％ | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

[^12]| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 48: 1100 North \& 1300 West |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Timing Plan: PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | $>$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\dagger$ |  | \% | $\dagger$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 23 | 249 | 56 | 27 | 194 | 20 | 41 | 219 | 66 | 16 | 105 | 14 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 25 | 271 | 61 | 29 | 211 | 22 | 45 | 238 | 72 | 17 | 114 | 15 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | WLTL |  |  | TWLTL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC, conflicting volume | 233 |  |  | 332 |  |  | 693 | 642 | 301 | 792 | 662 | 222 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 351 | 351 |  | 280 | 280 |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 342 | 291 |  | 511 | 382 |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 233 |  |  | 332 |  |  | 693 | 642 | 301 | 792 | 662 | 222 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.1 | 5.5 |  | 6.1 | 5.5 |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 98 |  |  | 98 |  |  | 90 | 55 | 90 | 93 | 78 | 98 |
| CM capacity (veh/h) | 1335 |  |  | 1228 |  |  | 455 | 529 | 739 | 260 | 514 | 818 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 25 | 332 | 29 | 233 | 354 | 147 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 25 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 45 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 61 | 0 | 22 | 72 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1335 | 1700 | 1228 | 1700 | 549 | 477 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.02 | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.64 | 0.31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 115 | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.7 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 22.7 | 15.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | A |  | C | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.5 |  | 0.9 |  | 22.7 | 15.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | C | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 53.2\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## SR-89 5/14/2007 2008 Existing Condition

12/2009

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
79: Pleasant Grove Blvd. \& 220 South


[^14]| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 83: Pleasnt Grove Blvd. \& 1300 West |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Timing Plan: PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\dagger$ |  | \% | f |  | \% | F |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Volume (veh/h) | 810 | 964 | 5 | 57 | 570 | 26 | 4 | 48 | 66 | 1 | 29 | 492 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 880 | 1048 | 5 | 62 | 620 | 28 | 4 | 52 | 72 | 1 | 32 | 535 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 648 |  |  | 1053 |  |  | 3838 | 3583 | 1051 | 3664 | 3572 | 634 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 648 |  |  | 1053 |  |  | 3838 | 3583 | 1051 | 3664 | 3572 | 634 |
| tC , single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 6 |  |  | 91 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 938 |  |  | 661 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 0 | 479 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 880 | 1053 | 62 | 648 | 4 | 124 | 567 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 880 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 5 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 72 | 535 |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 938 | 1700 | 661 | 1700 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.94 | 0.62 | 0.09 | 0.38 | Err | 169.76 | 476.24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 373 | 0 | 8 | 0 | Er | Ert | Err |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 37.6 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 0.0 | Err | Err | Err |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | E |  | B |  | F | F | F |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 17.1 |  | 1.0 |  | Err |  | Err |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | F |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | Err |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 93.0\% |  | U Level | Service |  |  | F |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
97: 2600 North \& 1300 West
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| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysi 103: 1800 North \& 100 East |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Timing Plan: PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ |  | 7 | 7 | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | A |  | 7 | A |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 12 | 3 | 56 | 11 | 1 | 8 | 70 | 595 | 10 | 10 | 377 | 13 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 13 | 3 | 61 | 12 | 1 | 9 | 76 | 647 | 11 | 11 | 410 | 14 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TWLTL |  |  | TWLTL |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Upstream signal (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 1247 | 1248 | 417 | 1305 | 1250 | 652 | 424 |  |  | 658 |  |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | 439 | 439 |  | 804 | 804 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | 808 | 810 |  | 501 | 446 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 1247 | 1248 | 417 | 1305 | 1250 | 652 | 424 |  |  | 658 |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | 6.1 | 5.5 |  | 6.1 | 5.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 96 | 99 | 90 | 96 | 100 | 98 | 93 |  |  | 99 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 302 | 322 | 636 | 284 | 320 | 468 | 1135 |  |  | 930 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 77 | 22 | 76 | 658 | 435 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 13 | 12 | 76 | 0 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 61 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 518 | 339 | 1135 | 1700 | 930 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.39 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 13 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 13.2 | 16.3 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B | C | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 13.2 | 16.3 | 0.9 |  | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 53.3\% |  | CULevel | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^16]| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis <br> 105: 2600 North \& Canyon Road <br> Timing Plan: PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 |  |  | 5 | $\longleftarrow$ |  | $\rightarrow$ | , | 4 | 4 | * | ¢ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  | 7 | F |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 15 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 457 | 61 | 80 | 149 | 0 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 16 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 497 | 66 | 87 | 162 | 0 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 866 | 866 | 530 | 983 | 899 | 162 | 162 |  |  | 563 |  |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 866 | 866 | 530 | 983 | 899 | 162 | 162 |  |  | 563 |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 94 | 100 | 79 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  | 91 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 256 | 266 | 549 | 167 | 255 | 883 | 1417 |  |  | 1008 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SE 1 | NW 1 | NW 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 134 | 0 | 563 | 87 | 162 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 16 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 117 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 482 | 1700 | 1417 | 1008 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 28 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 15.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | C | A |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 15.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 53.1\% |  | Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

| 106: 2600 North \& 900 West |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Timing Plan: PM Peak |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\dagger$ |  | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | $\stackrel{1}{5}$ |  | M |  |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 52 | 142 | 116 | 57 | 33 | 26 |  |  |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |  |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 57 | 154 | 126 | 62 | 36 | 28 |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (fts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None | None |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 188 |  |  |  | 424 | 157 |  |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 188 |  |  |  | 424 | 157 |  |  |
| t , single (s) | 4.1 |  |  |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 96 |  |  |  | 94 | 97 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1386 |  |  |  | 563 | 888 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane\# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 211 | 188 | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 57 | 0 | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 62 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1386 | 1700 | 671 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 3 | 0 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 2.3 | 0.0 | 10.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 2.3 | 0.0 | 10.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 2.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 33.3\% |  | CU Level | Service | A |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
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HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
114: 2600 North \& 600 West
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HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

| 123: 1100 North \& 60 | 0 W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Timing Plan: PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | 4 | $>$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\dagger$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 30 | 262 | 23 | 39 | 186 | 19 | 31 | 140 | 96 | 13 | 85 | 23 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 33 | 285 | 25 | 42 | 202 | 21 | 34 | 152 | 104 | 14 | 92 | 25 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (fts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right tum flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | TWLTL |  |  | TWLTL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ti) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 223 |  |  | 310 |  |  | 721 | 670 | 297 | 840 | 672 | 212 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 362 | 362 |  | 297 | 297 |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 358 | 308 |  | 543 | 375 |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 223 |  |  | 310 |  |  | 721 | 670 | 297 | 840 | 672 | 212 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.1 | 5.5 |  | 6.1 | 5.5 |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 98 |  |  | 97 |  |  | 92 | 70 | 86 | 95 | 82 | 97 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1346 |  |  | 1251 |  |  | 444 | 511 | 742 | 277 | 501 | 828 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 342 | 42 | 223 | 290 | 132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 33 | 42 | 0 | 34 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 25 | 0 | 21 | 104 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1346 | 1251 | 1700 | 565 | 495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.51 | 0.27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 2 | 3 | 0 | 73 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.9 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 17.9 | 14.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A | A |  | C | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.9 | 1.3 |  | 17.9 | 14.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  | C | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 58.1\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## SR-89 5/14/2007 2008 Existing Conditions <br> 6/12/2009

| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 124: 800 North \& 600 West |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Timing Plan: PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 7 | 9 | 18 | 23 | 9 | 7 | 25 | 261 | 20 | 2 | 173 | 7 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 8 | 10 | 20 | 25 | 10 | 8 | 27 | 284 | 22 | 2 | 188 | 8 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 558 | 556 | 192 | 570 | 549 | 295 | 196 |  |  | 305 |  |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 558 | 556 | 192 | 570 | 549 | 295 | 196 |  |  | 305 |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 98 | 98 | 98 | 94 | 98 | 99 | 98 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 422 | 430 | 850 | 409 | 434 | 745 | 1377 |  |  | 1255 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 37 | 42 | 333 | 198 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 8 | 25 | 27 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 20 | 8 | 22 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 579 | 451 | 1377 | 1255 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 5 | 8 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.6 | 13.8 | 0.8 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B | B | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 11.6 | 13.8 | 0.8 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 41.2\% |  | Leve | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

| 127: 1100 North \& 30 | 0 Ea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Timing Plan: PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | $>$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\dagger$ |  | \% | F |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 14 | 199 | 19 | 35 | 100 | 7 | 35 | 25 | 68 | 2 | 10 | 13 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 15 | 216 | 21 | 38 | 109 | 8 | 38 | 27 | 74 | 2 | 11 | 14 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (fts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right tum flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | WLTL |  |  | TWLTL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ti) |  | 1157 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 116 |  |  | 237 |  |  | 461 | 449 | 227 | 523 | 456 | 112 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 257 | 257 |  | 189 | 189 |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 204 | 192 |  | 334 | 267 |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 116 |  |  | 237 |  |  | 461 | 449 | 227 | 523 | 456 | 112 |
| tC , single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.1 | 5.5 |  | 6.1 | 5.5 |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 |  |  | 97 |  |  | 94 | 96 | 91 | 100 | 98 | 98 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1472 |  |  | 1330 |  |  | 637 | 611 | 813 | 521 | 594 | 940 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 15 | 237 | 38 | 116 | 139 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 15 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 38 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 21 | 0 | 8 | 74 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1472 | 1700 | 1330 | 1700 | 713 | 725 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.20 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.5 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 10.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | A |  | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.5 |  | 1.9 |  | 11.3 | 10.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 3.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 39.0\% |  | CU Level | of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^19]| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis <br> 130: 1100 North \& 500 Ear |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | * |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 8 | 193 | 29 | 3 | 103 | 1 | 20 | 14 | 8 | 1 | 10 | 7 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 9 | 210 | 32 | 3 | 112 | 1 | 22 | 15 | 9 | 1 | 11 | 8 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | TWLTL |  |  | TWLTL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 113 |  |  | 241 |  |  | 375 | 362 | 226 | 378 | 378 | 112 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 243 | 243 |  | 119 | 119 |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 132 | 120 |  | 259 | 259 |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 113 |  |  | 241 |  |  | 375 | 362 | 226 | 378 | 378 | 112 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.1 | 5.5 |  | 6.1 | 5.5 |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 97 | 98 | 99 | 100 | 98 | 99 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1476 |  |  | 1325 |  |  | 698 | 658 | 814 | 677 | 648 | 940 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 250 | 116 | 46 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 9 | 3 | 22 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 32 | 1 | 9 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1476 | 1325 | 702 | 739 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.3 | 0.2 | 10.5 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A | A | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.3 | 0.2 | 10.5 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 31.2\% |  | Leve | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


## SR-89 5/14/2007 2008 Existing Conditions <br> 6/12/2009

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
139: 500 North \& 700 East
Timing Plan: PM Peak


## SR-89 5/14/2007 2008 Existing Condition <br> 12/2009

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
145: 200 South \& Locust Ave

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NWL | NWR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | \% |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 352 | 125 | 40 | 194 | 86 | 72 |  |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 383 | 136 | 43 | 211 | 93 | 78 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (fts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume |  |  | 518 |  | 748 | 451 |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol |  |  | 518 |  | 748 | 451 |  |
| tC, single (s) |  |  | 4.1 |  | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |
| tc, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) |  |  | 2.2 |  | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |
| p0 queue free \% |  |  | 96 |  | 74 | 87 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) |  |  | 1048 |  | 364 | 609 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NW 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 518 | 254 | 172 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 43 | 93 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 136 | 0 | 78 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1700 | 1048 | 446 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.30 | 0.04 | 0.39 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 3 | 45 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 1.8 | 18.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  | A | c |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 | 1.8 | 18.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 3.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 57.7\% |  | CU Level | Service | B |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

[^20]| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 146: Center Street \& 700 East |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Timing Plan: PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\rangle$ | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 19 | 177 | 9 | 30 | 63 | 5 | 9 | 87 | 47 | 9 | 40 | 23 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 21 | 192 | 10 | 33 | 68 | 5 | 10 | 95 | 51 | 10 | 43 | 25 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 74 |  |  | 202 |  |  | 422 | 378 | 197 | 473 | 380 | 71 |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 74 |  |  | 202 |  |  | 422 | 378 | 197 | 473 | 380 | 71 |
| tC , single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 |  |  | 98 |  |  | 98 | 82 | 94 | 98 | 92 | 97 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1526 |  |  | 1370 |  |  | 482 | 534 | 844 | 396 | 532 | 991 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 223 | 107 | 155 | 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 21 | 33 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 10 | 5 | 51 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1526 | 1370 | 602 | 594 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.26 | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 1 | 2 | 26 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.8 | 2.5 | 13.0 | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A | A | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.8 | 2.5 | 13.0 | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 28.0\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis


[^21]| HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 152: 200 South \& 1300 East |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 48 | 55 | 23 | 2 | 47 | 4 | 16 | 23 | 4 | 12 | 14 | 14 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 52 | 60 | 25 | 2 | 51 | 4 | 17 | 25 | 4 | 13 | 15 | 15 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 55 |  |  | 85 |  |  | 257 | 236 | 72 | 251 | 247 | 53 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 55 |  |  | 85 |  |  | 257 | 236 | 72 | 251 | 247 | 53 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 97 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 97 | 96 | 100 | 98 | 98 | 98 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1549 |  |  | 1512 |  |  | 655 | 641 | 990 | 660 | 633 | 1014 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 137 | 58 | 47 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 52 | 2 | 17 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 25 | 4 | 4 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1549 | 1512 | 668 | 739 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 3 | 0 | 6 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 3.0 | 0.3 | 10.8 | 10.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A | A | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 3.0 | 0.3 | 10.8 | 10.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 23.7\% |  | Leve | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

| 157: Murdock Drive \& | $\begin{array}{r} \times 150 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Eas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Timing Plan: PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | $\rangle$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | P | 4 | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 5 | 4 |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | EBR2 | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | NWL2 | NWL | NWR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ |  |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ${ }^{4}$ |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 17 | 61 | 49 | 103 | 54 | 2 | 47 | 5 | 21 | 16 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 0 | 18 | 66 | 53 | 112 | 59 | 2 | 51 | 5 | 23 | 17 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (fts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right tum flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 315 | 335 | 54 | 57 |  |  | 171 |  |  | 382 | 309 | 14 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 315 | 335 | 54 | 57 |  |  | 171 |  |  | 382 | 309 | 141 |
| tC, single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 100 | 97 | 93 | 97 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 96 | 97 | 100 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 606 | 564 | 1013 | 1548 |  |  | 1407 |  |  | 511 | 584 | 907 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 | NW 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 85 | 224 | 59 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 53 | 2 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 66 | 59 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 864 | 1548 | 1407 | 540 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 8 | 3 | 0 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.6 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 12.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A | A | A | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.6 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 12.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 4.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 36.3\% |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## SR-89 5/14/2007 2008 Existing Conditions <br> 6/12/2009

| HCM Unsignalized Intersection 165: 1000 South \& Locust Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Timing Plan: PM Peak |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rangle$ | $\geqslant$ | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | M |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) | 273 | 58 | 36 | 130 | 98 | 114 |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |  |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 297 | 63 | 39 | 141 | 107 | 124 |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (tts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  | None | None |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 388 | 168 | 230 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 388 | 168 | 230 |  |  |  |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{t}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 50 | 93 | 97 |  |  |  |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 597 | 876 | 1337 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 360 | 180 | 230 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 297 | 39 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 63 | 0 | 124 |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 633 | 1337 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.57 | 0.03 | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 89 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 17.9 | 1.9 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | C | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 17.9 | 1.9 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 49.6\% |  | ICU Level of | Service | A |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |

## SR-89 5/14/2007 2008 Existing Conditions <br> 12/2009

| HCM Unsignalized In 166: Center Street \& | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ters } \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | ction East | apac | y Ane |  |  |  |  |  | Timin | Plan: | Peak |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Volume (vph) | 36 | 139 | 65 | 19 | 125 | 0 | 26 | 148 | 24 | 9 | 136 | 31 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 39 | 151 | 71 | 21 | 136 | 0 | 28 | 161 | 26 | 10 | 148 | 34 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 261 | 157 | 215 | 191 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Leff (vph) | 39 | 21 | 28 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 71 | 0 | 26 | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.10 | 0.06 | -0.01 | -0.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 5.2 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.38 | 0.24 | 0.32 | 0.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 644 | 593 | 613 | 615 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.3 | 10.2 | 10.8 | 10.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 11.3 | 10.2 | 10.8 | 10.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay 10.8 |  |  | 10.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Level of Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity UtilizationAnalysis Period (min) |  |  | 43.9\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^22]
## Appendix C: Access Management Guidelines

## Access Management

Access management is the practice of coordinating the location, number, spacing, and design of access points to minimize site access conflicts and maximize the traffic capacity and safety of a roadway. Uncoordinated growth along major travel corridors often results in strip development and a proliferation of access points. In many of these instances, each individual development along the corridor has its own access driveway. Numerous access points along major travel corridors create unnecessary conflicts between turning and through traffic which causes delays and accidents. Numerous benefits are derived from controlling the location and number of access points to a roadway. Those benefits include:

- Improving overall roadway safety
- Reducing the total number of vehicle trips
- Decreasing interruptions in traffic flow
- Minimizing traffic delays and congestion
- Maintaining roadway capacity
- Extending the useful life of roads
- Avoiding costly highway projects
- Improving air quality
- Encouraging compact development patterns
- Improving access to adjacent land uses
- Enhancing pedestrian and bicycle facilities


## Principles of Access Management

Constantly growing traffic congestion, concerns over traffic safety, and the ever increasing cost of upgrading roads have generated interest in managing the access to not only the highway system, but to surface streets as well. Access management is the process that provides access to land development while simultaneously preserving the flow of traffic on the surrounding road system in terms of safety, capacity, and speed. Access management attempts to balance the need to provide good mobility for through traffic with the requirements for reasonable access to adjacent land uses.

Arguably the most important concept in understanding the need for access management is to insure the movement of traffic and access to property is mutually exclusive. No facility can move traffic very well and provide unlimited access at the same time. Figure 1 shows the relationship between mobility, access, and the functional classification of streets. The extreme examples of this concept are the freeways and the cul-de-sac. The freeway moves traffic very well with few opportunities for access, while the cul-de-sac has unlimited opportunities for access, but doesn't move traffic very well. In many
cases, accidents and congestion are the result of streets trying to serve both mobility and access at the same time.

A good access management program will accomplish the following:

- Limit the number of conflict points at driveway locations.
- Separate conflict areas.
- Reduce the interference of through traffic.
- Provide sufficient spacing for at-grade, signalized intersections.
- Provide adequate on-site circulation and storage.


Figure 1 Mobility vs. Access by Functional Classification

Access management attempts to put an end to the seemingly endless cycle of road improvements followed by increased access, increased congestion, and the need for more road improvements.

Poor planning and inadequate control of access can quickly lead to an unnecessarily high number of direct accesses along roadways. The movements that occur on and off roadways at driveway locations, when those driveways are too closely spaced, can make it very difficult for through traffic to flow smoothly at desired speeds and levels of safety. The American Association of State Highways and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) state that "the number of accidents is disproportionately higher at driveways than at other intersections...thus their design and location merits special consideration."

Studies have shown that anywhere between 50 and 70 percent of all crashes that occur on the urban street system are access related.

Fewer direct accesses, greater separation of driveways, and better driveway design and location are the basic elements of access management. There is less occasion for through traffic to brake and change lanes in order to avoid turning traffic when these techniques are implemented uniformly and comprehensively.

Consequently, with good access management, the flow of traffic will be smoother and average travel speeds higher. There will definitely be less potential for accidents. According to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), before and after analyses show that routes with well managed access can experience 50 percent fewer accidents than comparable facilities with no access controls.

## Roadway Functional Classification

Access spacing should recognize that access and mobility are competing functions. This recognition is fundamental to the design of roadway systems that preserve public investments, contribute to traffic safety, reduce fuel consumption and vehicle emissions, and do not become functionally obsolete. Suitable functional design of the roadway system also preserves the private investment in residential and commercial development

A typical trip on an urban street system can be described as occurring in identifiable steps. These steps can be sorted into a definite hierarchy with respect to how the competing functions of mobility and access are satisfied. At the low end of the hierarchy are highway facilities that provide good access to abutting properties, but provide limited opportunity for through movement. Vehicles entering or exiting a roadway typically perform the ingress or egress maneuver at a very low speed, momentarily blocking through traffic and impeding the movement of traffic on the roadway. At the high end of the hierarchy are facilities that provide good mobility by limiting and controlling access to the roadway, thereby reducing conflicts that slow the flow of through traffic.

Roadway specialization simply means using each individual street facility to perform the desired mix of the functions of access or movement. This is accomplished by classifying highways with respect to the amount of access or mobility they are to provide and then identifying and using the most effective facility to perform that function.

The functional system of classification divides streets into three basic classes identified as arterials, collectors, and local streets. The function of an arterial is to provide for mobility of through traffic. Access to an arterial is controlled to reduce interferences and facilitate through movement. Collector streets provide a mix for the functions of mobility and access, and therefore accomplish neither well. The predominate purpose of local streets is to provide good access. Each class of roadway has its own geometric, traffic control, and spacing requirements.

## Roadway Network and Access Management Standards

The access management concepts and standards presented below are consistent with guidelines established by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), the Transportation Research Board (TRB), and the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE).

## Access Management Techniques

There are a number of access management techniques that can be used to preserve or enhance the capacity of a roadway. Specific techniques for managing access are discussed in this section and illustrated with examples. Not all techniques will apply to every situation. Some of them are more appropriate to less developed rural areas of the City, whereas others are more appropriate in the urban areas. In the urban areas, the techniques can be applied when existing sites are redeveloped or when negotiations with landowners are successful. Therefore, it is up to the City's Planning Board to determine what will work best based in each situation.

## Number of Access Points

Controlling the number of access points or driveways from a site to a roadway reduces potential conflicts between cars, pedestrians, and bicycles. Each parcel should normally be allowed one access point, and shared access is required were possible. Provisions can be made in the local land use regulations to allow for more than one access point where special circumstances would require additional accesses. Incentives such as density bonuses or reduced frontage requirements can encourage developers to utilize access from existing side roads or to construct side roads rather than directly access an arterial or a collector road.

## Spacing of Access Points

Establishing a minimum distance between access points reduces the number of points a driver has to observe and reduces the opportunity for conflicts. Spacing requirements should be based on the classification and design speed of the road, the existing and projected volume of traffic as a result of the proposed development, and the physical conditions of the site. Minimum spacing standards should be applied to both residential and commercial/industrial developments.

To ensure efficient traffic flow, new signals should be limited to locations where the progressive movement of traffic will not be impeded significantly. Uniform, or near uniform, spacing of signals is essential for the progression of traffic. As a minimum, signals should be spaced no closer than one-quarter mile ( 1,320 feet). It may be recommended on principal arterial streets that signals be spaced at one-third mile ( 1,760 feet) to one-half mile ( 2,640 feet).

Unsignalized driveways are far more common than signalized driveways. They affect all kinds of activity, not merely large activity centers. Traffic operational factors leading toward wider spacing of driveways (especially medium- and higher-volume driveways) include weaving and merging distances, stopping sight distance, acceleration rates, and storage distance for back-to-
back left turns. From a spacing perspective, these driveways should be treated the same as public streets. Sound traffic engineering criteria indicates that 500 feet or more should be provided between full-movement unsignalized accesses.

Restricted access movement (i.e., right-in/right-out access) can provide for additional access to promote economic development with minimum impact to the roadway facility. This type of access should be spaced to allow for a minimum of traffic conflicts and provide distance for deceleration and acceleration of traffic in and out of the access. The spacing requirement of accesses is based on the functional classification of the roadway facility and is shown in Table 1. Access spacing shall be measured from center of access to center of access. The spacing of right-turn accesses on each side of a divided roadway can be treated separately; however, where left-turn at median breaks are involved, the access on both sides should line up or be offset from the median break by a minimum of 300 feet. On undivided roadways, access on both sides of the road should be aligned. Where this is not possible, driveways should have an offset distance based on the roadway classification (Table 2). This offset is the distance from the center of an access to the center of the next access on the opposite side of the road.

Table 1 Access Spacing Based on Functional Classification

| Functional <br> Classification | Minimum Signal <br> Spacing (ft)* | Minimum Unsignalized <br> Full-Movement Access <br> Spacing (ft)* | Minimum Right- <br> In/Right-Out Access <br> Spacing (ft)* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Arterial | 2,640 | 660 | 330 |
| Minor Arterial | 1,320 | 500 | 250 |
| Collector | 1,320 | 500 | 250 |
| Commercial Local | 1,320 | 660 | 330 |
| Residential Local | 1,320 | 125 | 100 |
| Residential Sub-Local | 1,320 | 100 | 75 |

*Distances in table are measured from center to center of driveway.
Table 2 Minimum Offset between Driveways on Opposite Sides of Undivided Roadways

| Functional Classification | Minimum Offset (ft)* |
| :---: | :---: |
| Major Arterial | 600 for speed $\geq 45 \mathrm{mph}$ and 300 for speeds $<45 \mathrm{mph}$ |
| Minor Arterial | 220 |
| Collector | 200 |
| Commercial Local | 200 |
| Residential Local | N/A |
| Residential Sub-Local | N/A |
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## Medians

Medians are used to control and manage left turns and crossing movements as well as separating traffic moving in opposite directions. Restricting left turning movements reduces the conflicts between through and turning traffic resulting in improved safety. Studies have shown that the installation of a non-traversable median will reduce crashes by $30 \%$ over that of a two way left turn lane (TWLTL). Medians are typically used on arterial or other roadways with high volumes of traffic and four or more lanes of traffic.

The use and design of a median is determined by the characteristics of the roadway such as: traffic volumes, speed, number and configuration of lanes, right-of-way width and land uses along the roadway. The need for a median can be identified through engineering review, a traffic study assessing the impact of a proposed project, and should be considered on any roadway that has a speed limit greater than 40 MPH . Medians can improve pedestrian safety by providing a refuge area for those crossing the street. The designer should consider incorporating pedestrian refuge at all major intersection crossings.

In addition, medians are often used in commercial and residential developments to separate lanes of traffic and limit conflicts caused by left turns. Medians can also add to the overall aesthetics of a roadway corridor or a development by incorporating landscaping or other items of visual interest. A well designed roadway with good access management can be aesthetically pleasing. It provides the landscape architect greater opportunity in the development of practical and efficient landscape plans. However care should be taken to maintain sight distance around the intersection /access locations. It is therefore required that only ground cover plantings be planted within 350 feet of an intersection/access opening. Also care should be taken to select landscape materials and location of the materials that will not intrude into the roadway which could result a safety problem for the motorist. Also care should be taken in selection of trees that when mature will not be larger than a 4 inch diameter.

Continuous two way left turn lanes can reduce the conflict and delays caused by vehicles turning left through on-coming traffic. Left turn lanes also reduce accidents caused by slowing vehicles and traffic going around on the right. Two way left turn lanes should only be used to retrofit areas of existing development and shall be limited to a roadway with less than 18,000 ADT. New roads that utilize other access management techniques should not need a two way left turn lane.

Median openings are provided at all signalized at-grade intersections. They are also generally provided at unsignalized junctions of arterial and collector streets. They may be provided at driveways, where they will have minimum impact on roadway flow. The spacing of median openings for signalize driveways should reflect traffic signal coordination requirements and the storage-space needed for left turns. Minimum desired spacing of unsignalized median openings at driveways shall be based on the left turn storage requirements. Median openings for left-
turn entrances (where there is no left-turn exit from the activity center) should be spaced to allow sufficient storage for left-turning vehicles.

Left-turn ingress or egress requires a median opening when traffic traveling in opposing directions is separated by a barrier median. Median widths commonly vary from 30 inches to over 30 feet. A 14 foot median is desirable in order to provide for an adequate left turn lane at intersections.

Design elements include the median width, the spacing of median openings and the geometries of median noses at opening. Typically, median widths at intersections are 30 inches formed by two 15 inch curbs back to back with a plowable (tapered) end.

## Corner Clearance

Corner Clearance is the distance between a driveway and an intersection. Providing adequate corner clearance improves traffic flow and roadway safety by ensuring that the traffic turning into the driveway does not interfere with the function of the intersection. Local regulations should require that driveways be located a minimum distance from an intersection based on roadway classification or speed. Any access opening shall not be located within the functional area of the intersection as shown in Figure 2.

## Functional Area of Intersection



Source: Adapted from Florida Department of Transportation

Figure 2 Functional Area of Intersections

Corner Clearance shall be based on an engineering study that includes the following distances illustrated in Figure 4 and Table 3. Figure 4 shows an example inadequate corner clearance that can inhibit roadway capacity and decrease safety.


Figure 3 Corner Clearance Types

Table 3 Corner Clearance Criteria

| Clearance Type | Sample Clearance Criteria |
| :--- | :--- |
| A- Approach side on the major roadway | Equal or exceed the functional distance of <br> the intersection d1+d2+d3 (based on <br> engineering study). <br> d1= Distance traveled during perception <br> d2 = Distance traveled while driver <br> decelerates to a stop <br> d3= Storage length |
| B- Departure side on the major roadway | Residential Roadways <br> Collector Roadways <br> Arterial Roadways |
| C- Approach side on the minor roadway | Shall be a minimum of 100 feet |
| D- Departure side on the minor roadway | Shall be a minimum of 120 feet |
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## Figure 4 Inadequate Corner Clearance

## Width of Access Points

Uncontrolled access is a serious hazard for vehicles entering or exiting a site, vehicles passing by a site, bicyclists and pedestrians. In addition to limiting the number of access points, the width of the access point should be restricted based on the use of the site in question. Residential driveways should be limited to a maximum width of 32 feet at the edge of pavement, including turning radii. The maximum width for a commercial or industrial site entrance with two-way traffic should be limited to 44 feet including $12^{\prime}$ for right out $12^{\prime}$ for left out with $16^{\prime}$ for ingress lane and 2-2 foot shoulders. The width of the entrance should be determined based on the type of use for the site, the type of traffic (i.e. cars vs. 18 wheel trucks), and the projected volume of traffic.

## Turning Radius

The turning radius of a driveway or access road affects both the flow and safety of through traffic as well as vehicles entering and exiting the roadway. The size of the turning radius affects the speed at which vehicles can exit the flow of traffic and enter a driveway. In general, the larger the turning radius, the greater the speed at which a vehicle can turn into a site. An excessively small turning radius will require a turning vehicle to slow down significantly to make the turn, therefore backing up the traffic flow or encroaching into the other lane. An excessively large turning radius will encourage turning vehicles to travel quickly, thereby creating hazards to pedestrians. Either of these situations increases the potential for accidents.

The speed of the roadway, the anticipated type and volume of the traffic, pedestrian safety and the type of use proposed for the site should be considered when evaluating the turning radius. Proposed uses that would require deliveries by large trucks (such as major retail establishments and gas stations) should provide larger turning radii to accommodate such vehicles. Other uses
such as banks, offices or areas with high pedestrian traffic could adequately be served with smaller turning radii based on the type of traffic they would generate.

## Throat Length

Throat Length is the length of the driveway that is controlled internally from turning traffic measured from the intersection with the road. Driveways should be designed with adequate throat length to accommodate queuing of the maximum number of vehicles as defined by the peak period of operation in the traffic study. This will prevent potential conflicts between traffic entering the site and internal traffic flow. Inadequate throat length may cause turning traffic to back up onto the road thereby impeding traffic flow and increasing the potential for accidents. The minimum throat length for an access into a minor commercial property is 50 feet. For major commercial development FHWA recommends a minimum throat length of 150 ' for a major driveway entrance, with $300^{\prime}$ desirable. Figure 5 shows both a poor and good example of driveway throat length.


Figure 5 Driveway Throat Length Examples

## Driveway Profiles

The slope of a driveway can dramatically influence its operation. Usage by large vehicles can have a tremendous effect on operations if slopes are severe. The profile, or grade, of a driveway should be designed to provide a comfortable and safe transition for those using the facility, and to accommodate the storm water drainage system of the roadway. A maximum grade of 2 percent for a minimum of 50' should be provided for commercial driveways. For street accesses and major traffic generators they shall be designed to meet street standards with no water ways crossing the opening. Table 4 gives the maximum change that can occur between the roadway cross-slope and the driveway slope.

Table 4 Maximum Change between Roadway Cross-Slope and Driveway Slope

| Roadway Functional <br> Classification | Driveway |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $5 \%$ | Low Volume |
| Minor Arterial | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Collector | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Commercial Local | N/A | $8 \%$ |
| Residential Local | N/A | $\leq 10 \%$ |
| Residential Sub-Local | N/A | $\leq 12 \%$ |

## Shared Access

Access points shall be shared between adjacent parcels to minimize the potential for conflict between turning and through traffic. Shared access can be used effectively for both residential and nonresidential developments. Since the issues surrounding shared access for residential and nonresidential development are slightly different, they are discussed separately.

## Residential

Residential subdivisions located along arterial or collector roadways should be required to construct an internal road system rather than be developed along the existing roadway frontage or a single access cul-de-sac. Subdivision proposals should encourage a coordinated street network by providing rights-of-way or stubs for the extension of streets to adjacent parcels. This will prevent the proliferation of driveways on arterial and collector streets and provide for an interconnected street network.

Shared driveways shall also be used to minimize the number of curb cuts in residential districts, particularly along rural arterial and collector roads. If access is necessary from an arterial or collector then shared driveways is required. Shared driveways serving more than two homes will be built to fire lane standards.

## Commercial

Joint driveways providing access to adjacent developments, and interconnections between sites, are required for all development proposals on arterial and collector roadways. Interconnections between sites can eliminate the need for additional curb cuts, thereby preserving the capacity of the roadway. This is particularly important for commercial/industrial sites and should be used to encourage the development of internal or collector roadway systems servicing more than one parcel or establishment. Future roadway rights-of-way should also be provided to promote interconnected access to vacant parcels or to facilitate the consolidation of access points for existing developments.

Pedestrian access between developments will allow people to walk between establishments, thereby reducing the number of vehicle trips. Every opportunity should be taken to provide for interconnections between existing and future developments for both vehicles and pedestrians.

## Alignment of Access Points

Street and driveway intersections represent points of conflict for vehicles, bicycles and pedestrians. All modes of travel should be able to clearly identify intersections and assess the travel patterns of vehicles and pedestrians through the intersection. To minimize the potential conflicts and improve safety, intersections and driveways shall be aligned opposite each other wherever possible and intersect roadways at a 90 degree angle. Good driveway alignment will provide vehicles, bicycles, and pedestrians with a clear line of sight and allow them to traverse the intersection more safely.

## Sight Distance

Sight distance is the length of the road that is visible to the driver. A minimum safe sight distance should be required for access points based on the roadway classification. The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) publication, A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets contains recommendations for sight distance based on the roadway design speed and grade. Providing sufficient intersection sight distance at the driveway point for vehicles using a driveway to see oncoming traffic and judge the gap to safely make their movement is essential. Vehicles should be able to enter and leave the property safely. Intersection sight distance varies, depending on the design speed of the roadway to be entered, and assumes a passenger car can turn right or left into a two-lane highway and attain 85 percent of the design speed without being overtaken by an approaching vehicle that reduces speed to 85 percent of the design speed. The table below gives intersection sight distance requirements for passenger cars. Sight distances should be adjusted with crossroad grade in accordance with AASHTO policies.

Table 5 Intersection/Driveway Sight Distance

| Posted Speed Limit (mph) | Sight Distance Required (ft)* |
| :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 335 |
| 35 | 390 |
| 40 | 445 |
| 45 | 500 |
| 50 | 555 |
| 55 | 610 |
| 60 | 665 |
| 65 | 720 |

*Based on a 2 lane roadway (for other lane configurations, refer to AASHTO for adjustments). Drivers' eye setback is assumed to be 15 feet measured from the edge of traveled way.

Normally, intersection sight distance will govern the required sight distance for the driveway but it is also important to verify that the main roadway have sufficient stopping sight distance. For example, a driver of a vehicle approaching an intersection should have an unobstructed view of the entire intersection including any traffic control devices and sufficient length along the intersecting highway to permit the driver to anticipate and avoid potential collisions. The safe stopping sight distance should be reviewed to make sure that the approaching vehicle has a clear view of the roadway in the area of the access. Sight distance may be more of a consideration in rural areas because of higher speeds and rolling/hilly terrain. The stopping sight distance will be greater for a roadway with a high speed and a downgrade as vehicles will take longer to stop in such a circumstance. Table 6 gives the safe stopping sight distance that should be provided for a driver on the roadway to have a clear view of the access/driveway. In making this determination for stopping sight distance, it should be assumed that the approaching driver's eye is 3.5 feet above the roadway surface and that the object to be seen is 2 feet above the surface of the road.

Table 6 Safe Stopping Sight Distances on Grades

| Design Speed <br> (mph) | Safe Stopping Sight Distance (ft) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Downhill Grades |  | Uphill Grades |  |
|  | $-3 \%$ | $-6 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| 25 | 158 | 165 | 147 | 143 |
| 30 | 205 | 215 | 200 | 184 |
| 35 | 257 | 271 | 237 | 229 |
| 40 | 315 | 333 | 289 | 278 |
| 45 | 378 | 400 | 344 | 331 |
| 50 | 446 | 474 | 405 | 388 |
| 55 | 520 | 553 | 469 | 450 |

## Turning Lanes

Turning lanes remove the turning traffic from the through travel lanes. Left turning lanes are used to separate the left turning traffic from the through traffic. Right turn lanes reduce traffic delays caused by the slowing of right turning vehicles. Designated right or left turn lanes are generally used in high traffic situations on arterial and collector roadways. A traffic impact study will identify the need for and make recommendations on the design of turning lanes or tapers based on the existing traffic volumes, speed, and the projected impacts of the proposed use.

## Storage Length

The length of the turning lane shall be a minimum of 100 feet and at an unsignalized intersection it shall be a minimum length to accommodate 2-25 foot vehicles based on the number of vehicles likely to arrive in a 2 minute period at peak hour. For signalized
intersections, the storage length shall be $11 / 2$ times the average number of vehicles that would queue per cycle during the peak hour based on design year volumes.

## Lane Width

Turning lanes shall normally be a minimum of 12 feet in width. Any exception will require approval from the City Engineer. For right turn lanes, provide an additional 12 feet of pavement to accommodate the lane.

## Left-turn Lanes

The provision of left-turn lanes is essential from both capacity and safety standpoints where left turns would otherwise share the use of a through lane. Shared use of a through lane will dramatically reduce capacity, especially when opposing traffic is heavy. Left-turn lanes should always be provided at a signalized intersection.

## Right-turn Lanes

Right-turn lanes remove the speed differences in the main travel lanes, thereby reducing the frequency and severity of rear-end collisions. They also increase capacity of signalized intersections and may allow more efficient traffic signal phasing.

## Length of Auxiliary Lanes

A separate turning lane consists of a taper plus a full width auxiliary lane. The design of turn lanes is based primarily on the speed at which drivers will turn into the lane, the speed to which drivers must reduce in order to turn into the driveway after traversing the deceleration lane, and the amount of vehicular storage that will be required. Other special considerations include the volume of trucks that will use the turning lane and the steepness of an ascending or descending grade.

The total length of an auxiliary lane is made up of the storage length plus the distance necessary to come to a stop from the prevailing speed of the road and the taper distance (which both vary based on speed). A taper length of 50 ft for speeds below $45 \mathrm{mph}, 75 \mathrm{ft}$ for speeds of 45 to 50 mph , and 100 ft for speeds over 50 mph is typical. If a two-lane turn lane is to be provided, it is recommended that a 10:1 taper be used to develop the dual lanes. The taper will allow for additional storage during short duration surges in traffic volumes. The length needed for a vehicles to come to a stop from either the design speed or an average running speed of a roadway are shown in Table 7. These deceleration lengths assume the roadway is on a 2 percent or less vertical grade. The storage distance plus the deceleration distance and taper distance will result in the total length of an auxiliary lane (Figure 6).

## Table 7 Deceleration Length

| Speed (mph) | Deceleration Length (ft)* |
| :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 170 |
| 35 | 220 |
| 40 | 275 |
| 45 | 340 |
| 50 | 410 |
| 55 | 485 |
| 60 | 510 |
| 65 | 570 |

*Assume the roadway is on a 2 percent or less vertical grade.


Figure 6 Auxiliary Lane Length

## Pedestrian and Bicycle Access

A key aspect of access management is reducing the number of vehicle trips. This can be accomplished by providing safe and appealing pedestrian access within developments and between adjacent developments.

All new development and redevelopment of existing sites should address pedestrian and bicycle access to and within the site. Sidewalks should be provided in all urban residential subdivisions and in or adjacent to commercial or industrial developments. Sidewalks and other pedestrian facilities should comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Standards for Accessible Design. Crosswalks should be clearly marked and located in appropriate areas. Paint or paving materials can be used to delineate crosswalks. In addition to traditional brick, an alternative involves imprinting the asphalt with a brick design and then painting the crosswalk.

Parking lot designs need to address pedestrian access to the site and circulation within the site. Five foot wide sidewalks or striped pedestrian crossings should be provided from adjacent sites through parking lots to promote safe pedestrian access. Safe and appealing pedestrian circulation systems allow people to park their cars once and walk to different establishments, resulting in an overall reduction in the number of vehicle trips. Joint and cross access between developments can provide opportunities for shared parking.

## Appendix D: Public Involvement

Public involvement is a key element to producing an effective and worthwhile transportation master plan for the City to implement and follow. Collecting and responding to public input allows City staff and decision-makers to consider all the issues and to address them appropriately. An intensive effort was put forth to collect public comment regarding this particular update of the City's transportation master plan, including the following actions:

## Website

A draft of the transportation master plan document was posted on the City's website (http://www.plgrove.org/) for the public to download and review.

## Open House

An open house was held to present the proposed updated Pleasant Grove City Transportation Master Plan to the public. The open house was held on May 13, 2009 at the Community Development Building in Pleasant Grove, Utah. The meeting was attended by approximately 80 to 100 people and 17 comments were received.

- Advertisement
- Postcard - Individual postcards were mailed to 1,316 residents located within 200 feet of a proposed roadway widening or new roadway alignment. Of the 1,316 postcards mailed, only 92 postcards were returned to sender. The postcard and mailing list are included in this report.
- Utility Bills - An announcement was placed in the Pleasant Grove City utility bills.
- Website - Details of the open house were posted on the City's website (http://www.plgrove.org/).
- Presentation - The following displays were shown to the public at the open house and are included in this report:
- Proposed Pleasant Grove 2040 Roadway Master Plan
- Existing \& Proposed Pleasant Grove Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities
- Pleasant Grove Future Transit Plans
- Typical Sections
- Comments - A comment form was provided at the public open house for residents to communicate their concerns and approval of specific elements of the proposed plan. A total of 17 comments were received. A summary of these comments as well as responses are included in this report.


## City Council and Planning Commission Meetings

A progress report of the transportation master plan update process was presented at both City Council and Planning Commission Meetings on May 26, 2009 and May 28, 2009 respectively. The presentation is included in this report.

## Final Public Hearing

A final public hearing is scheduled for June 23, 2009.

## Conclusion

Public involvement has proven to be a critical element of the planning process. As the City updates this plan in the future, public input should be collected and taken into account as this plan evolves.

## Attachments

The following items are included in this report:

- Open House Advertisement:
o Postcard
o Postcard Mailing List
o Newsletter placed in utility bills
- Open House Attendance List
- Open House Displays
- Open House Comments and Responses
- City Council and Planning Commission Meetings Presentation


## Open House Advertisement

- Postcard
- Postcard Mailing List
- Newsletter placed in utility bills



## PIEASANT GROVE CITY TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN

To view a draft of the proposed plan please visit http://www.plgrove.org/.

You may attend any time between 6:30 and 8:00, there will not be a formal presentation.

Members of the community will have an opportunity to review the proposed Transportation Master Plan for the city, including roads, transit, bicycle and pedestrian facilities. City staff will be available to answer questions and receive comments.

86 EAST 100 SOUTH PLEASANT GROVE, UTAH 84062

Orange text indicates postcards that were returned to sender.

|  | OWNER | MAIL STREET | MAIL CITY | MAIL STATE | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MAIL ZIP } \\ & \text { CODE } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AARON, JACKIE WILSON | 3894 W 9850 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ABBOTT, CHARLES F | 4411 SHEFFIELD DR | PROVO | UT | 84604 |
|  | ACA PROPERTIES L.C. | PO BOX 339 | MIDVALE | UT | 84047 |
|  | ADAIR, MORGAN B \& MARTA J JT | 50 W 725 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
|  | ADAMS, AARON B \& TIFFANY JT | 888 W 2800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ADAMS, BRADY E \& ROBIN T JT | 1491 W 80 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ADAMS, GLEN WELDON | 67 E 300 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ADAMS, J RICHINS \& MARLEENE H TEE | 98 S 1100 EAST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
|  | ADAMS, JARED \& HOLLY JT | 1567 W 80 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ADAMS, MICHAEL E \& KATHRYN J JT | 4291 N 900 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ADAMS, MICHELE ARROWSMITH | 1338 RENAISSANCE PL | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ADAMS, ORIN A \& NAOMI JT | 752 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ADAMS, PATRICIA R | 669 ROCKY KNOLL LN | DRAPER | UT | 84020 |
|  | ADAMS, PAULINE | 524 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ADAMS, THOMAS A \& BEA W TEE | 95 S 1050 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | AJF PROPERTIES LLC | 1554 N 300 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ALEMAN, JORGE A | 2267 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ALL AMERICAN DEVELOPMENT AND CONSTRU | 10253 N OAK RD | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
|  | ALL STAR AUTOMOTIVE INVESTMENTS LC | 656 N 2000 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ALLEN, JUSTIN B \& ALEXIS G JT | 681 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ALLEN, PAUL E \& JUDY JT | 60 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ALLEN, STEPHEN R \& CAROLYN JT | 166 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ALLEN, STEVEN C \& MARLA G JT | 9590 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ALLENBACH, BRENT H | 1334 RENAISSANCE PL | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ALLMAN, KELLY J \& ELIZABETH A ET AL | 2409 N 1050 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ALLRED, JASON M | 330 S 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ALLRED, KEITH B \& JUDITH L | 1240 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ALLRIDGE, DALLAN L \& SUSAN C JT | 1629 N 390 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ALLRIDGE, LEE R \& DALLAN JT | 267 N 530 EAST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
|  | ALOHA INVESTMENTS LLC | 492 W 700 SOUTH | OREM | UT | 84058 |
|  | ALPINE ECHO 1 INC | 775 COVENTRY LN | ALPINE | UT | 84004 |
|  | ALPINE PEDIATRICS PROPERTY MANAGEMEN | 1912 W 930 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ALVAREZ, ROBERT C | 1479 W 80 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | AMATO, DOUGLAS \& SUSAN G JT | PO BOX 204 | VINA | CA | 96092 |
|  | AMERICAN SPRINGS DEVELOPMENT COMPANY | 146 W 700 NORTH | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
|  | AMG ENTERPRISES INC | 6 S 400 WEST | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
|  | AMSOURCE PLEASANT GROVE LC ET AN INT | 358 S RIO GRANDE ST \#200 | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84101 |
|  | ANDERSON, ARRON W \& IDA C TEE | 712 E 900 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ANDERSON, CRAIG \& AMIE TEE | 1265 W 2850 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ANDERSON, DEBBIE L | 1780 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ANDERSON, JAMES A \& AUDREY R TEE | 691 E 990 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ANDERSON, JEDEDIAH J \& KIMBERLY S | 936 N 1420 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ANDERSON, KEVIN B \& LISA A JT | 795 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ANDERSON, TONY J \& GINGER M JT | 1207 W 3420 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ANDERSON, WILLIAM LET AL | 2460 W 450 SOUTH \#5 | SPRINGVILLE | UT | 84663 |
|  | ANDRUS, CHRIS | 1339 ALPINE WAY | PROVO | UT | 84606 |
|  | ANDRUS, PATRICIA L \& JON A TEE | 2445 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ANGUS, DONALD J \& LE ANN | 502 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ANTOINE BUNKER FARMS LIMITED FAMILY | 6286 W 10890 NORTH | HIGHLAND | UT | 84003 |
|  | AOK FAMILY HOLDING TRUST | PO BOX 536 | FERRON | UT | 84523 |
|  | ARCHLAND PROPERTY ILLC | PO BOX 182571 | COLUMBUS | OH | 43218 |
|  | AREVALO, JOSE R \& OLINDA J JT | 357 W 800 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
|  | ARIAS, ITALO M ET AL | 1520 E MURDOCK DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ARNEY, TRACEE L \& JAMES D JT | 738 W 2240 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | AROTEC ENG CO | 747 W 400 SOUTH | OREM | UT | 84058 |
|  | ARSON, GREG | 252 W 1290 NORTH | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
|  | ASBEY, GAYLE | 2480 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ASH, LLOYD K \& LINDA R | 294 E 300 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ASHER, DUSTY R \& LACY K JT | 1261 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
|  | ASHROSS L.C. | 530 S 250 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |


| 61 | ASHTON, RANDY D \& JULIE R JT | 331 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62 | ASTON, VERNON R \& JENNIFER P JT | 1597 N 150 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 63 | ATKINSON, ADRIAN D TEE | PO BOX 647 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 64 | ATKINSON, ARLEN T \& PATRICIA JT | 241 S 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 65 | ATKINSON, DELBERT W \& KARLA M JT | 4633 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 66 | ATKINSON, JACOB I \& AMANDA G JT | 1793 GARDEN DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 67 | ATTERTON, R BRENT \& KIM JT | 1777 N 70 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 68 | ATWOOD, GRANT L \& FLORENCE TEES | 4966 W 11000 NORTH | HIGHLAND | UT | 84003 |
| 69 | ATWOOD, SCOTT \& ERIKA TEE | 1259 W 2310 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 70 | AULT, LEO H \& VIRGINIA A JT | 357 LOADER DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 71 | AUSTIN, STEPHEN | 986 W 270 SOUTH \#103 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 72 | AVANYU ACRES OWNERS ASSOCIATION | 9543 AVANYU DR | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 73 | AVERETT, CASEY G \& TRACY JT | 1825 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 74 | BAGGS, STEPHEN F \& ARDEAN C | 5217 MCKINNEY WAY | CARMICHAEL | CA | 95608 |
| 75 | BAILEY, REBECCA | 1511 W 80 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 76 | BAIR, REED I \& JOAN L JT | 945 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 77 | BAIRD, MARTIN H | 1478 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 78 | BAKER, DENNIS | 250 SOUTH BEACHWOOD, STE 120 | BOISE | ID | 83709 |
| 79 | BAKER INVESTMENTS LLC | 250 BEECHWOOD DR \#120 | BOISE | ID | 83709 |
| 80 | BAKER, BLAIR H \& CONNIE S JT | 1021 N 1600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 81 | BAKER, JED \& SHEILA TEE | $131 / 2$ BOUSCAY AV | NORWALK | OH | 44857 |
| 82 | BALD MOUNTAIN DEVELOPMENT LLC ET AL | 5373 W 10480 NORTH | HIGHLAND | UT | 84003 |
| 83 | BALDWIN AND GAGON CONSTRUCTION COMPA | 1625 E 480 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 84 | BALDWIN, RHETT B | 986 W 270 SOUTH \#203 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 85 | BALL, DANA D | 2059 TUSCANY WAY | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 86 | BANK OF AMERICAN FORK | 33 E MAIN ST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 87 | BANKS, BRET C \& LISA M JT | 990 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 88 | BARIA, JO ANN | 3959 SIDNEY ST SE | LACEY | WA | 98503 |
| 89 | BARNEY, DAVID \& HEATHER JT | 1361 W 50 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 90 | BARNHARDT, ROLLAND J \& ROLAND JT | 306 S 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 91 | BASSETT, TOM | PO BOX 727 | BIGGS | CA | 95917 |
| 92 | BATCHLER, JACK W \& RUTH J | PO BOX 580 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 93 | BATH, JANA W \& NORMAN J TIC | 1004 W 1000 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 94 | BAUGH, CASEY | 4937 W 11000 NORTH | HIGHLAND | UT | 84003 |
| 95 | BAUMAN, JOHN A \& LYNDA D | 1150 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 96 | BAXTER, KAY F | 25 SMITH LN | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 97 | BEAGLEY, HEATHER J \& HEATHER J | 9540 N CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 98 | BEAN, CINDY TEE | 9231 S REDWOOD RD | WEST JORDAN | UT | 84088 |
| 99 | BEAN, CINDY R | 15 S 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 100 | BEAR DEVELOPMENT LLC | 838 W 4230 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 101 | BECK, DARREL J \& CINDIE K JT | 798 W 1000 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 102 | BEESLEY, WAYNE | 702 UTAH AV | PROVO | UT | 84606 |
| 103 | BEFUS, SCOTT JASON | 84 S 850 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 104 | BELLISTON, FAYE S \& MARCUS J TEE | 147 W HIDDEN HOLLOW CIR | OREM | UT | 84058 |
| 105 | BELMONT ESTATES LLC | 1549 E 400 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 106 | BENNETT LAND HOLDINGS LLC ET AL | 5 IRONWOOD DR | NORTH SALT LAKE | UT | 84054 |
| 107 | BENNETT, GLENNETA R | 4591 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 108 | BENNETT, LAMAE H | 125 E 500 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 109 | BENSON, C DAVID \& SANDRA K JT | 980 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 110 | BENSON, JO ANN \& DONALD W JT | 420 E 300 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 111 | BERGESON, DEAN R \& DIXIE A JT | 701 E 990 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 112 | BEST, JOHN E \& JULIE TEE | 2356 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 113 | BETHERS, DALE F \& EDITH H | 2831 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 114 | BEVERIDGE, GREGORY C \& NORMA JT | 1178 W 3300 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 115 | BEVERIDGE, KENDALL LAMAR TEE | 10996 N 4800 WEST | HIGHLAND | UT | 84003 |
| 116 | BEZZANT, DOUGLAS G \& TAMRA B TIC | 376 S LOCUST AV | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 117 | BEZZANT, MAE S TEE | 360 S LOCUST AV | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 118 | BEZZANT, RICHARD L \& LORNA E JT | 325 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 119 | BIG SPRINGS DEVELOPMENT INC | 1610 N 525 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 120 | BIGELOW, BARBARA \& BRENT R TEE | 866 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 121 | BIGELOW, ROBERT B \& STEPHANIE JT | 1370 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 122 | BIGELOW, ROBERT D \& JILL B | 1330 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 123 | BINGHAM, ROBERT I \& RONNIE J | 1585 N MURDOCK DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 124 | BIRD, RYAN G \& JENNY A JT | 319 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 125 | BISHOP, ANDREW | 1476 N FREEDOM BLVD | PROVO | UT | 84604 |


| 126 | BISHOP, GREGORY L \& JESSICA N JT | 2845 N 900 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 127 | BISHOP, JARED L | 688 W 2760 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 128 | BISHOP, REBECCA S \& STEVEN A TEE | 399 E STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 129 | BLACK SCOT DEVELOPMENT LC | 1093 E 20 SOUTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 130 | BLACK SCOT DEVELOPMENT LLC | 3214 N UNIVERSITY AV \#104 | PROVO | UT | 84604 |
| 131 | BLACK, DUBBY J \& AMY L JT | 119 E 1640 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 132 | BLACKHAM, MAX A \& MARY L JT | 2024 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 133 | BLACKHAM, NATHAN H \& JESSICA JT | 1635 W 50 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 134 | BLACKHURST, M DEAN \& CHRISTIN TEE | PO BOX 79 | NEPHI | UT | 84648 |
| 135 | BLACKHURST, MICHAEL D \& CAROL JT | 2575 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 136 | BLACKHURST, REESE BERRY ET AL | 414 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 137 | BLAKE, DAVID C ET AL AN INT | 265 N COUNTRY MANOR LN | ALPINE | UT | 84004 |
| 138 | BLAKE, PHILIP T \& HELEN | 29 S 2000 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 139 | BLANCO, GERARDO R \& JANA L JT | 986 N 1600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 140 | BLUE CHROME INVESTMENTS LLC | 1458 E 300 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 141 | BLUE RIBBON STORAGE LLC | 754 E 1200 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 142 | BOBO, DOUGLAS J \& MARCELLE JT | 2728 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 143 | BOONE, JACOB H \& CHERYL E JT | 9454 CANYON RD | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 144 | BORWEGEN, THOMAS G \& GEORGIAN JT | 359 E 500 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 145 | BOUDREAUX, BRANDON | 9332 CANYON RD | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 146 | BOWCUT, DON L \& NORA G JT | 1130 W STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 147 | BOWEN, BRIAN D \& JILL A JT | 651 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 148 | BOWEN, RICHARD L \& JANET M JT | 715 W 2000 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 149 | BOWER, GENE \& MAY TEE | 450 W CENTER ST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 150 | BOWERS, CHARLES REX | 1285 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 151 | BOWN, JAY ET AL | 795 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 152 | BOX ELDER PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNER | 11038 HIGHLAND BLVD \#100 | HIGHLAND | UT | 84003 |
| 153 | BOX, PATRICK M \& MARLENE JT | 1835 N 820 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 154 | BOYD, GERALD | 668 W 4000 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 155 | BOYER, D ROY \& LORRAINE S TEE | 2622 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 156 | BPW LLC | 1801 GLORY CREEK DR | LAS VEGAS | NV | 89128 |
| 157 | BRADSHAW, KIETH ( \& DOROTHY A JT | 4341 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 158 | BRADSHAW, WARREN B \& LE ORA E TEE | 210 N PRESTON DR | ALPINE | UT | 84004 |
| 159 | BRAGONJE LLC | 2480 S 3850 WEST \#C | WEST VALLEY CITY | UT | 84120 |
| 160 | BRANCOLINO, MATIAS \& ANGELICA | 180 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 161 | BRANDT, DON ET AL | 250 BEECHWOOD DR \#120 | BOISE | ID | 83709 |
| 162 | BRANDT, DON ET AL 30\%INT | 203 11TH AV SOUTH | NAMPA | ID | 83651 |
| 163 | BRANDT, WILLIAM J \& MITZI JT | 1594 W 1010 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 164 | BRANIN, JAMES M \& KATHY M JT | 3473 N MAHOGANY DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 165 | BRATT, DEBRA | 185 S STATE ST \#1300 | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84111 |
| 166 | BRATT, JON R \& DEBRA R TEE | 635 S 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 167 | BRATT, LYNN M \& ELIZABETH A JT | 637 S 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 168 | BRB ENTERPRISES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP | 750 W PIONEER BLVD | MESQUITE | NV | 89027 |
| 169 | BRENNAN, DAVID S \& CARMEN K JT | 1951 TUSCANY WAY | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 170 | BRERETON, STERLING J \& DIANE JT | 205 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 171 | BRERETON, WESTON | 10363 N 6680 WEST | HIGHLAND | UT | 84003 |
| 172 | BRIA, CAMERON S \& JAIME L JT | 364 E 300 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 173 | BRIMHALL, VINCE A \& LORRIE A JT | 1244 W 3040 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 174 | BROCKBANK, ROGER R | 4646 HIGHLAND DR | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84117 |
| 175 | BROMLEY, WILLIAM K \& DIANA JT | 1714 N 70 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 176 | BRONK, BRIAN | 623 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 177 | BROOKWOOD CONSTRUCTION \& DESIGN INC | 133 W 640 NORTH | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 178 | BROWN, COLLEEN C TEE | 9610 OLD ORCHARD LN | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 179 | BROWN, ELISE M ET AL | 81 N 1620 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 180 | BROWN, LARRY V \& YVONNE K ET AL | 930 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 181 | BROWNING, JENNIFER P \& CORY R JT | 2869 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 182 | BRUNDAGE-BONE CONCRETE PUMPING INC | 350 W 700 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 183 | BRYANT, PATRICIA | 18583 JEFFERSON AV | CEDAR VALLEY | UT | 84013 |
| 184 | BRYANT, R JACOB \& REBECCA JT | 3686 N 900 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 185 | BUCKNER, CHAD W \& MICKIE JT | 3870 MOUNTAIN TOP CIR | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 186 | BULLOCK, HAZEL H | 1025 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 187 | BULLOCK, MARY T | 159 S PLEASANT GROVE BLVD \#15 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 188 | BULLOCK, W BRENT \& CONNIE L JT | 1419 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 189 | BURGENER, GERRY \& DANA JT | 1357 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 190 | BURKETT, BRYANT \& ELLEN JT | 523 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |


| 191 | BURR, BRYAN ET AL TEE | 210 N PRESTON DR | ALPINE | UT | 84004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 192 | BURR, DANIEL S \& KRISTEN D JT | 9691 CHESTERFIELD DR | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 193 | BURR, LOYE ANN | 254 S 1100 EAST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 194 | BURT, FLORENCE M TEE | 78 W 725 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 195 | BURTT, KEVIN M | 1251 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 196 | BUSHMAN, GERALD L \& PEGGY A TEE | 990 E 900 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 197 | BYBEE, CHAD | 145 S PROCTOR LA | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 198 | BYLUND PROPERTIES LLC | 411 S 640 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 199 | CABIN LAND LLC | 501 S MAIN ST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 200 | CABINLAND LLC | 1 E CENTER ST \#321 | PROVO | UT | 84606 |
| 201 | CAIN PROPERTIES LC | 14829 GRANITE RIDGE LN | DRAPER | UT | 84020 |
| 202 | CALDWELL, ROGER B \& JILL JT | 680 W 2300 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 203 | CALL, JAMES E \& SANDRA L JT | 706 W 2240 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 204 | CALTON, GORDON H \& KARI L JT | 1309 W 2180 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 205 | CAMPBELL, CLINT E \& JENNIFER JT | 236 E 1640 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 206 | CAMPBELL, GARY J \& LINDA B JT | 73 S 850 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 207 | CAPITAL COMMUNITY BANCORPORATION INC | 3280 N UNIVERSITY AV | PROVO | UT | 84604 |
| 208 | CARD, KAREN N \& KENNETH JT | 2899 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 209 | CARLSON, JOSEPH W \& CAROL E JT | 1243 W 3040 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 210 | CARR, CHAD C \& ALISON M JT | 1778 N 70 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 211 | CARSON, CLYDE W \& THELMA B ET JT | 1807 W 1100 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 212 | CARSON, EVA D \& DIANE ET AL | 1625 N FREEDOM BLVD | PROVO | UT | 84604 |
| 213 | CARTER, CARL \& MARSHA JT | 1347 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 214 | CARTER, DENNIS L \& DIANA M JT | 9 E 700 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 215 | CARTER, ROBERT E \& VANIECE M | 205 S 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 216 | CARTER, ROBERT E \& VANIECE M JT | PO BOX 156 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 217 | CARTER, ROSEMARY \& FRANCINE JT | 681 W STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 218 | CARTER, WESLEY E \& MARLENE J JT | 14 W 725 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 219 | CASABAR, DAMON K \& HOLLY JT | 2932 N 1130 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 220 | CASSIS LAND COMPANY INC | 372 WATERSIDE RD | HEBER CITY | UT | 84032 |
| 221 | CC INVESTMENTS LC | PO BOX 265 | HEBER CITY | UT | 84032 |
| 222 | CENTENNIAL SQUARE LIMITED COMPANY | 1148 NATHANIEL DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 223 | CENTRAL BANK | 75 N UNIVERSITY AV | PROVO | UT | 84601 |
| 224 | CENTRAL BANK CUST | 228 W 725 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 225 | CHADWICK, GLEN D \& VERNA P JT | 814 E 3540 SOUTH CIR | SAINT GEORGE | UT | 84790 |
| 226 | CHAPMAN, STEVEN \& LESLIE JT | 695 W 2240 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 227 | CHARLESWORTH, D MARK \& LACEY S | 2514 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 228 | CHASE, BRENT \& PATRICIA JT | 835 E 100 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 229 | CHAVAN, AMIT B ET AL | 179 N 1630 WEST \#72 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 230 | CHEIRASCO PROPERTIES LLC | 125 E MAIN ST \#611 | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 231 | CHITWOOD, RICHARD L ET AL | 1442 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 232 | CHOI, DONG S \& KYUNG A JT | 764 N 400 EAST | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 233 | CHORNIAK, JERRY T \& JOAN A JT | 500 S GENEVA RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 234 | CHRISTENSEN, AARON V \& BROOKE JT | 781 W 1500 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 235 | CHRISTENSEN, BRYANT \& DENNIS JT | 1201 E 1220 NORTH | OREM | UT | 84097 |
| 236 | CHRISTENSEN, DANIEL D | 1929 RIDGEHILL DR | BOUNTIFUL | UT | 84010 |
| 237 | CHRISTENSEN, EARL L | 1199 W STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 238 | CHRISTENSEN, EARL L | 4512 W 8800 NORTH | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 239 | CHRISTENSEN, NATHAN | 1473 W 80 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 240 | CHRISTENSEN, NIEL C \& ALICE W JT | 470 N 745 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 241 | CHRISTENSEN, NORRIS A \& CHERY 1/3INT | 1602 W 1000 NORTH | PROVO | UT | 84604 |
| 242 | CHRISTENSEN, PETER D \& DIANE JT | 375 S MAIN ST \#2 | ALPINE | UT | 84004 |
| 243 | CHRISTENSEN, RONALD G \& CHERY TEE | 2373 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 244 | CHRISTENSEN, RONALD G \& JAY D TIC | 1199 W STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 245 | CHRISTENSEN, ZOE J | 699 E 990 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 246 | CHRISTIANSEN, BRIAN M \& CHRIS JT | 1785 N 270 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 247 | CHRISTIANSEN, TAMMY | 2180 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 248 | CHRISTOPHERSON, JOSHUA K \& RA JT | 1258 W 2850 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 249 | CHRISTOPHERSON, LYNN A \& MELA JT | 1320 W 1340 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 250 | CHUN, WILLY ET AL | 989 W 600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 251 | CHURCH, GEORGE D \& DARLENE L TEE | 678 E 900 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 252 | CHURCH, RAYMOND A \& SHARON H JT | 165 MAPLE LN | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 253 | CINDY \& DANA LLC | 875 E 400 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 254 | CITYSIDE PROPERTIES LC | 65 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 255 | CLARK, ELVIN ET AL DBA | 448 W CENTER ST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |


| 256 | CLARK, JOHN W \& ELIZABETH M TEE | 55 E CENTER ST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 257 | CLAUNCH, JON \& CLAIRE JT | 981 S 1150 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 258 | CLEGG, TRUDI ANN | 240 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 259 | CLEMENT, KYLE \& KATHLEEN B JT | 1615 E MURDOCK DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 260 | CLINGER FAMILY PARTNERSHIP | 1511 S GENEVA RD | OREM | UT | 84058 |
| 261 | CLINGO, LYNN E \& DOROTHY TEE | 9160 CANYON RD | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 262 | CLOWARD, ROBERT G \& KRISTA JT | 1076 N 1700 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 263 | CLOWARD, RYAN B \& EMILY R JT | 1465 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 264 | CLUFF, TYLER F \& FLORIS A JT | 1985 TIMBERLINE RD | PACIFIC | MO | 63069 |
| 265 | COBABE, JOSHUA ET AL | 986 W 270 SOUTH \#301 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 266 | COBB, ROBERT L \& SYLVIA F JT | 1957 W 1100 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 267 | COLEMAN, BECKY | 261 S 930 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 268 | COLLEDGE, IVAN EUGENE ET AL | 159 N 900 EAST | SPANISH FORK | UT | 84660 |
| 269 | COLLINGS, BRUCE E \& SHIRLEY A ET AL | 298 N 1000 EAST | OREM | UT | 84097 |
| 270 | COMMONWEALTH LAND TITLE INSURANCE CO | 1200 6TH AV \#1900 | SEATTLE | WA | 98101 |
| 271 | COMPTON, AESALINA ET AL | 128 HAVEN LN | IDAHO FALLS | ID | 83404 |
| 272 | CONTINENTAL PIPE MANUFACTURING CO | 430 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 273 | COOK, CAMDEN M | 95 S 930 EAST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 274 | COOK, JEFFREY D \& STACEY JT | 1169 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 275 | COOK, JESSICA A ET AL | 298 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 276 | COOK, KEVIN M \& SUZANNE JT | 383 S LOCUST AV | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 277 | COOK, WYATT D \& LORI JT | PO BOX 728 | DUCHESNE | UT | 84021 |
| 278 | COOLEY, SAM C | 388 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 279 | CORDNER, DAWAYNE \& LINDA J JT | 2761 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 280 | CORP OF PRES BISHOP CHURCH OF JESUS | 50 E NORTH TEMPLE 12TH FLOOR | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84150 |
| 281 | COUCH, ROBERT BRINTON ET AL | 394 E 300 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 282 | COUNTY LIVING DEVELOPMENT ET AL | 1045 E 200 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 283 | COWAN, LISA \& SAMUEL R JT | 1633 N 150 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 284 | COWGILL, JUNE D | 1070 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 285 | COX, LEWIS K \& SARA S JT | 184 E STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 286 | CRANDALL, AARON | 989 SENIOR BAND RD | DRAPER | UT | 84020 |
| 287 | CREEKSIDE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION | PO BOX 476 | OREM | UT | 84059 |
| 288 | CREST HOLDINGS L.C. | 49 W 7720 SOUTH | MIDVALE | UT | 84047 |
| 289 | CROOKSTON, BETTY JEAN ET AL | 830 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 290 | CROW, JEFFERY O \& CASSIE R | 2763 N 1450 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 291 | CULLIMORE, SANDRA V TEE | 291 S 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 292 | CULLIMORE, SANDRA VERNEE | 253 S 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 293 | CUMMINGS \& CUMMINGS LLC | 935 N 400 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 294 | CUMMINGS, ROBERT S \& LORRI K JT | 148 S 1140 EAST | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 295 | D \& S DEVELOPMENT 1 LLC | 10568 N 5900 WEST | HIGHLAND | UT | 84003 |
| 296 | DALE WARBURTON AND MARILYN WA AN INT | 795 E 350 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 297 | DALEY, REX H \& SHARON L TEE | 463 E CENTER ST | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 298 | DALLIN, PAUL ET AL | 245 E 100 NORTH | OREM | UT | 84057 |
| 299 | DALTON, ORAL T TEE | 1040 N 60 EAST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 300 | DANA POINT LLC | 7611 JORDAN LANDING BLVD | WEST JORDAN | UT | 84084 |
| 301 | DANIEL, GERRY G \& SHERRY S JT | 1523 W 80 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 302 | DANIELS, MICHAEL \& BRENDA ET AN INT | 743 N HILL AV | PASADENA | CA | 91104 |
| 303 | DANIELS, STEVE | 8813 S REDWOOD RD \#C-2 | WEST JORDAN | UT | 84088 |
| 304 | DANKLEF, JAMES A \& JUDY A JT | 705 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 305 | DAVENPORT, KRISTEN | 576 W 1420 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 306 | DAVIDGE, RUDOLPH | 2424 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 307 | DAVIS, AARON S \& MESHA M JT | 28 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 308 | DAVIS, CONNIE S TEE | 1036 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 309 | DAVIS, GAYLE N \& LORRAINE S | 1289 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 310 | DAVIS, JIM ET AL 1/2INT | 2296 N 180 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 311 | DAVIS, MARK | 758 S 400 EAST | OREM | UT | 84097 |
| 312 | DAVIS, RONALD L \& SUZETTE B JT | 2873 N 900 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 313 | DAVIS, SHIRL B TEE | 1342 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 314 | DAVIS, TONI KAY | 483 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 315 | DAY, DONALD E \& ELLA R JT | 1472 RENAISSANCE PL | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 316 | DAY, LEONA WOOTEN | 1422 N 230 WEST | OREM | UT | 84057 |
| 317 | DBT PROPERTIES LC | PO BOX 746 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 318 | DBT PROPERTIES LC | 501 S MAIN ST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 319 | DCW PROPERTIES LLC | 322 S 700 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 320 | DE GROFF, ROSS D \& MARY K JT | 799 N 400 WEST | LINDON | UT | 84042 |


| 321 | DE ROEST, LAWRENCE M \& EDNA P JT | 2356 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 322 | DE VINCENT DEVELOPMENT LLC | 1121 E 580 NORTH CIR | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 323 | DEEGAN, DAVID A \& SUSAN K JT | 255 S 930 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 324 | DEEGAN, JACOB C | 792 N 350 WEST | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 325 | DEEP CREEK PROPERTIES INCORPORATED | 1084 E PACIFIC DR | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 326 | DEMILLE, STEVEN D \& LYNDA D JT | 918 N 1420 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 327 | DENBOER, TYLER D \& ALLISON JT | 511 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 328 | DENTON, MARYLYN S | 778 N 40 EAST | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 329 | DEWITT, BRENT \& MICHELLE JT | 7005 WOLF RUN SHOALS RD | FAIRFAX STATION | VA | 22039 |
| 330 | DIMOND, DAVID H \& JUDY W JT | 9486 N 4000 WEST | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 331 | DINEHART, JORDAN \& LAURENE JT | 293 E 1640 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 332 | DIXON, TIMMOTHY H \& MELISSA JT | 948 E MURDOCK DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 333 | DMA 459 LLC | 3658 N RANCHO DR | LAS VEGAS | NV | 89130 |
| 334 | DMB INVESTMENT LLC | 250 BEECHWOOD DR \#120 | BOISE | ID | 83709 |
| 335 | DOERSCHLER, CAM R \& DONNIE L JT | 10 MOHAWK AV | CORTE MADERA | CA | 94925 |
| 336 | DOYLE, NYLE \& COLEEN TEE | 1225 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 337 | DOYLE, PAUL D \& MARY A ET AL | 879 E 200 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 338 | DRAKE, DENNIS H \& PHYLLIS M JT | 1250 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 339 | DRANEY, CYRIL L \& JEAN M | 3132 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 340 | DRYER, RYAN S \& MINDY H JT | 412 E 420 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 341 | DU PREEZ, ANTHONY J | 321 W 2660 NORTH | LEHI | UT | 84043 |
| 342 | DUCKETT, DUSTIN \& ARIANNE JT | 758 S 400 EAST | OREM | UT | 84097 |
| 343 | DUJARDIN, DANA | 9456 CANYON HEIGHTS DR | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 344 | DUNCAN, ROSETTA M TEE | 1173 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 345 | DUNN, ERIC P \& KIMBERLY JT | 1116 W 3540 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 346 | DURRANT, MICHAEL J | 45 SMITH LN | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 347 | EAST TEMPLE VIEW LLC | 607 CAMDEN PARK LN | DRAPER | UT | 84020 |
| 348 | EBS PROPERTIES L.C. | 65 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 349 | EDMONDS, RONALD D \& DEBRA A JT | 562 GLENDON WAY | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 350 | EDVALSON, BETH SMITH TEE | 975 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 351 | EDWARDS, JOSH \& KRISTY JT | 769 E 200 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 352 | EDWARDS, WILLIAM F \& CHERYL W JT | 522 W 2900 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 353 | EGBERT, DENNIS W \& MARGARET B JT | 3365 N MAHOGANY DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 354 | EKINS, STANFORD R \& EVETTA F TIC | 9430 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 355 | ELDRIDGE, MARILYN L | 389 W 800 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 356 | ELGUETA, JEORGE A ET AL | 587 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 357 | ELK RIDGE DEVELOPMENT INC | 7847 PHEASANT WOOD DR | SANDY | UT | 84093 |
| 358 | ELLIOTT, MURIEL M | 3881 W 9600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 359 | ELLIS, PRESTON C \& LYNETTE JT | 1411 W 2010 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 360 | ELLISON, NATHAN \& BRIANNE JT | 1703 W 1060 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 361 | ENOCH, JOSH C \& NICHOLE JT | 1497 W 80 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 362 | ERICKSEN, ALLEN CLEMENTS | 675 S 50 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 363 | ESCALANTE, ADRIAN | 1475 E MURDOCK DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 364 | EVANS, CLARK B \& SUSANN S JT | 128 N 200 EAST | OREM | UT | 84057 |
| 365 | EVANS, CLARK B \& SUSANN S ET TEE | 752 N LOCUST AV | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 366 | EVANS, KEITH C \& CLARK B ET A TEE | 702 E 990 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 367 | EVANS, MATTHEW | 175 N 1630 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 368 | EVERINGHAM, BRUCE \& LAURA JT | 1403 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 369 | EWELL, AARON K \& ANISA A JT | 1685 W 1100 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 370 | EWELL, MERRILL R \& ALTA H TEE | 1475 W 1100 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 371 | FAMILY FIRST FEDERAL CREDIT UNION | 175 E 200 SOUTH | OREM | UT | 84058 |
| 372 | FARNSWORTH, W DAVID \& SHAWNA JT | 1905 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 373 | FAUX, CRAIG \& SUSAN K JT | 680 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 374 | FAUX, DAVID M \& DORA C TEE | 676 E 900 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 375 | FENTON, BOYD D \& SHELLEY W JT | 1914 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 376 | FERRIS, KENNETH R \& SUSAN JT | 1205 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 377 | FIDELITY FUNDING COMPANY | 53 W ANGELO AV | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84115 |
| 378 | FINCH, TERI L | 682 E 900 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 379 | FINLAYSON, MERRILL P \& GENEAL JT | 1044 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 380 | FIRMAGE GROVE LC | 4700 S STATE ST | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84107 |
| 381 | FLADELAND, MARLYS M | PO BOX 806 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 382 | FLAKE, NANCY J | 1783 W 1100 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 383 | FLANARY, SHAWN R \& SHERYL A JT | 2774 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 384 | FLATT, CATHLEEN M \& MARVIN A TEE | 1100 E 40 NORTH | OREM | UT | 84097 |
| 385 | FLINDERS, DAVID W \& LISA L JT | 482 W 3300 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |


| 386 | FLINDERS, NEIL J \& JOAN D TEE | 4326 N 900 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 387 | FOOTE, ELWOOD E \& NELDA I ET TEE | 1067 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 388 | FORDHAM, TODD C \& LORI JT | 815 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 389 | FOUNDATIONS INSURANCE INC | 63 E STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 390 | FOWLER, RICKIE J \& CLAUDETTE JT | 1068 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 391 | FOWLES, BARBARA N TEE | 442 N 600 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 392 | FOX, KYLE C | 576 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 393 | FOX, WADE \& KAYLEE JT | 3905 N 900 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 394 | FRAME, SUSAN \& CRAIG JT | 2551 N 860 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 395 | FRANDSEN, STEVEN R | 370 W 900 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 396 | FRANK, LOUIS J \& DONNA J JT | PO BOX 991 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 397 | FRATERNAL ORDER OF EAGLES PL GR ARIE | 220 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 398 | FREE FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP | 28 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 399 | FREE, W DUANE | 2316 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 400 | FREEBIRD GROUP INVESTMENTS L C | 1121 GROVE CREEK DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 401 | FREEMAN, JOHN J \& ANITA JT | 855 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 402 | FREEMAN, LESTER R \& NEVA TEE | 801 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 403 | FREEMAN, MATTHEW C | 1287 W 50 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 404 | FREEMAN, SAMUEL R \& JOLENE JT | 829 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 405 | FRISBEE, JEANE L \& GERALD | 246 S 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 406 | FRYER, BRAD | 2702 N 900 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 407 | FRYER, KENNETH L \& JOAN H ET TEE | 624 E 500 NORTH | OREM | UT | 84097 |
| 408 | FUGAL, GUY L \& PAULA G | 590 W 1100 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 409 | FUGAL, JOHN P \& JENS P TEE | 390 N MAIN ST | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 410 | FUGAL, JOSEPH M \& JOAN V JT | 1373 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 411 | FULLMER, JAMES ET AL | 1590 N 300 WEST | PROVO | UT | 84602 |
| 412 | G \& G INVESTMENTS L.C. | 5451 W 10180 NORTH | HIGHLAND | UT | 84003 |
| 413 | GAGON, JOSEPH A ET AL | 1580 E MURDOCK DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 414 | GARCIA, ROGELIO \& ANA M JT | 9788 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 415 | GARDBROS LLC | 2836 EDGEMONT DR | HENDERSON | NV | 89074 |
| 416 | GARFIELD, JEFFREY | 4251 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 417 | GARN, CLARK W \& JANET H JT | 407 N STATE ST | MORGAN | UT | 84050 |
| 418 | GARNER, GARY M \& SHERYL L JT | 1594 W 3300 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 419 | GARNER, LAVAL F \& ROSE P JT | 984 S 1320 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 420 | GATEWAY FARMS PLEASANT GROVE LLC | 1067 W JERLING | HIGHLAND | UT | 84003 |
| 421 | GDJ PROPERTIES LLC | 754 W 700 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 422 | GENERAL CONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT | 1642 W 10 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 423 | GENERAL CONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT | 3214 N UNIVERSITY AV \#605 | PROVO | UT | 84604 |
| 424 | GENERAL CONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT | 1646 W 10 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 425 | GENERAL CONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT | 1634 W 10 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 426 | GIBB, DAVID R \& DIAN JT | 338 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 427 | GIBBY, ERIC A \& NATALIE M JT | 916 W 260 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 428 | GIBSON, TIMOTHY A \& ANNETTE L JT | 970 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 429 | GIFFORD, BRENN K \& ZULY C JT | 649 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 430 | GIFFORD, CAROL LYN | 747 W 1920 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 431 | GIFFORD, DAVID O | 600 PONDEROSA DR | ALPINE | UT | 84004 |
| 432 | GIFFORD, N PAUL | 366 S BENCH RD | ALPINE | UT | 84004 |
| 433 | GILES, VERNON | 903 E ROUTE 66 \#D | GLENDORA | CA | 91740 |
| 434 | GILLMAN, JULIE A | 468 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 435 | GIRARD, NANCY S | 725 W 4430 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 436 | GIRARD, NORMA F ET AL | 790 N 400 WEST | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 437 | GLOBAL COATINGS INC | PO BOX 338 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 438 | GODFREY, GARY J \& MARY F JT | 1180 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 439 | GONZALES, RONALD F \& EILEEN W JT | 410 N 800 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 440 | GOODMAN, JOHN M \& VICKI C JT | 500 E 200 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 441 | GOODMAN, JOLYNNE \& MARK | 1750 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 442 | GOODRICH, ERIC \& HEIDI JT | 9314 CANYON RD | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 443 | GOODWILL, JOHN \& SUSAN | 79 N 1620 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 444 | GOODWIN, BRUCE L \& VERA C JT | 107 S 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 445 | GORDON, KEN D \& LINDA E JT | 4026 CENTENNIAL | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 446 | GOTCHER, DAVID M \& AMY M JT | 2007 TUSCANY WAY | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 447 | GRAHAM, W F \& EULA B | 1375 W 1100 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 448 | GRAN CAMPBELL ENTERPRISES LLC ET AL | 87 W 560 SOUTH | OREM | UT | 84058 |
| 449 | GRANTHAM, JERRY K \& STACI L JT | 1347 N MANILA CT | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 450 | GREBE, VICKI D \& BRANDON ET AL | 2146 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |


| 451 | GREEN GROVE APARTMENTS LIMITED PARTN | 1127 GROVE CREEK DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 452 | GREEN, KENDALL T \& MARJORIE JT | 1560 E MURDOCK DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 453 | GREENFIELD INVESTMENTS LC | PO BOX 1239 | OREM | UT | 84059 |
| 454 | GRIFFITH, LANE F ET AL | 424 N 2000 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 455 | GROVE BUSINESS CENTER I LLC | 845 OAK GROVE AV \#210 | FARMINGTON | UT | 84025 |
| 456 | GROVER, DANIEL R \& JENNI L JT | 1484 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 457 | GUERNSEY, MILDRED B TEE | 840 GROVE CREEK DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 458 | HACIENDA PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNERS | PO BOX 6629 | ORANGE | CA | 92863 |
| 459 | HACK, RONALD L \& GINGER TEE | 465 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 460 | HADERLIE, BRETT F \& BELINDA | 8319 E PORTOBELLO AV | MESA | AZ | 85212 |
| 461 | HAILSTONE, MATTHEW D \& HEIDI JT | 1023 W 500 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 462 | HAIR, DALE \& MARY TEE | 205 E STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 463 | HAIR, DALE W \& MARY W TEE | 524 N 950 EAST | OREM | UT | 84097 |
| 464 | HALDIMAN, JEFFREY M \& DIANE L JT | 490 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 465 | HALECK, JARED C \& EMILY JT | 1529 W 80 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 466 | HALES, EDWARD | 79 E 700 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 467 | HALL, JOEL S \& JOYCE A JT | 1176 W 2100 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 468 | HALL, MACK R \& LESLIE B JT | 1990 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 469 | HALL, PHILLIP M \& MARY-JO JT | 4407 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 470 | HALL, ROBERT \& JOYCE JT | 7575 N 4650 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 471 | HALL, ROBYN VEE | 1843 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 472 | HALLAM, GEORGE W \& SHARON F JT | PO BOX 746 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 473 | HALLIDAY, MELVIN \& LINDA | 122 N 500 WEST \#48-1 | BLANDING | UT | 84511 |
| 474 | HAMMOND, CLARK \& SHAWNA JT | 1587 W 1010 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 475 | HAMMOND, GAIL C \& IDA J TEE | 1879 W 1100 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 476 | HAMMOND, VICTOR W \& LAURA A TEE | 140 S 950 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 477 | HANKS, DONALD S \& DEBRA L TEE | 3618 N 900 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 478 | HANSEN, HOLDEN SHANE ET AL | 1035 QUEENS DR | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 479 | HANSEN, JOHN L \& SANDRA S TEE | 540 S MAIN ST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 480 | HANSEN, JOHN L \& SANDRA S TEE | 1035 QUEENS DR | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 481 | HANSEN, KENT J \& ROBIN JT | 1920 N 750 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 482 | HANSEN, KEVIN S \& JULIE D JT | 1765 GARDEN DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 483 | HANSEN, RICHARD G \& SYLVIA S JT | 1045 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 484 | HANSON, STANLEY C TEE | PO BOX 564 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 485 | HARDMAN, DOUGLAS L \& MARIE S JT | 1791 N 1200 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 486 | HARDMAN, GARY R \& BONNIE K JT | 4278 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 487 | HARMAN, LEON W TEE | 199 1ST ST \#212 | LOS ALTOS | CA | 94022 |
| 488 | HARMER, APRIL L H | 1380 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 489 | HARR JOHN P SENIOR PROPERTIES L.C. | 590 W STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 490 | HARRIS, M ADAM \& ANGELA JT | 1832 N 900 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 491 | HARRIS, NATALIE B | 32 W 725 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 492 | HARRIS, R CARL \& MELANIE F JT | 2046 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 493 | HARSHBERGER, TAMARA | 159 S PLEASANT GROVE BLVD \#14 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 494 | HART, DAVID K \& LARAYNE W JT | 2520 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 495 | HARTLEY, MELISSA S | 220 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 496 | HARVEY LAND COMPANY | 9610 WINCHESTER DR | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 497 | HARVEY, DAVID C \& DIXIE R TEE | 2806 N 1450 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 498 | HARVEY, DONALD L \& HERMINE R TEE | 688 E 600 NORTH | PROVO | UT | 84606 |
| 499 | HARVEY, JEFFREY CHRISTOPHER | 3331 N 1456 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 500 | HARVEY, SHIANN \& JAYSON | 1767 GARDEN DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 501 | HARVEY, STANLEY D \& JODI ET A TEE | 1244 N 200 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 502 | HARVIE, CHAD | 952 W 270 SOUTH \#302 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 503 | HASLER, HOLLY P \& BLAIR JT | 1092 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 504 | HATCH, JERALD T \& SHAUNA N JT | 85 S 300 WEST | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 505 | HAYES, JANETH \& RICHARD JT | 1663 W 1060 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 506 | HAYMOND, BRYCE M \& RAVEN V TEE | 929 W 670 SOUTH \#9 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 507 | HAYNIE, CORRINE L | 555 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 508 | HEADMAN, CHARLES L \& DIANNE C JT | 4628 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 509 | HEALY, JON W \& NAN T TEE | 1275 MURDOCK DR | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 510 | HEATON, MICHAEL \& ERIN JT | 210 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 511 | HEBBERT, FRANK M \& NAOMI P TEE | 1224 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 512 | HEINER, KEVIN \& GENAE JT | PO BOX 400 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 513 | HEINER, KEVIN M \& GENAE D JT | 2325 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 514 | HEINZ E AND IRMGARD S GERSTLE LLC | PO BOX 165 | MILLBRAE | CA | 94030 |
| 515 | HEINZ, TIMOTHY D \& CARLYN N JT | 952 W 270 SOUTH \#301 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |


| 516 | HEMMERT, JAMES C | PO BOX 1311 | PROVO | UT | 84603 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 517 | HENDERSON, GARY D \& KATHRYN A JT | 129 S 950 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 518 | HENDRICKS, ERIN | 935 S OREM BLVD | OREM | UT | 84058 |
| 519 | HENDRICKSON, WILLIAM R \& DEBR JT | 231 E 200 NORTH | PROVO | UT | 84606 |
| 520 | HENRICHSEN, CAROL A TEE | 812 E 200 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 521 | HENRY, DARRIN T \& JOY L JT | 86 S 800 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 522 | HEP DEVELOPMENT LLC | 4366 W SAM WHITE LA | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 523 | HEP DEVELOPMENT LLC ET AL | 6795 S 300 WEST | MIDVALE | UT | 84047 |
| 524 | HEPWORTH, LISA | 652 W 2705 \#330 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 525 | HERZOG, JOHN M \& KRYSTAL J JT | 1317 W 600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 526 | HESS, MYRNA \& DOYLE G TEE | PO BOX 2710 | WENDOVER | NV | 89883 |
| 527 | HEWETT, JONATHAN | 9895 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 528 | HEWETT, JONATHAN \& EVE JT | 9875 CANYON RD | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 529 | HIATT, JOHN S \& CYNTHIA N JT | 1435 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 530 | HICKS, CORAL V | 1030 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 531 | HILTON, AARON D \& DESERY S JT | 1405 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 532 | HILTON, BRANDON \& DEBORAH JT | 1105 W 3540 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 533 | HILTON, KELLEN A | 1396 N 500 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 534 | HINOJOS, SYLVIA G | 810 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 535 | HMC INVESTMENT CORPORATION | 551 E STATE RD \#101 | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 536 | HOKI, MURRAY M \& MARTHA F JT | 1609 N 900 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 537 | HOLMAN, A WAYNE \& STELLA G ET AL | 6043 W 9740 NORTH | HIGHLAND | UT | 84003 |
| 538 | HOLMAN, MICHAEL W \& GAY C JT | 1111 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 539 | HOLMES, NATHAN | 905 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 540 | HOLMSTEAD, HAL E \& KATHRYN S TEE | 1070 E 700 NORTH | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 541 | HOLMSTEAD, JAY R \& SONDRA JT | 405 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 542 | HOLMSTEAD, ROBB L \& KATHRYN M JT | 2155 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 543 | HOMER, RAYMOND W \& OLGA J TEE | 408 N 700 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 544 | HOMETOWN PROFESSIONALS LC | 330 S MAIN ST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 545 | HONE, CAMILLE | 856 W 260 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 546 | HONE, DENISE | 1467 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 547 | HONE, LLOYD W TEE | 319 E STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 548 | HORELICA, SHAWN L \& JENNIFER JT | 1921 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 549 | HORMAN, CHARLES H ET AL TEE | 3125 S WHITEWATER DR | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84117 |
| 550 | HORTON, TODD W \& MARDICA JT | 376 N 300 WEST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 551 | HORTT, MARTIN A \& DEBRA M JT | 933 N 1420 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 552 | HOUSTON, DANNY L \& GAYLE L TEE | 84 S 1100 EAST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 553 | HOUSTON, VAN L \& JANEAN JT | 106 S 1100 EAST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 554 | HOWARD, DON \& RAMONA JT | 980 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 555 | HOWARD, KENNETH S \& KIMBERLI JT | 1319 W 870 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 556 | HUFF, DENNIS E | 890 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 557 | HUFF, MARYLYN G ET AL | 4252 STRATUS ST | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84118 |
| 558 | HULLINGER, DENNIS J \& MARIETT JT | 637 W 4000 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 559 | HUMPHERYS, KRISTEN | 1369 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 560 | HUNDEGGER PROPERTIES LC | 9271 N 2683 EAST ALPINE LOOP | PROVO | UT | 84604 |
| 561 | HUNSAKER, JESSE L \& LISA JT | 1364 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 562 | HUNT, DEBRA H TEE | 2252 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 563 | HUNT, JEFFREY D \& JENNIFER D JT | 1548 N 150 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 564 | HUNTSMAN, BLAINE H \& JOYCE N JT | 2390 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 565 | HUNTSMAN, NORAH TEE | 2498 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 566 | IRWIN, BRIAN F \& ANNE K JT | 1428 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 567 | IVIE, DEANNA R TEE | 4596 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 568 | IVIE, JOSEPH M \& JILL L JT | 870 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 569 | IVORY DEVELOPMENT LLC | 978 WOODOAK LN | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84117 |
| 570 | IVORY HOMES LTD | 970 WOODOAK LN | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84117 |
| 571 | JA OGDEN INC | 285 S PINEVIEW DR | ALPINE | UT | 84004 |
| 572 | JACKSON, CLINTON R \& RUTH C | 632 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 573 | JACKSON, JEFFERY J \& PATTI S JT | 664 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 574 | JACOBS, JERALD | 10010 N 4800 WEST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 575 | JAKEMAN, JOHN K \& DUELLA O ET TEE | 901 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 576 | JALS \#2 LLC | 8070 S 3528 WEST | WEST JORDAN | UT | 84088 |
| 577 | JAMES, LANCE \& KIMBERLY JT | 622 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 578 | JAMISON, BARRETT T \& MOLLY A JT | 511 MOUNTAIN CREST RD | DUARTE | CA | 91010 |
| 579 | JARRETT, MARK D \& TERESA D JT | 970 S 500 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 580 | JARVIS, MARK G | 166 S 60 WEST | OREM | UT | 84058 |


| 581 | JD STEEL CO INC | PO BOX 18009 | PHOENIX | AZ | 85005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 582 | JDC DESIGN LLC | 1024 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 583 | JEFFERY, DUANE E \& KAYE W JT | 715 E 875 NORTH | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 584 | JENKINS, ERYN C \& BRADLEY G JT | 95 S 850 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 585 | JENSEN, LUCILLE TEE | 1588 W 1010 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 586 | JENSEN, SARA H ET AL | 9707 ROYAL RED RD | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 587 | JENSEN, TOMIE | 7301 BAYMEADOWS MAILSTOP JACB31 WAY | JACKSONVILLE | FL | 32256 |
| 588 | JEPPERSON, DENNIS G \& KATHRYN TEE | 1855 W 1100 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 589 | JEPPSON, ARNOLD M \& MAY M JT | 1485 E 300 NORTH | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 590 | JEPPSON, BRIAN C | 1791 N 350 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 591 | JOGODA L.L.C. ET AL | 335 E 1300 SOUTH | OREM | UT | 84097 |
| 592 | JOHN ANDERSON FAMILY LIMITED PARTNER | 1050 S 175 EAST | BURLEY | ID | 83318 |
| 593 | JOHN HANCOCK CHARTER SCHOOL | 125 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 594 | JOHNSEN, NORMA E \& WILLIAM J TEE | 2783 N 900 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 595 | JOHNSON, BRETT M \& CALLIE K JT | 1492 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 596 | JOHNSON, DAMON L \& KELLEY K JT | 1009 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 597 | JOHNSON, DAVID N \& MARY L JT | 822 E 540 SOUTH | SALEM | UT | 84653 |
| 598 | JOHNSON, DEVIN | 33 E SIENA DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 599 | JOHNSON, DONALD C SUCTEE | 2390 W 2200 NORTH | LEHI | UT | 84043 |
| 600 | JOHNSON, FRED M TEE | 1148 NATHANIEL DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 601 | JOHNSON, FRED M TEE | 289 N 300 EAST | OREM | UT | 84057 |
| 602 | JOHNSON, JAY DREW ET AL | 582 W 850 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 603 | JOHNSON, JOEL R \& CATHY P JT | 1286 MURDOCK DR | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 604 | JOHNSON, JOHN V | 321 E STATE RD \#10 | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 605 | JOHNSON, LARRY A \& SALLY JT | 1891 GLENDON CIR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 606 | JOHNSON, MARLIN D \& DIANE B JT | 2251 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 607 | JOHNSON, MERN D \& LORA JT | 381 E 300 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 608 | JOHNSON, MILTON G \& MILDRED F TEE | 345 W 1600 SOUTH | OREM | UT | 84058 |
| 609 | JOHNSON, MILTON K \& GINNY O JT | 929 W 670 SOUTH \#4 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 610 | JOHNSON, NED L \& LINDA W JT | 570 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 611 | JOHNSON, ROBERT M | 1275 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 612 | JOHNSON, SHAD L \& AMY L JT | 433 S 300 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 613 | JOHNSON, TERRANCE B \& MADGE E JT | 1600 OLD HIGHWAY 99 | GRANTS PASS | OR | 97526 |
| 614 | JOHNSTON, CLAY R \& DEBY C JT | 1979 TUSCANY WAY | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 615 | JOHNSTON, ERIC S \& GREG | 610 W 800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 616 | JOHNSTON, ERIC S \& GREG | 805 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 617 | JOLLEY, ROBERT S \& AMY O JT | 343 W 1700 SOUTH | OREM | UT | 84058 |
| 618 | JONES, AARON H \& AMY E JT | 3611 N 1590 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 619 | JONES, GERALD D \& MONICA L JT | 1338 GARDEN DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 620 | JONES, LENNIS A \& PATRICIA A JT | 1685 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 621 | JONES, LOGAN R \& ADELE M JT | 3573 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 622 | JONES, RANDALL \& AMY K | 35 S 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 623 | JONES, RONALD C \& SUSAN P JT | 878 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 624 | JORGENSEN, HAROLD M \& MAURINE TEES | 1080 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 625 | JP PROPERTIES | PO BOX 236 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 626 | JUDKINS, AARON \& MARCI JT | 2033 N TUSCANY WAY | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 627 | K \& L GURR HOLDINGS LLC | 360 E 100 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 628 | KAESMEYER, DANIEL E \& SUSAN M JT | 110 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 629 | KALLAS, JEREMY J | 929 W 670 SOUTH \#12 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 630 | KEELER, SHIREE | 159 S PLEASANT GROVE BLVD \#18 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 631 | KEETCH, BRENT A \& SUZANNE S JT | 1730 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 632 | KEETCH, GARY V \& DEANNE C JT | 1047 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 633 | KELLY, GREG \& NATALIE JT | 2578 N 860 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 634 | KENDALL, ALAN R \& LORA L TEE | 2525 N 860 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 635 | KERR, ANN T | 1378 E NORTH POND CIR | MAPLETON | UT | 84664 |
| 636 | KERR, BRIAN J \& AMY D JT | 1455 N 530 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 637 | KERR, JOHN R \& KARI JT | 1431 W 3300 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 638 | KHATCHADOURIAN, MOVSES \& GIGI JT | 1695 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 639 | KIESSLING, GERD | 81 BENSON WAY | SANDY | UT | 84070 |
| 640 | KILLPACK, SHIRLEY | PO BOX 1132 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 641 | KIMBAL, GLORIA J \& MITCH ET A JT | 806 W 2800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 642 | KING, KEVIN \& SHAUNA L JT | 3295 N CANYON RD | PROVO | UT | 84604 |
| 643 | KING, KORMAN \& KRISTY | 1678 N 70 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 644 | KIRK, STEPHEN L \& NANCY L JT | 983 S 1320 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 645 | KJJ LCC | 2004 COUNTRY DR | LEHI | UT | 84005 |


| 646 | KLOEY'S COVE LLC | 36 RED PINE DR | ALPINE | UT | 84004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 647 | KNAPTON, LISA CHRISTINE ET AL | 1807 GARDEN DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 648 | KOEHLER, BRYAN F \& MARILYNN | 2532 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 649 | KOFFORD, JERALD D \& UNA L JT | 1476 RENAISSANCE PL | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 650 | KOHLER, BUD W \& GLENNA E TEE | 2150 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 651 | KRAVET, DANIEL ET AL | 9860 N CANYON DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 652 | KRISER HOMES \& COMMUNITIES INC | 497 S 2220 WEST \#102 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 653 | KRISER HOMES \& COMMUNITIES INC | 497 S 2220 WEST \#201 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 654 | KRISER HOMES \& COMMUNITIES INC | 496 S 2150 WEST \#201 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 655 | KRISER HOMES \& COMMUNITIES INC | 125 E MAIN ST \#215 | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 656 | KRISER HOMES \& COMMUNITIES INC | PO BOX 395 | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 657 | KRISER HOMES \& COMMUNITIES INC | 926 W 1420 SOUTH | PAYSON | UT | 84651 |
| 658 | KRISER HOMES \& COMMUNITIES INC | 410 N 2000 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 659 | KRISER HOMES \& COMMUNITIES INC | 497 S 2220 WEST \#303 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 660 | KRISER HOMES \& COMMUNITIES INC | 496 S 2150 WEST \#202 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 661 | KRISER HOMES \& COMMUNITIES INC | 496 S 2150 WEST \#204 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 662 | KRISER HOMES \& COMMUNITIES INC | 9055 S 1300 EAT \#110 | SANDY | UT | 84094 |
| 663 | KRISER HOMES \& COMMUNITIES INC | 496 S 2150 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 664 | KRISER HOMES \& COMMUNITIES INC | 40270 JACINTO WAY | PALMDALE | CA | 93551 |
| 665 | KRISER HOMES \& COMMUNITIES INC | 1000 S 1000 EAST | MAPLETON | UT | 84664 |
| 666 | KRISER HOMES \& COMMUNITIES INC | 496 S 2150 WEST \#102 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 667 | KRISER HOMES \& COMMUNITIES INC | 497 S 2220 WEST \#304 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 668 | KRISER HOMES \& COMMUNITIES INC | 3383 BEAR CANYON LN | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 669 | KROHN, KRISTOFFER A \& KALENN JT | 3214 N UNIVERSITY AV \#116 | PROVO | UT | 84604 |
| 670 | KUMMER, KARL J TEE | 85 E 1500 SOUTH | OREM | UT | 84058 |
| 671 | LAD ENTERPRISES L.C. | 787 N 400 EAST | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 672 | LAD ENTERPRISES L.C. ET AL | 127 S 500 EAST \#310 | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84102 |
| 673 | LAKE CITY HOLDINGS LLC | 6148 W 9680 NORTH | HIGHLAND | UT | 84003 |
| 674 | LAMBERT, CHARLES P \& BETTY A JT | 1841 W 1100 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 675 | LAND WALKER LTD | PO BOX 171720 | SAN ANTONIO | TX | 78217 |
| 676 | LANDCO DEVELOPMENT INC | 1210 E 930 NORTH | PROVO | UT | 84604 |
| 677 | LANE, ELDWIN K \& ANNA B JT | 2687 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 678 | LARSEN ACRES L.C. | 1146 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 679 | LARSEN, ARTALEE T | 864 N 360 EAST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 680 | LARSEN, ELIZABETH | 993 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 681 | LARSEN, STEVEN T \& ELIZABETH JT | 993 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 682 | LARSON, BRYON \& SUSANN JT | 4051 W 9820 NORTH | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 683 | LARSON, CRAIG S \& JENNIFER S JT | 665 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 684 | LARSON, DE LOY \& RAYE ET AL TEE | 225 E STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 685 | LARSON, JON W \& HEATHER M JT | 759 GROVE CREEK DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 686 | LASER, HEATHER A | 518 S 2150 WEST \#303 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 687 | LAW, KENNETH A \& FERN JT | 150 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 688 | LAYCOCK, CORY E | 648 N 1010 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 689 | LEADING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT LLC | 444 N 7200 WEST | MENDON | UT | 84325 |
| 690 | LEAVITT, JEFFREY W | 786 W 4230 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 691 | LEAVITT, KENNETH P \& LUCILLE JT | 374 S 420 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 692 | LEAVITT, MELVIN W \& PEGGY J | 2693 N 1200 EAST | LEHI | UT | 84043 |
| 693 | LEETHAM, STEPHEN C \& DEANNA TEE | 1317 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 694 | LEGACY PROPERTIES AND INVESTMENTS L. | 1342 W STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 695 | LEGACY PROPERTIES AND INVESTMENTS LC | 1402 W STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 696 | LEICO PROPERTIES LLC | 50 N 1300 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 697 | LEONARD, HAL A | 1420 E 300 NORTH | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 698 | LEONARD, ROBERT H \& ROBERT H | 2221 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 699 | LETHBRIDGE, BURTON ALLEN | 950 S 1500 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 700 | LEVIN, ALFRED \& EDELTRAUD B TEE | 3939 W 9600 NORTH | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 701 | LEWIS, KIMBALL U \& MYRNA JT | PO BOX 539 | MIDVALE | UT | 84047 |
| 702 | LEWIS, MARY ELLEN | 270 N 900 WEST | PROVO | UT | 84601 |
| 703 | LI, ELSA | 475 S 1230 WEST | OREM | UT | 84058 |
| 704 | LIAHONA FOUNDATION | 801 N 300 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 705 | LINCOLN ACADEMY INCORPORATED | 1582 W 3300 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 706 | LINDBERG, DENISE | 868 W 260 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 707 | LINDSTROM, JEFFREY P ET AL DBA | PO BOX 236 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 708 | LINDSTROM, JOHN P \& SARA H TEE | 1880 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 709 | LINEBAUGH, JOHN W \& CAROL B TEE | 2682 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 710 | LISTON, BETTU M \& CLAY M TEE | 921 W 1100 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |


| 711 | LITTLE, VERNON | 2897 N 900 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 712 | LLOYD, KALYN L \& JEANNE M JT | 407 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 713 | LOCKE, CHARESE | 868 W 4230 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 714 | LOCKHART NANCE, ELIZABETH ET AL | 1830 N 820 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 715 | LONE PEAK DEVELOMENT PARTNERS LLC | 38 RED PINE DR | ALPINE | UT | 84004 |
| 716 | LONE PEAK DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS LLC | 688 W 2760 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 717 | LONE PEAK DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS LLC | 583 S 900 WEST \#11-303 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 718 | LONE PEAK DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS LLC | 1140 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 719 | LONE PEAK DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS LLC | 1015 W 425 SOUTH | LEHI | UT | 84043 |
| 720 | LONE PEAK DEVELPMENT PARTNERS LLC | 6072 W 11400 NORTH | HIGHLAND | UT | 84003 |
| 721 | LONG, DARRIN | 399 E STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 722 | LONG, MYRON | 3687 AVANYU CT | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 723 | LONGMAN, JOHN L \& GEORGANN JT | 4516 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 724 | LOSEE, BARBARA J \& FLOYD J JT | 704 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 725 | LOVE, JAMES L | 1791 GARDEN DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 726 | LOWDER, TRAVIS H \& DANIEL B ET AL | 2230 N UNIVERSITY PKY \#7A | PROVO | UT | 84604 |
| 727 | LOWE, LYNETTE \& KENNETH J JT | 1295 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 728 | LUKE, JOHNEY D | 1050 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 729 | LUKE, MARJORIE \& MARGENE JT | 1197 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 730 | LUKER, DAN R \& DAWN JT | 37 E 700 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 731 | LUND, TROY R \& JACQUE L JT | 468 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 732 | LUNDIN, JOHN L ET AL | 1052 E 50 SOUTH | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 733 | LUU L.L.C. | 426 E STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 734 | LUU, VINH \& HUNG T | 789 N 350 WEST | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 735 | LYTLE, JOSHUA | 347 MILLCREEK RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 736 | M \& M MORRIS PROPERTIES LC | 3599 LITTLE ROCK DR | PROVO | UT | 84604 |
| 737 | MAC NEIL, STEPHEN M | 11135 N 5730 WEST | HIGHLAND | UT | 84003 |
| 738 | MAGALEI, BENJAMIN S \& MARTHA TEE | 8913 PINE HOLLOW DR | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 739 | MAGNUSSON, LONNIE R \& LORI JT | 2146 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 740 | MAJOR, JOSEPH D \& JAONA H JT | 4549 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 741 | MAKIN DREAMS LLC | 1519 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 742 | MAKIN, KEITH L \& RUTH A TEE | 153 S 200 EAST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 743 | MALAN, DAVID S \& NATALIE C JT | 952 W 270 SOUTH \#104 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 744 | MALONE, JAMES C \& LEEANN ET AL | 1599 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 745 | MALONE, JAMES M \& JAMES M | 3709 N 900 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 746 | MANGUM, WILLIAM B \& ASHLEY | 952 W 270 SOUTH \#202 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 747 | MANILA CULINARY WATER COMPANY | 8800 N 3910 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 748 | MANILA INVESTORS LC | 5840 HIGHLAND DR | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84121 |
| 749 | MANN, SHIRLEY A | 1384 RENAISSANCE PL | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 750 | MARGIN ENTERPRISES LLC | 1285 E CENTER ST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 751 | MARI-LEE MEADOWS INC | 1650 FARNAM ST | OMAHA | NE | 68102 |
| 752 | MARSHALL, LANA K | 1287 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 753 | MARTINEZ, BECKY L ET AL | 650 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 754 | MARTINEZ, KIMBERLY H \& ANTHONY R | 114 W 700 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 755 | MARTINEZ, LISA A | 2208 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 756 | MARTINEZ, MARTHA R \& HUGO JT | PO BOX 1904 | PROVO | UT | 84603 |
| 757 | MARTINEZ, RENATO \& HOLLY | 111 E 100 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 758 | MATTHEWS, HANNAH BETH M ET AL | 1110 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 759 | MATTHEWS, LYNN I \& GEANIE R JT | 1040 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 760 | MATTHEWS, MATT P \& MICHELLE JT | 812 W 2800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 761 | MAVERIK COUNTRY STORES INC | 880 W CENTER ST | NORTH SALT LAKE | UT | 84054 |
| 762 | MAYFIELD DEVELOPMENT LC | 758 S 400 EAST | OREM | UT | 84097 |
| 763 | MAYNE, JACK \& GWEN S TEE | 789 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 764 | MAYNE, SHAD G | 96 E 700 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 765 | MC CANN, GREG T | 986 W 270 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 766 | MC CLAIN, RICHARD A | 1825 TUSCANY WAY | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 767 | MC GEE, JAMES \& ESCHE JT | 399 S LOCUST AV | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 768 | MCALLISTER, BURTON JAMES | 4019 N 900 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 769 | MCDONALD, TACY L TEE | 1182 W 3420 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 770 | MCHUGH, JOHN R \& MATTHEW JT | 221 POPLAR ST | ANACONDA | MT | 59711 |
| 771 | MCKINNON, WILLIAM M \& LIN M JT | 889 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 772 | MCPHERSON, BRYAN D | 613 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 773 | MEDFORD, TROY J | 1226 NORTHFIELD DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 774 | MELDRUM, FLOYD A TEE | 601 S RANCHO DR \#A10 | LAS VEGAS | NV | 89106 |
| 775 | MELLOTT, CARSON A \& KELLIE A | 397 E 300 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |


| 776 | MELVIN V AND MARY C FRANDSEN FAMILY | 506 S 100 WEST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 777 | MEMMOTT, KELLY L \& JANALYN W JT | 935 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 778 | MERRELL, SCOTT \& SHARI JT | 681 W 2000 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 779 | MERRYWEATHER, FRANK B \& JOANN TEE | 1130 E 900 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 780 | MESSERSMITH, VERNAL D \& CORA R | 1050 W 190 SOUTH | LEHI | UT | 84043 |
| 781 | MESSINGER, JEFF | 523 W 2900 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 782 | METLER BROTHERS CONSTRUCTION INC | 973 S OREM BLVD | OREM | UT | 84058 |
| 783 | MICHAEL L ROBINSON PROPERTIES LC | 116 W 2430 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 784 | MILLER INVESTMENT COMPANY | 886 E 900 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 785 | MILLER, ANNALISE | 986 W 270 SOUTH \#201 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 786 | MILLER, BRANDON \& HEATHER M JT | 1337 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 787 | MILLER, CLAYTON L \& MICHELE | 1243 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 788 | MILLER, JAMES R | 3826 S 2300 EAST | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84109 |
| 789 | MILLER, KENDALL C | 63 PELICAN DR | RUPERT | ID | 83350 |
| 790 | MILLER, LUTHER \& DARLA J JT | 2224 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 791 | MILLER, LYNN G \& CHERRI H JT | 1786 N 1200 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 792 | MILLET, MICHAEL B \& DIXIE F JT | 1454 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 793 | MILLETT, KENNETH E \& MARGARET JT | 490 S 1100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 794 | MINER, VINSON | 952 W 270 SOUTH \#102 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 795 | MIRA CONDOMINIUMS DEVELOPMENT LLC | 1038 SILVERANCH DR | GARDNERVILLE | NV | 89460 |
| 796 | MIRAGLIA, STEPHEN J | 986 W 270 SOUTH \#102 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 797 | MISDOM, LEE \& JERI L JT | 1704 W 1060 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 798 | MITCHELL, VONE J \& GLENDA G | 384 E 300 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 799 | MIYA, JAY | 4211 MICHAEL AV | LOS ANGELES | CA | 90066 |
| 800 | MKKM PROPERTIES LLC | 870 W 410 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 801 | MONSON, ELSIE W | 3971 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 802 | MONSON, MARK S | 986 W 270 SOUTH \#204 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 803 | MONSON, MICHAEL VAL | 9573 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 804 | MONSON, ROSS E \& GLORIA D JT | 9561 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 805 | MONTOYA, DAVID E \& ERENDIRA M JT | 770 GROVE CREEK DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 806 | MOON, JONATHAN D \& RICHELLE E JT | 3636 LITTLE ROCK DR | PROVO | UT | 84604 |
| 807 | MOORE, BONNIE | PO BOX 22268 | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84122 |
| 808 | MOORE, EDWARD A \& HILLARY J ET AL | 698 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 809 | MOORE, KEVIN L \& COURTNEY JT | 1146 MUSTANG LN | LEHI | UT | 84045 |
| 810 | MOORE, RICHARD E \& FAYE L | 555 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 811 | MORGAN, JUSTIN \& STEPHANIE JT | 87 N 1620 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 812 | MORGAN, STEPHANIE | 75 N 1620 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 813 | MORRISON, WILLIAM M \& SHEILA JT | 3284 N 1450 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 814 | MORSE, ANTHONY T \& DEIDREY JT | 4262 N 900 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 815 | MORTENSEN, SIDNEY G \& JANICE JT | 1466 E 1000 SOUTH | PROVO | UT | 84606 |
| 816 | MOULTON, RALPH R \& ALIDA E TEE | PO BOX 319 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 817 | MOUNTAIN EXPANSION LLC | 583 N 1100 EAST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 818 | MOWER, DOUGLAS R ET AL | 820 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 819 | MOWER, NATHAN N \& CAROLYN G JT | 2247 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 820 | MUHLESTEIN, DANIEL H \& LA NAE JT | 787 N 400 EAST | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 821 | MUNDAY, CHRISTOPHER B \& LOUIS JT | 812 W 4230 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 822 | MUNICIPAL BUILDING AUTHORITY OF PLEA | 70 S 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 823 | MURDOCK, GARY L \& DEBRA A JT | 660 W STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 824 | MURIE, BENNY \& LINDA JT | 1135 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 825 | MURPHY, WAYNE C \& KONNIE JT | 517 E 300 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 826 | MYLER, LISA R | 1278 S 800 EAST | OREM | UT | 84097 |
| 827 | MYLROIE, MICHAEL W \& DANIELLE JT | 497 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 828 | NAUMANN, GUILLERMO \& JOAN JT | 106 W 725 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 829 | NAUMANN, STERLING W \& KELLIE JT | 1779 N 390 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 830 | NAVARRO, RICARDO | 494 E 200 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 831 | NEHRING, CARSON D \& KARIN P | 1015 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 832 | NELSON, DALLIN B \& AMY M JT | 1308 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 833 | NELSON, DENNIS K \& SHERRI JT | 114 E 2150 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 834 | NELSON, DUANE | 3214 N UNIVERSITY AV \#116 | PROVO | UT | 84604 |
| 835 | NEMROW, SCOTT | 1951 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 836 | NFSCO PROPERTIES LLC | PO BOX 1138 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 837 | NICHOLS, DANIEL L | 1451 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 838 | NICHOLSON, TERRENCE D \& NANCY JT | 1206 W 3300 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 839 | NICKELL, DARYLENE B \& KENNETH TEE | 965 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 840 | NICOL, SCOTT \& SUE JT | 9850 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |


| 841 | NIELSEN, DOUGLAS R \& HOLLY M JT | 4392 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 842 | NIELSEN, LJAY | 241 N VINE ST \#1206 | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84103 |
| 843 | NIELSEN, RICHARD P ET AL | 1455 S STATE ST \#B | OREM | UT | 84097 |
| 844 | NIELSON, ANDREW J | 175 S 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 845 | NIELSON, DARRIN ET AL | 3654 PAIGE LN | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 846 | NIELSON, JAMES R \& MARY E TEE | 2124 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 847 | NIELSON, KEITH R \& LAURA E JT | 1135 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 848 | NOAH CORPORATION | 1716 W 1825 NORTH | PROVO | UT | 84604 |
| 849 | NOAH CORPORATION | 1441 UTE BLVD \#100 | PARK CITY | UT | 84098 |
| 850 | NORMAN, JAMES M \& VERNA H JT | 1386 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 851 | NORTON INVESTMENT COMPANY | 627 GROVE CIR | ALPINE | UT | 84004 |
| 852 | NUTTALL, RONALD D \& BIRGITTA JT | 9645 N 8000 WEST | LEHI | UT | 84043 |
| 853 | O DONNELL, ADELAIDE | PO BOX 227 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 854 | OBERHANSLEY, GARTH H \& CHERYL JT | 929 W 670 SOUTH \#8 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 855 | OCKEY, PAUL TEE | 812 VINE CREEK CIR | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84107 |
| 856 | OFFER, JENNIE L | 119 E BATTLE CREEK DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 857 | OGDEN, KRISTOL M \& SAMUEL P JT | 1561 W 80 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 858 | OLIPHANT, JAMES R \& MARYLIN | 1011 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 859 | OLSEN, ARTHUR G \& DELMA K | 1977 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 860 | OLSEN, GARY | 735 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 861 | OLSEN, GARY G \& REBECCA L ET JT | 35 W 725 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 862 | OLSEN, GORDON L \& MELODY A JT | 9757 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 863 | OLSEN, GORDON L \& MELODY B JT | 4209 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 864 | OLSEN, SHAUN D \& RACHEL K JT | 354 S 420 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 865 | OLSEN, VERLYN L \& BETH L TEE | 350 E 300 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 866 | OLSON, LINDA M TEE | 45 S 1100 EAST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 867 | OLSON, R KIM \& BARI L TEE | 691 W 4000 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 868 | ORSO, LINDA | PO BOX 252 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 869 | ORTON, HOWARD \& O HOWARD AKA | 970 E 900 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 870 | ORTON, MARK W \& ROBIN L JT | 1114 N 1270 EAST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 871 | ORTON, SEAN \& TINA JT | 1927 GLENDON CIR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 872 | ORTON, STERLING W \& CONNIE R JT | 1204 W 3420 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 873 | ORVIS, VICTOR R \& LINDA L ET JT | 305 SUMMERWOOD DR | BOUNTIFUL | UT | 84010 |
| 874 | OSBORNE, BOBBY W \& HEATHER P JT | 680 W 2000 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 875 | OSBORNE, BOBBY W \& HEATHER P JT | 146 E 100 SOUTH | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 876 | OSCARSON, ROBERT A \& BETTY JT | 89 S 800 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 877 | OSMOND DEVELOPMENT LLC | 9611 OLD ORCHARD LN | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 878 | OVALLE, HECTOR | 309 S 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 879 | OVERLY, BRAD W \& MARY P TEE | 1442 W 3300 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 880 | PACE, DARLENE LA REE ET AL TEE | 1010 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 881 | PACE, SANDRA D ET AL TEE | 93 E CENTER ST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 882 | PACIFICORP | 1407 W NORTH TEMPLE \#110 | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84116 |
| 883 | PACK, ERVIN E \& BARBARA M JT | 1260 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 884 | PACK, GLEN A \& RENEE J | 2335 N 1150 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 885 | PACK, GLEN A \& RENEE J | 1830 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 886 | PACK, HEATHER \& BRADFORD JT | 1020 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 887 | PACK, KENNETH E \& MARILYN K TEE | 2273 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 888 | PAJELA, MINA R | 1088 E 390 SOUTH | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 889 | PALACIOS, FLAVIA CAROLINA | 1573 W 80 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 890 | PALMER, BRUCE W \& KAYE T TEE | 381 W 800 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 891 | PALMER, EVAN M \& DIANE J | 450 S LOCUST AV | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 892 | PANKHURST, RICHARD \& KRISTIN JT | 430 MARMORE RD | CHICO | CA | 95928 |
| 893 | PARK, LILAS LEE | 910 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 894 | PARKINSON, DAVID O ET AL AN INT | 265 N COUNTRY MANOR LN | ALPINE | UT | 84004 |
| 895 | PARRISH, LAFE A \& JOYCE B ET TEE | 1445 E 300 NORTH | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 896 | PARRY, DOUGLAS C \& LINDA H JT | 760 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 897 | PATTERSON CONSTRUCTION INC ET AL | 11009 N 6400 WEST | HIGHLAND | UT | 84003 |
| 898 | PATTERSON, JESSE W \& HEATHER JT | 159 S PLEASANT GROVE BLVD \#19 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 899 | PECK, STEVEN L \& LORI L JT | 1211 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 900 | PELAYO, MAGDALENA G TEE | 111 E 700 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 901 | PEN \& INK LTD | 1199 W 700 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 902 | PEREZ, RUBEN \& NORMA L JT | 90 W 700 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 903 | PERKINS, HAL C | 2501 N 860 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 904 | PERSONAL PROPERTIES | PO BOX 357 | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 905 | PETERSEN, JOY D | 185 N 1630 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |


| 906 | PETERSEN, MARK L \& BECKY JT | PO BOX 462 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 907 | PETERSEN, VINCE L | 1091 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 908 | PETERSON, FERN C TEE | 31130 S GENERAL KEARNY RD \#63 | TEMECULA | CA | 92591 |
| 909 | PETERSON, JARED W \& BARBARADE JT | 25 E 700 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 910 | PETERSON, JOHN L \& JO ANN TEE | 1846 MAIN ST | HUNTINGTON BEACH | CA | 92648 |
| 911 | PETERSON, JOSEPH D \& PATRICIA JT | 1060 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 912 | PETERSON, MATTHEW T \& KIMBERL JT | 120 W 725 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 913 | PETERSON, OREN V \& SYLVIA S TEE | 1250 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 914 | PETERSON, RON B \& BONNIE P JT | 1210 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 915 | PETERSON, SCOTT \& REBECCA JT | 986 W 270 SOUTH \#303 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 916 | PETRONI, CLORINDA CARMEN | 375 W 800 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 917 | PETRONI, SILVIA L | 393 W 800 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 918 | PETRONI, WALTER SANTIAGO | 369 W 800 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 919 | PETTY, CRAIG \& TIFFANY JT | 355 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 920 | PG VILLAS LLC | 65 E 1250 NORTH | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 921 | PGALF LLC | 563 W 500 SOUTH \#250 | BOUNTIFUL | UT | 84010 |
| 922 | PHELON, KATHRYN R TEE | 1040 E 900 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 923 | PHELON, KEVIN M \& BECKIE D JT | 759 E 200 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 924 | PHILLIPS, DAVID O ET AL | 2009 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 925 | PILCH, JOSHUA \& JENNIFER ET A JT | 91 N 1620 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 926 | PINCOCK, DAVID W \& MICKEY J JT | 1692 N 70 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 927 | PINNACLE HOMES AND DEVELOPMENT LLC | 479 W 30 NORTH | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 928 | PINNACLE POINT L.C. | 1846 MAIN ST | HUNTINGTON BEACH | CA | 92648 |
| 929 | PITCHER, ADAM \& CHERI JT | 1726 W 1060 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 930 | PITTS, STEVEN L | 4200 N 650 EAST | PROVO | UT | 84604 |
| 931 | PLATT, JOSEPHINE | 339 E 300 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 932 | PLEASANT DEVELOPMENT LLC | 574 S STATE ST | OREM | UT | 84058 |
| 933 | PLEASANT GROVE DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS | 304 S MAIN ST | CENTERVILLE | UT | 84014 |
| 934 | PLEASANT GROVE PLAZA LC | 200 WILMOT RD | DEERFIELD | IL | 60015 |
| 935 | PLEASANT SPRINGS LLC | 8058 BARNWOOD WAY | SANDY | UT | 84094 |
| 936 | POLLMANN, RAY D \& ANNE JT | 466 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 937 | PONT, LANE M \& SAMANTHA JT | 190 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 938 | PONTIOUS, TIMOTHY \& NANCY | 472 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 939 | POPE, CHAD L \& ANGIE B | 132 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 940 | PORTER, PAUL E \& DENICE T JT | 495 E 300 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 941 | PORTER, TROY \& AMY JT | 820 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 942 | POWELL, MICHAEL \& REAGAN JT | 1535 W 80 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 943 | PRENTICE, TOM \& BONNIE L JT | 620 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 944 | PRICE, DARRYN M | 2711 KINGS FOREST DR | KINGWOOD | TX | 77339 |
| 945 | PRICE, JOEL \& ABAGAIL JT | 2588 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 946 | PROCTOR, R RAY \& JOY R TEE | 90 S PROCTOR LA | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 947 | PROCTOR, ROBERT R \& JOY JT | 90 S 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 948 | PROCTOR, THOMAS R \& AFTON P JT | 230 S 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 949 | PROFESSIONAL PLAZA AT THE GROVE LLC | 220 S PLEASANT GROVE BLVD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 950 | PROVO LAND EXCHANGE II LC | 255 E 100 SOUTH | PROVO | UT | 84606 |
| 951 | QUIK FIX INC | 7356 N 6500 WEST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 952 | QUINTERO, ROBERT A \& HILLARY JT | 902 W 260 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 953 | R J ESTATES LLC | 775 REDFORD DR | PROVO | UT | 84604 |
| 954 | R W INVESTMENT LLC | 115 N GENEVA RD | OREM | UT | 84057 |
| 955 | R.A.D. INVESTMENTS LTD UTAH LIMITED | 55 E CENTER ST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 956 | RADMALL, MELVIN R \& DENISE D | 360 N 500 EAST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 957 | RAFF, DAYNE | 1974 W 1500 NORTH | LEHI | UT | 84043 |
| 958 | RAFINER, LARRRY L \& JOLENE W JT | 371 E 500 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 959 | RAGAN, SHERRY E ET AL | 637 N 1010 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 960 | RAI CORPORATION ET AL AN INT | 210 N PRESTON DR | ALPINE | UT | 84004 |
| 961 | RAMESON, TAMERA B \& RICHARD M JT | 1736 N 70 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 962 | RAMOS, LOURDES | 3454 MIRROR CIR | SARATOGA SPRINGS | UT | 84045 |
| 963 | RAPIER, RYAN \& ADRA R JT | 1809 GARDEN DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 964 | RASBAND, RYAN D \& REVA J JT | 4625 FERGUSON WAY | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 965 | RASMUSSEN, DENNIS A \& SANDRA TEE | 864 S 1150 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 966 | RASMUSSEN, MILTON K \& CHERYL JT | 1524 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 967 | RAWLINGS, JAN LORIS | 147 E 400 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 968 | RDF PROPERTIES LLC ET AL | 10568 N 5900 WEST | HIGHLAND | UT | 84003 |
| 969 | REASON, MICHAEL A | 121 E 1500 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 970 | REBER, ROBERT J | 325 S 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |


| 971 | REDWING PROPERTIES LLC | 11019 N 5500 WEST | HIGHLAND | UT | 84003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 972 | RENAISSANCE AT INDIAN SPRINGS HOMEOW | 1391 RENAISSANCE PL | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 973 | RENSHAW, LANCE G | 349 E 280 SOUTH | ALPINE | UT | 84004 |
| 974 | RENSHAW, STEPHEN R \& JOSLYN JT | 2725 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 975 | REYNOLDS, DAVID J \& JULIE A JT | 1042 W 500 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 976 | RHA COMMUNITY SERVICES OF UTAH INC | 3060 W PEACHTREE RD \#1150 | ATLANTA | GA | 30305 |
| 977 | RICHARDS, MONICA H \& DAVID M JT | 402 S 420 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 978 | RICHARDSON, GREGORY L \& HOLLY JT | 882 W 2800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 979 | RICHINS, IDONNA E | 542 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 980 | RICHMITCH PROPERTIES LLC | 695 W STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 981 | RICKERS, ED | 372 N 1130 EAST | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 982 | RIGGS, JOSEPH W | 2337 N 1050 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 983 | RIGHTSELL, JIMMY L \& COLLEEN JT | 65 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 984 | RIRIE, CRAIG M \& BECKY A JT | 141 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 985 | RJJJ INVESTMENTS LC | 492 S 250 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 986 | RLK PROPERTIES L.C. | 570 W 100 SOUTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 987 | RMAK HOLDINGS LLC | 10245 DOWNING DR | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 988 | ROBBINS, TYRAN J \& KRISTEN B JT | 717 W 2240 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 989 | ROBERTS, KONNIE | 2931 N 1130 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 990 | ROBERTSON, JOHN M \& C KAIRA JT | 317 E 1640 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 991 | ROBINSON, GARY N \& TRACIE R JT | 54 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 992 | ROBINSON, GENE B \& KAREN T JT | PO BOX 1832 | OREM | UT | 84059 |
| 993 | ROBINSON, JAY K \& JEAN B JT | 375 PAHVANT DR | RICHFIELD | UT | 84701 |
| 994 | ROBINSON, JEFFERY L \& EILEEN JT | 998 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 995 | ROBISON, JASON \& AUBREY JT | 963 W 670 SOUTH \#16 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 996 | ROCKY MOUNTAIN WELDING HOLDING LC | PO BOX 397 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 997 | RODDA, LORELL L | 4004 SAWGRASS | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 998 | ROGERS, DONALD R \& WENDY S JT | 7300 BEIJING PL | DULLES | VA | 20189 |
| 999 | ROHMER, BRETT F \& KAY W | 1830 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1000 | ROMERO, CYNTHIA D | 613 N 600 WEST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 1001 | RONALD P FAKLER FAMILY LIMITED PARTN | 2572 STONEBURY LOOP RD | SPRINGVILLE | UT | 84663 |
| 1002 | ROSS, JACOB \& MELANIE JT | 838 E 500 NORTH | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 1003 | ROTHE, EDGAR F \& LU ANN | 1362 RENAISSANCE PL | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1004 | ROTHE, RUTH H ET AL TEE | 1432 RENAISSANCE PL | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1005 | ROUNDY, MICHAEL \& BECKY JT | 4554 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1006 | ROUTSONG, NATHAN \& TARA JT | 3647 PAIGE LN | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 1007 | ROWLEY, DENNIS E \& DENICE C ET AL | 128 S 100 WEST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 1008 | ROWLEY, GRANT A | 695 W 1285 NORTH | OREM | UT | 84057 |
| 1009 | RSP LTD | PO BOX 345 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1010 | RUIZ, CHRIS D \& ANITA ET AL JT | 1161 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1011 | RUIZ, MIGUEL | 1365 W 50 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1012 | S \& T PROPERTIES LC | 897 W 2225 SOUTH | WOODS CROSS | UT | 84087 |
| 1013 | SADERUP, BRUCE | 1156 ALTON WAY | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84108 |
| 1014 | SADLER, SHELDON M | 355 W 3340 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1015 | SAGE, TERRY M \& ELEANOR L TEE | 660 W STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1016 | SAGER, D LORRAINE ET AL | 357 N 950 EAST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 1017 | SALMON, DAVID C | 1555 N 150 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1018 | SAMPSON, DALE W \& CYNTHIA D JT | 410 S LOCUST AV | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1019 | SAMPSON, HELEN | 95 N 1620 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1020 | SANFORD, CHRISTEL B TEE | 13478 OAKRIDGE DR | ALPINE | UT | 84004 |
| 1021 | SANTAI MEHRIZY, REZA ET AL | 1087 N 1050 EAST | OREM | UT | 84097 |
| 1022 | SAPP, GREGORY L \& JAYNE A JT | 365 E 300 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1023 | SARGENT, HAROLD | 112 E 700 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1024 | SAVAGE, LARAE T | 9093 CANYON HEIGHTS DR | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 1025 | SAVAGE, NEAL \& LA RAE ET AL | 6340 S 3000 EAST \#600 | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84121 |
| 1026 | SAVAGE, NEAL \& T LUKE ET AL | 6340 S 3000 EAST \#600 | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84121 |
| 1027 | SCHAEFER, DARIN S \& GRACE S JT | 1865 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1028 | SCHMUHL, SANDRA L | 91 E 700 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1029 | SCHOUTEN, DAVID J | 641 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1030 | SCHOW'S RANCHETTE FAMILY LIMITED PAR | 2445 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1031 | SCHOW, ROBERT | 3548 NORTH 900 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1032 | SCHOW, CRAIG W \& SUSAN M JT | 2547 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1033 | SCHRAM, MATTHEW \& ANAHI JT | 295 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 034 | SCOTT, KAY LAMAR | 931 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1035 | SCOTT, RONALD E \& ANNA M JT | 2148 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |


| 1036 | SCS INVESTMENTS LLC | PO BOX 1043 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1037 | SEARLE, L KENT \& LUANA G TEE | 40 E 1120 NORTH | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 1038 | SEDIVY, PATRICK \& ALLYSE JT | 2105 TUSCANY WAY | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1039 | SHADYWOOD LLC | 6084 S 900 EAST \#202 | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84121 |
| 1040 | SHARDLOW, PAULA JANIECE | 2566 RENAISSANCE PL | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1041 | SHAW, JONATHAN \& STEPHANIE V JT | 283 N 960 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1042 | SHELLEY, BRIAN G \& GINA L JT | 270 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1043 | SHELLEY, KRISTINA L \& AARON K JT | 9804 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1044 | SHEPHERD, PAUL \& SANDY | 538 S LOCUST AV | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1045 | SHEPHERD, RODNEY \& CAROLYN | 540 E 500 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 1046 | SHILL, MATTHEW P \& JUBALEN JT | 3688 N 1270 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1047 | SHOELL, JOHN F \& MARIANNE T | 73 E 1200 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1048 | SHUMSON PROPERTIES LLC | 915 S 500 EAST \#100 | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 1049 | SHUMWAY, KAY G \& LINDA JT | 120 E 700 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1050 | SHURTLIFF, DONALD C \& JOAN TEE | 9027 FERNDALE AV | FONTANA | CA | 92335 |
| 1051 | SIBLEY, TROY R \& CANDACE C JT | 1385 N MURDOCK DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1052 | SIDDOWAY, WILLIAM R \& NILA TEE | 672 E 900 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1053 | SIDING GUYS INC THE | PO BOX 50624 | PROVO | UT | 84605 |
| 1054 | SILVER CREEK DEVELOPMENT GROUP L.L.C | 3651 N 100 EAST \#350 | PROVO | UT | 84604 |
| 1055 | SIPE, DAVID A ET AL | 180 MAPLE LN | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1056 | SJA PROPERTIES LC UTAH LLC | 330 S MAIN ST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1057 | SKPC INC | 3548 N 900 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1058 | SLADE, RYAN L | 134 W 725 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 1059 | SMART, JOYCE M \& JOYCE M ET A TEE | 201 S MAIN ST \#1100 | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84111 |
| 1060 | SMART, SIDNEY L \& KAREN B JT | 9775 N 4000 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1061 | SMITH, BETTY J \& DON L TEE | 371 E 700 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1062 | SMITH, CLAYN R \& KAREN O JT | 1822 TUSCANY WAY | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1063 | SMITH, CLAYTON R \& MISTY K JT | 155 MAPLE LN | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1064 | SMITH, COLLEEN MARY TEE | 591 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1065 | SMITH, CRAIG H \& LINDA D JT | 1690 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1066 | SMITH, DAVID K \& JANET S | 635 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1067 | SMITH, GARRETT B \& HOLLY M JT | 2162 VERONA CIR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1068 | SMITH, GLENN B \& KATHY R TEE | 471 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1069 | SMITH, JAMES G \& DOROTHY H TEE | 1121 GROVE CREEK DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1070 | SMITH, JERRY | 135 W CENTER | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1071 | SMITH, JERRY P \& BARBARA J TEE | 448 W 2900 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1072 | SMITH, JERRY P \& BARBARA J ET TEE | 224 S MAIN ST \#456 | SPRINGVILLE | UT | 84663 |
| 1073 | SMITH, MINDY | 952 W 270 SOUTH \#201 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1074 | SMITH, PAUL C | 501 E 300 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1075 | SMITH, SCOTT LEROY ET AL | 2920 ROBINWOOD DR | TAYLORSVILLE | UT | 84118 |
| 1076 | SMITH, STANLEY B \& MARY K JT | 362 N 2000 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1077 | SMITH, TARA J \& JASON P TEE | 2071 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1078 | SMITH, WADE R \& PATRICIA JT | 1786 N 270 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1079 | SMITHS FOOD \& DRUG CENTERS INC | 3336 E 32ND ST \#217 | TULSA | OK | 74135 |
| 1080 | SMOOT, ROBERT S \& GAYLIA A TEE | 1436 RENAISSANCE PL | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1081 | SNELL, JOY B TEE | 765 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1082 | SNYDER, GARY \& LYNETTE TEE | 2966 W 880 NORTH | PROVO | UT | 84601 |
| 1083 | SOFOIFA, MARLON E \& SANDRA K JT | 1122 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1084 | SOLARI, PATRICIA OSWOOD | PO BOX 5628 | OROVILLE | CA | 95966 |
| 1085 | SORENSEN, RODNEY S \& REBECCA JT | 1884 GLENDON CIR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1086 | SORENSEN, SHANE D \& CHRISTINE A | 375 S LOCUST AV | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1087 | SORENSEN, WESLEY R \& PAMELA E JT | 803 W 1500 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1088 | SORENSON, B DONALD \& MARILYN JT | 884 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1089 | SOUTHAM, LESLIE R \& NANCY A ET AL | 450 W STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1090 | SOUTHWORTH, LARRY \& MARTY JT | 3805 VALLEY VIEW DR | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 1091 | SPINAL REHAB PROPERTIES LLC | 9472 AZTEC DR | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 1092 | SRM REAL ESTATE LLC | 1151 CEDAR RIDGE RD | LEHI | UT | 84043 |
| 1093 | STAKER, SCOTT | 690 S 50 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1094 | STANGER, MARK T \& JENNY L JT | 968 APPLE GROVE LN | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1095 | STAPLETON, HEATHER \& ROBERT B JT | 929 W 670 SOUTH \#5 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1096 | STAR 6 CONSTRUCTION LLC | 986 E 1480 NORTH | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 1097 | STEINAKER, JOHN \& MARY JT | 149 S 950 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1098 | STEPHENS, TIM A | 5725 W 9600 NORTH | HIGHLAND | UT | 84003 |
| 1099 | STEPHENSON, JOHN | 365 W 800 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 1100 | STEVENS, BRIAN W \& SARI K JT | 1560 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |


| 1101 | STEVENS, BRYCE \& KRISTA JT | 640 W 2100 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1102 | STEVENS, EARL W \& LORIA JT | 1322 W 2100 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1103 | STEVENS, MARK DEVERL JR ET AL | 1316 W 540 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1104 | STEVENS, ROBERT K \& THEA L TEE | 1901 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1105 | STEWART, CHARLES W \& KELLY L TEE | 854 E 1100 SOUTH | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 1106 | STEWART, WILLIAM D \& JANET K JT | 9473 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1107 | STILL, JUDITH A | 320 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1108 | STIRLING, DAVID N | 2449 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1109 | STODDARD, CURTIS K \& NANCY L JT | 5425 SE BYRON DR | PORTLAND | OR | 97267 |
| 1110 | STORY, KEITH D \& JOYCE L TEE | 1080 E 900 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1111 | STOTT, PETER A \& MARIE A JT | 516 E 200 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1112 | STRATTON, KEN | 9736 N 4800 WEST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 1113 | STRAY INVESTMENTS LLC | 12712 WHISPER BEND DR | DRAPER | UT | 84020 |
| 1114 | STREET, DON EDWIN | 195 N 850 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1115 | STUBBS, CHAD M | 1913 GLENDON CIR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1116 | STUHLMACHER, LA FAITH TEE | PO BOX 1196 | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 1117 | SUN, ANGEL | 1530 GOUGH ST \#303 | SAN FRANCISCO | CA | 94109 |
| 1118 | SUNDANCER HOLDINGS LLC | 3376 MAGIC VIEW DR | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84121 |
| 1119 | SUNDERLAND, DAVID W \& NIKKI | 986 W 270 SOUTH \#304 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1120 | SUOJANEN, KARI T \& KRISTY L JT | 9547 CANYON RD | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 1121 | SUOJANEN, KARI T \& KRISTY L TEE | 9541 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1122 | SUTCH, ROBERT L \& CYNTHIA P TEE | 752 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1123 | SUTTON, JAMES \& LAURA E JT | 180 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1124 | SWALBERG, JERALDENE | 693 E 990 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1125 | SWEET CHARITY INC | 211 E 300 SOUTH \#212 | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84111 |
| 1126 | SWENSON, NATHAN B \& BRENDA J JT | 1315 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1127 | SWENSON, SHIRLEY RUTH TEE | 301 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1128 | T B LLLC | 1360 W STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1129 | T\&J COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES LLC | 100 E STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1130 | TAGGART, TODD B \& JULIE K JT | 1269 E 100 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1131 | TANNER, BYRON V | 518 S 2150 WEST \#302 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1132 | TANNER, HOWARD S \& PATRICIA A TEE | 2858 N 900 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1133 | TAYLOR, CHRISTINE | 940 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1134 | TAYLOR, M HARVEY \& JANET R JT | 175 MAPLE LN | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1135 | TAYLOR, ROBERT D \& JANET L JT | 1342 RENAISSANCE PL | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1136 | TAYLOR, RODGER L \& GERALDINE JT | 1075 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1137 | TAYLOR, RON \& SONDRA JT | 2568 RENAISSANCE PL | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1138 | TAYLOR, STEPHEN C \& SUE A JT | 986 W 270 SOUTH \#202 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1139 | TAYLOR, THOMAS J \& JEAQUETTA | 9367 AVANYU DR | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 1140 | TEEMSMA, DONALD L \& BARBARA A TEE | 5534 TRINITY WAY | SAN DIEGO | CA | 92120 |
| 1141 | TEMPLE VIEW MEDICAL COMPLEX L.C. | 830 N 2000 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1142 | TEN BOSCH, SVEN S \& LUCINDA C | 1505 W 80 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1143 | TERRY, KEITH | 2179 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1144 | TEUSCHER, BRUCE E \& LYNETTE C JT | 1778 N 390 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1145 | THAYER, PHILLIP \& STEPHANIE G JT | 920 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1146 | THAYNE, DENNIS R \& KARLA JT | 4087 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1147 | THAYNE, DENNIS R \& KARLA ET AL | 634 W 4000 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1148 | THAYNE, EDITH ANN | 385 S MAIN ST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1149 | THE LYLE J SMART FAMILY LIMITED PART | 2511 N 180 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1150 | THOMAN, DEBRA C | PO BOX 364 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1151 | THOMAS, CHARLES W \& MELISSA K JT | 1335 W 2180 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1152 | THOMAS, DEBRAC SUCTEE | 754 W 4000 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1153 | THOMAS, LYNDSIE TEE | 3968 W 9600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1154 | THOMAS, MICHAEL D \& ADRIENNE TEE | 2440 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1155 | THOMPSON, DARRELL \& LORI JT | 16 S 600 WEST | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 1156 | THOMSON, PHYLLIS POULSON | 235 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1157 | THORNE, MARGARET A \& MARGARET TEE | 2344 ARNETTE DR | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84109 |
| 1158 | THORNTON, RUSSELL S | 2076 N JANICE CIR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1159 | THORNTON, TY \& NATALIA JT | 533 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1160 | THORNTON, WAYNE L | 49 E 700 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1161 | TIMOTHY, WILLIAM A \& SUSAN J TEE | 745 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1162 | TIMP RIDGE DEVELOPMENT INC | 65 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1163 | TITERA, WILLIAM R TEE | 29267 NOTINGHAM CT | WESTLAKE | OH | 44145 |
| 1164 | TKM REAL ESTATE LLC | 122 E 2000 NORTH | OREM | UT | 84057 |
| 1165 | TOLEN, WILLIAM CHRISTOPHER | 1233 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |


| 1166 | TOLMAN, LARRY E \& DARLYNN A JT | 118 S 1100 EAST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1167 | TOMLINSON, TERRY L \& TERRIE L TEE | 246 E 800 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 1168 | TRADITIONAL LIVING LLC | 376 E 400 SOUTH \#304 | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84111 |
| 1169 | TRIAD AUTO SALES INC | 848 S STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1170 | TRIPLE FOCUS LC | 1402 W STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1171 | TRUONG, THAI \& LAURA B JT | 9249 CANYON RD | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 1172 | TUCKETT, GLADE B ET AL | 10939 N ALPINE HWY \#121PMB | HIGHLAND | UT | 84003 |
| 1173 | TURNER, JARED \& CRYSTAL JT | 1363 W 2180 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1174 | TWIGGS, SCOTT H \& CORAL L JT | 2035 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1175 | ULLMAN, CHRISTIAN \& JENALE JT | 1788 N 350 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1176 | UNICE, JOHN \& BARBARA JT | 84 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1177 | UNTHANK, KENNETH L \& GWENDOLY TEES | 1890 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1178 | UNUTOA, ERIC \& DORA A JT | 1036 W 500 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1179 | UNZAGA, HUMBERTO F \& LUCIA R JT | 2535 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1180 | UTAH COMMUNITY FEDERAL CREDIT UNION | 1900 N CANYON RD | PROVO | UT | 84604 |
| 1181 | UTAH COMMUNITY FEDERAL CREDIT UNION | 188 RIVER PARK DR | PROVO | UT | 84604 |
| 1182 | UTAH VALLEY REAL ESTATE LLC | 76 N BALD MOUNTAIN DR | ALPINE | UT | 84004 |
| 1183 | VAL WARNICK FAMILY LLC THE | PO BOX 145 | MIDWAY | UT | 84049 |
| 1184 | VALENTINE, BRETT \& AIRAMINTA JT | 575 E 1000 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1185 | VALLEJO, NOEL | 10146 N MAPLE CT | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 1186 | VAN ZANT, DOUGLAS L | 9560 N CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1187 | VANDERWILT, CHRISTOPHER B \& S JT | 1320 W 600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1188 | VELLA, J-MARLAN \& CHRISTINA I JT | 62 W 725 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 1189 | VEST, FLOYD \& LARRY ET AL TEE | 7277 N 4850 WEST | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 1190 | VILLAGE SQUARE AT PLEASANT GROVE L.C | 3575 N 100 EAST \#175 | PROVO | UT | 84604 |
| 1191 | VINCENT, JEFF L | 1625 W 140 NORTH \#62 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1192 | VINCENT, STEVEN L \& STEPHANIE JT | 342 MILLCREEK RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1193 | VIROONCHATAPAN, EKAPOP \& NITN JT | 4986 EL MIRLO DR | OCEANSIDE | CA | 92057 |
| 1194 | VISTA DEL GROVE LEGACY LC | 2521 CHERRY GROVE WAY | SOUTH JORDAN | UT | 84095 |
| 1195 | WADLEY DEVELOPMENT CO LLC | 2405 W CENTER ST | PROVO | UT | 84601 |
| 1196 | WADLEY, ALEXANDER \& NELDA B TEE | 2508 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1197 | WADLEY, ARVIL W \& HELEN H TEE | 90 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1198 | WADLEY, CLIFTON J \& MARY R TEE | 2362 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1199 | WADLEY, DON F \& BRENDA B JT | 1041 W 4000 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1200 | WADSWORTH, ENOCH A | 159 S PLEASANT GROVE BLVD \#23 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1201 | WAITKEVICH, STEPHEN A | 3826 S 2300 EAST | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84109 |
| 1202 | WAKAMATSU, NANETTE M \& WARREN JT | 125 E CENTER ST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1203 | WALDRON, ANN M | 65 N 1620 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1204 | WALDVOGEL, STACEY B \& STACEY B | 1013 N 1600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1205 | WALKER, BILLY R \& PATRICIA JT | 2554 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1206 | WALKER, CHARLES S \& MELISSA S JT | 578 S STATE ST | OREM | UT | 84058 |
| 1207 | WALKER, CLARENCE | 2195 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1208 | WALKER, JAY R \& CAROL H JT | 1470 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1209 | WALKER, KENT W \& JILL F TEE | 3865 N 900 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1210 | WALKER, LLOYD J \& VERLA T TEE | 480 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1211 | WALKER, MILDRED C TEE | 860 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1212 | WALKER, RICHARD M \& AMY JT | 1246 W 3300 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1213 | WALKER, RONALD \& VERA D TEE | 345 E CENTER ST | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 1214 | WALKER, RONALD G \& VERA D JT | 930 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1215 | WALL, KENNETH K \& ANGELA JT | 1727 W 1060 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1216 | WALLENTINE, DAVID A \& DIANA TEE | 632 N MURDOCK DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1217 | WALTERS, DWAYNE C \& EVELYN JT | 680 S 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1218 | WALTERS, DWAYNE C \& EVELYN F JT | 655 S 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1219 | WALTERS, JOSEPH A \& PATSY J TEE | 23 W 800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1220 | WANGEMANN, PAUL \& VONDA JT | 793 N LOCUST AV | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 1221 | WANLASS, CHRIS P | 4454 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1222 | WARBURTON'S INC | 453 W 700 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1223 | WARBURTON, PAUL B \& NINA TEE | 1770 N 1520 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1224 | WARD, DOUGLAS B \& DEBORAH R JT | 94 W 725 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 1225 | WARDELL, MARTIN W \& LINDA M JT | 9730 CANYON RD | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 1226 | WARNER, DIANE S TEE | 9675 CANYON RD | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 1227 | WARNICK, BRYSON J \& EMILY K JT | 80 S 1485 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1228 | WARNICK, CARL F \& JUNE W JT | 1136 W 3300 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1229 | WARNICK, DOUGLAS R \& SHARON R JT | 2552 N 860 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1230 | WARNICK, JACQUELYN W | 3277 N 1450 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |


| 1231 | WARNICK, KENT E \& SHELLIE L JT | 1309 W 3300 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1232 | WARNICK, KYLE F | 1756 N 390 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1233 | WARNICK, MARK DOUGLAS | 1434 W 3300 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1234 | WARNICK, PAUL R \& LISA P | 1492 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1235 | WARNICK, STEPHEN L \& NELDA S JT | 1454 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1236 | WARNICK, THOMAS L \& JODI L JT | 4438 W 8800 NORTH | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 1237 | WARNICK, WILLIAM W \& DIANA TEE | 2785 N 1450 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1238 | WARNOCK, D CARL \& CINDY H JT | 1977 W 800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1239 | WARREN, MICHAEL \& CHARLENE JT | 2415 N 1050 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1240 | WATERS, MARY L | 986 W 270 SOUTH \#101 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1241 | WE PROPERTY II LLC | 2845 N 900 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1242 | WEBB, PETER J \& FRANKIE T JT | 648 E 80 NORTH | AMERICAN FORK | UT | 84003 |
| 1243 | WEBER, ROBBY L \& SHELLY JT | 2448 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1244 | WELCH, DAVID TEE | 1641 W 50 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1245 | WELCH, GOLDEN \& EMILEE JT | 189 N 1630 WEST \#70 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1246 | WELLESLEY, CRAIG H \& CYNTHIA JT | 1393 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1247 | WELLS, KENT C \& JANET M JT | 515 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1248 | WELLS, ROBERT K | 2472 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1249 | WEST, DON \& HEATHER D JT | 345 W 200 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1250 | WEST, KENNETH D \& DORORTHY F TEE | 910 E 400 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1251 | WEST, KERRY J | 1842 N 1200 WEST | LEHI | UT | 84043 |
| 1252 | WEST, LANCE C \& LESLIE G | 397 E 500 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1253 | WEST, LELA M TEE | 340 S LOCUST AV | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1254 | WEST, MARY | 540 GROVE CREEK DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1255 | WEST, PHYLLIS GARLAND TEE | 385 E 500 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1256 | WEST, ROYAL J | 1090 E 900 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1257 | WEST, ROYAL J | 1100 E 900 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1258 | WEST, STEVEN D \& DIANE N TEE | 200 N 950 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1259 | WESTROC INC | 670 W 220 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1260 | WHALEY, ROBERT J \& CHRISTINE JT | 67 E 700 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1261 | WHITAKER, CAROL A ET AL | 2815 N 1020 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1262 | WHITAKER, MATTHEW A \& CAROL A JT | 2816 N 1020 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1263 | WHITELEY, KAYLON T \& JAN JT | 791 W 600 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 1264 | WIGERT, JOHN R ET AL | 1467 W 80 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1265 | WILDE, L CLAIR | 10641 JACOB ASTOR WAY | SOUTH JORDAN | UT | 84095 |
| 1266 | WILLIAMS, CHRISTOPHER GEORGE VAUGHN | 845 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1267 | WILLIAMS, DAVID M \& ANGIE B JT | 361 W 800 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 1268 | WILLIAMS, KENT S \& CHARLENE | 1075 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1269 | WILLIAMS, MELAYNE W | 3810 VALLEY VIEW DR | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 1270 | WILLIAMSON FARMS L.L.C. | 250 BEECHWOOD DR \#120 | BOISE | ID | 83709 |
| 1271 | WILLIAMSON INVESTMENTS L.C. | 168 N 1200 EAST | OREM | UT | 84097 |
| 1272 | WILLIAMSON, ROBERT | 445 E STATE RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1273 | WILLIAMSON, ROBERT LEON TEE | 3531 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1274 | WILSON, BRUCE J \& MARNAE B JT | 236 W 310 NORTH | OREM | UT | 84057 |
| 1275 | WILSON, CRIS E \& DEBRA C | 1752 N 70 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1276 | WILSON, DEVIN E \& MELISSA ET AL | 1579 W 80 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1277 | WILSON, GRANT M \& RETA R JT | 172 S 350 EAST | OREM | UT | 84058 |
| 1278 | WILSON, KEITH L \& DANA L JT | 1793 N 1300 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1279 | WILSON, KEVEN L \& KAREN M JT | 345 W 700 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1280 | WILSON, MATTHEW J \& CARRIE J JT | 1635 E MURDOCK DR | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1281 | WILSON, TYLER W | 297 S RIDGECREST DR | OREM | UT | 84058 |
| 1282 | WILTBANK, JAMES \& BOBI J JT | 2928 W 160 NORTH | PROVO | UT | 84601 |
| 1283 | WINDSOR, BRADNER L \& KATHLEEN JT | 2345 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1284 | WINSLOW, ERNEST P SR TEE | 517 CENTRAL AV | ALAMEDA | CA | 94501 |
| 1285 | WINTERS, ELMA MERMA PROCTOR TEE | 71 S 1025 EAST | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| 1286 | WINWARD, JULIE A | 83 N 1620 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1287 | WISE, JAMES L \& RAQUEL TEE | 2211 N 600 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1288 | WLM MANAGEMENT COMPANY 401K P AN INT | 758 S 400 EAST | OREM | UT | 84097 |
| 1289 | WMS PROPERTIES LLC | 6213 W 10830 NORTH | HIGHLAND | UT | 84003 |
| 1290 | WOOD, TRAVIS E \& RACHELLE L JT | 994 W 600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1291 | WOODARD, RUSSELL D \& JANA L JT | 2634 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1292 | WOODBURY, W RICHARDS ET AL TEE | 2733 PARLEYS WAY \#300 | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84109 |
| 1293 | WOODEN, MEL J \& JULENE JT | PO BOX 169A | SPRINGVILLE | UT | 84663 |
| 1294 | WOODIS, CHARLES EMERSON | 226 N OREM BLVD | OREM | UT | 84057 |
| 1295 | WOODS, JAMES E \& VIRGINIA S JT | 3824 CANYON RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |


| 1296 | WOODS, RANDY \& JOY G | 385 W 800 NORTH | LINDON | UT | 84042 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1297 | WOODSIDE HOMES CORPORATION | 127 S 500 EAST \#600 | SALT LAKE CITY | UT | 84102 |
| 1298 | WOODWARD, ROCK A \& PAMELA K JT | 1368 W 2600 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1299 | WOOLF, RICHARD \& LISA | 1625 W 50 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1300 | WOOTTON, JANET S TEE | 11022 N 5600 WEST | HIGHLAND | UT | 84003 |
| 1301 | WOOTTON, JANET S TEE | 11022 N 5600 WEST | HIGHLAND | UT | 84003 |
| 1302 | WOOTTON, JEFFREY L \& EMILY JT | 860 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1303 | WRIGHT, DEREK \& KATRESE JT | 3323 N 1270 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1304 | WRIGHT, JANELL | 1643 W 140 NORTH \#65 | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1305 | WRIGHT, MATTHEW H \& SOKUNNARY JT | 2083 TUSCANY WAY | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1306 | YANG, KYUNG A ET AL TEE | 5093 RIVER PARK WAY | PROVO | UT | 84604 |
| 1307 | YOUNG, DAYNE A \& KIMBERLEY H JT | 1517 W 80 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1308 | YOUNG, J STERLING \& TONYA A JT | 1850 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1309 | YOUNG, MELVIN J \& DEANNA C JT | 1009 W 1800 NORTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1310 | YOUNG, RICHARD J \& GWEN K | 1820 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1311 | YUZON, CRAIG P \& ELLAVEE P JT | 228 S PROCTOR LA | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1312 | ZABRISKIE, GARY K | 1740 W 700 SOUTH | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1313 | ZENKIC, ESAD | 9580 CANYON RD | CEDAR HILLS | UT | 84062 |
| 1314 | ZITTING, BENJAMIN B \& JEAN S | 334 MILLCREEK RD | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1315 | ZONTS, JARED | 150 N 100 EAST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
| 1316 | ZUPAN, DENNIS B \& BETTY JT | 3985 N 900 WEST | PLEASANT GROVE | UT | 84062 |
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## 2009 CONCERTS IN THE PARK
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BUILDING PERMIT REQUIRED FOR ACCESSORY BUILDINGS: Please remember to obtain building permits for all accessory buildings larger than 120 square feet in size. Also, before building any accessory building, check with Community Development for the proper setbacks, so you don't have to move your buildings after they are constructed or installed
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## Fireman's Breakfast









## TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN OPEN HOUSE
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## UTAH COUNTY FAIR TIME:
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## YOU'RE INVITED!
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## UTAH CO. BEEKEEPERS
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48 HOUR PARKING ON STREETS: Please be reminded of the City parking ordinance, which does not allow for more than 48 hour parking on the street.

## DEAR RESIDENT OR HOME OWNER
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GUTTER CLEAN UP: Now is a good time to make sure your gutters and storm drains are cleaned to prevent flooding.

## PGBA GOLF TOURNAMENT!
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## FOX HOLLOW GOLF CLUB
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Buy one small bucket of Balls and get the second one free! Offer good until the end May 2009





## CENSUS TO BEGIN ADDRESS CANVASSING OPERATIONS
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## GREETINGS FROM YOUR FIRE CHIEF
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## STRAWBERRY DAYS CONCERT
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## PG BEAUTIFICATION／ SHADE TREE COMMISSION
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## RECREATION NEWS

For more detailed information please visit the Pleasant Grove Website at www．pgcity．org and click on recreation．
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Swim Team $\quad$ 四












## PG ARTS COMMISSION
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## UNITED WAY SUMMER OF SERVICE
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## DRIVER SAFETY CLASS
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## PARKING VEHICLES ON FRONT LANDSCAPING AREA OF RESIDENCE：City Code does not allow for parking of vehicles on the front landscape portion of residences． <br> This includes cars，trucks，boats，trailers，sheds，etc．

## WATER QUALITY REPORT
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## LIBRARY NEWS
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## DOOR TO DOOR SALES
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## Open House Attendance List

## PLEASANT GROVE CITY TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN OPEN HOUSE ATTENDANCE LIST

|  | NAME | ADDRESS | PHONE NO. | EMAIL ADDRESS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | K. Craig Allred | 1268 Hillside Drive P.G. | 801-796-8059 | Craig.Allred@DOT.gov |
| 2 | Gaylon and Merma Winters | 71 South 1025 East Lindon | 801-785-5801 |  |
| 3 | Liz Britt | 637 South 1300 West | 801-785-5218 | ittybittie@hotmail.com |
| 4 | Debbie Levin | 866 West 4000 North P.G. | 801-701-0440 | debilevin@hotmail.com |
| 5 | Greg Warburton | 779 East Center P.G. | 801-785-0099 | greg779@gmail.com |
| 6 | Jeff Thompson | 617 Canyon View Dr. P.G. | 801-785-6881 | jeff thompson@byu.edu |
| 7 | David Flinders | 482 West 3300 North P.G. | 801-785-6452 |  |
| 8 | Philip Blake | 29 South 2000 West P.G. | 801-756-9234 |  |
| 9 | Bryant Burkett | 523 North 300 West P.G. |  |  |
|  | Robert Briem | 793 North 390 East P.G. | 801-756-9142 | robbriem@gmail.com |
|  | David Told | 501 South Main P.G. | 801-836-419 | davet@toldplumbing.com |
|  | Mario Gonzalez | 1119 East 100 North | 435-701-7822 | ajamario@gmail.com |
|  | David Martinez | 650 North 100 East | 801-372-2371 |  |
|  | Coral Hicks | 1030 North 60 West | 801-785-3496 |  |
|  | David Pincock | 1692 North 70 East P.G. | 801-796-1397 | docp@q.com |
|  | Frank Mills | 466 East 100 South P.G. |  |  |
|  | Mack Hall | 1990 North 1300 West P.G. |  |  |
|  | Stanley B. Smith | 362 North 200 West P.G. | 801-809-2350 |  |
|  | Dennis Hullinger | 637 West 4000 North P.G. | 801-785-5991 | hull810@alpine.k12.ut.us |
|  | Dale Warburton | 795 East 350 North | 801-785-4040 |  |
|  | Trudi Levin | 3939 West 9600 North | 801-785-3356 | trudilevin@hotmail.com |
|  | Fred Levin | 3939 West 9600 North | 801-785-3356 |  |
|  | Ralph Levin | 866 West 4000 North P.G. | 801-701-4040 | Ralph.6444@hotmail.com |
|  | Debbie Levin | 866 West 4000 North P.G. | 801-701-4040 |  |
|  | David Phelon | 1040 East 900 South P.G. | 801-796-9346 |  |
|  | Kathy Phelon | 1040 East 900 South P.G. | 801-785-3705 |  |
|  | Wendy Vincent | 28 South 850 East P.G. | 801-796-8575 | utahwendy@gmail.com |
|  | Jerry Brooks | 183 East 100 North P.G. | 801-770-4715 |  |
|  | David Bair | 183 East 100 North P.G. | 801-770-4715 | davevb 99@yahoo.com |
|  | Lutie Larsen | 993 West 1800 North | 801-785-5130 |  |
|  | Jim and Raquel Wise | 2211 North 600 West | 801-796-1321 |  |
|  | Mark and Linda Hales | 770 North 350 East P.G. | 801-785-5659 | Ihales@pgcity.org |
|  | Tyler Yorgason | 1267 North 750 West P.G. | 801-796-8082 |  |
|  | Wendy Rupper | 445 Valley View Dr | 801-796-7520 | wendy.rupper@gmail.org |
|  | Andrew Wooley | 715 Apple Grove Ln | 801-796-0671 |  |
|  | John \& Eileen Johannesmeyer | 1069 West 810 North | 801-785-9778 | johnj.email@gmail.com |
|  | David Howard | 1645 East 1000 South | 801-785-0647 | howardd@digis.net |
|  | Deb Thoman | P.O. Box 364 P.G. | 801-362-1337 |  |
|  | Matthew Wilson | 1635 East Murdock Dr. P.G. | 801-691-3495 |  |
|  | Clark Evans | 752 North Locust Ave. Lindon | 801-836-9902 | cevans1950@gmail.com |
|  | Cindy Boyd | 668 West 4000 North P.G. | 801-836-8064 | cindy boyd@hotmail.com |
|  | Heidi Petter | 634 West 4000 North P.G. | 801-822-6434 | heidigoose@hotmail.com |
|  | Jeff Lindstrom | 396 South 100 East P.G. | 801-870-1616 | JP@Professionalheating.com |




## Open House Displays





## PLEASANT GROVE CITY

 86 EAST 100 SOUTHPleasant Grove, UT 84062

## PLEASANT GROVE CITY <br> transsportarion master plan

Please submit this comment form before leaving the meeting tonight, or mail your comments to PLEASANT GROVE CITY, 86 EAST 100 SOUTH, Pleasant Grove, UT 84062. You may also e-mail comments to dlewis@pgcity.org; all comments must be received by the City on or before May 28, 2009. We greatly appreciate your input and participation in this process.

## Name:

Address:
City: $\qquad$ State:
Zip:

## Phone Number:

$\qquad$ E-mail: $\qquad$

1. Are you in favor of the proposed Roadway Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No $\square$ If no, why?
2. Are you in favor of the proposed Trail/Bicycle Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No $\square$ If no, why?
3. Are you in favor of the proposed Transit Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No $\square$ If no, why?
4. Please list any comments, concerns, and/or suggestions you may have relating to the overall Transportation Master Plan.

Please submit this comment form before leaving the meeting tonight, or mail your comments to PLEASANT GROVE CITY, 86 EAST 100 SOUTH, Pleasant Grove, UT 84062. You may also e-mail comments to dlewis@pgcity.org; all comments must be received by the City on or before May 28, 2009. We greatly appreciate your input and participation in this process.
Name: Muriel ( Elliott
Address: 665 W 4000 N
city: Pleasant 8 Grove stat: LIt zip: 84062
Phone Number: $801-7855647$
$\qquad$ Email: Murre E El loti \& yahoo. Con

1. Are you in favor of the proposed Roadway Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No if no, why?

It is much safer the way ct is. Cars well go nawek faster urith a wider road. It would destroys our avivoment and cost a fortune (This 9600 N or 3800 NP
2. Are you in favor of the proposed Trail/Bicycle Master Plan? Yes No if no, why?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
3. Are you in favor of the proposed Transit Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No If no, why?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
4. Please list any comments, concerns, and/or suggestions you may have relating to the overall Transportation Master

Plan. I'ue known it was commence for a lone tense. Q Thine there are map that would not Lase sue k an impact on so many people
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Please submit this comment form before leaving the meeting tonight, or mail your comments to PLEASANT GROVE CITY, 86 EAST 100 SOUTH, Pleasant Grove, UT 84062. You may also e-mail comments to dlewis@pgcity.org; all comments must be received by the City on or before May 28, 2009. We greatly appreciate your input and participation in this process.
Name: hade 4 KayLee Fox
Address: $\qquad$
City: $\qquad$ Pleasant Grave State: UV Zip: 84062

Phone Number: $\qquad$ 801-796-3903 E-mail: $\qquad$ WFOX 3903 M MN. Com

1. Are you in favor of the proposed Roadway Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No $\varangle$ if no, why?

We disagree with the rounabout proposal@ 4000 N. 900 W . There is not enough growth or traffic flow potential to ever support or justify a roundabout at that location.
2. Are you in favor of the proposed Trail/Bicycle Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No $\square$ If no, why?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
3. Are you in favor of the proposed Transit Master Plan? Yes $\square \quad$ No $\square$ If no, why?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
4. Please list any comments, concerns, and/or suggestions you may have relating to the overall Transportation Master
 unnecessary.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## PLEASANT GROVE CITY <br> TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN

Please submit this comment form before leaving the meeting tonight, or mail your comments to PLEASANT GROVE CITY, 86 EAST 100 SOUTH, Pleasant Grove, UT 84062. You may also e-mail comments to dlewis@pgcity.org; all comments must be received by the City on or before May 28, 2009. We greatly appreciate your input and participation in this process.

Name:


Address: $\qquad$
City: $\qquad$ State: $\square$ Zip: 84062

Phone Number: $\quad 435 \cdot 201-7822$ E-mail: $\qquad$ ajamario egmailicom 1. Are you in favor of the proposed Roadway Master Plan? Yes No if no, why?
2. Are you in favor of the proposed Trail/Bicycle Master Plan? Yes /S No If no, why?
3. Are you in favor of the proposed Transit Master Plan? Yes No If no, why?
4. Please list any comments, concerns, and/or suggestions you may have relating to the overall Transportation Master Plan.
$\qquad$ TRAFFIC/TRANSPORTATON PLANS. FROM WHAT I HAVE SEEN IN THE MASTERPLAN, THE CHANGES ARE NECESSARY AND VITAL TO THE COMTINLLED GROWTH OF PLEASANT GROVE,

Please submit this comment form before leaving the meeting tonight, or mail your comments to PLEASANT GROVE CITY, 86 EAST 100 SOUTH, Pleasant Grove, UT 84062. You may also e-mail comments to dlewis@pgcity.org; all comments must be received by the City on or before May 28, 2009. We greatly appreciate your input and participation in this process.
Name: Dennis 2fullinger
Address: 637 West Moo North
city: Pleasant Grove state: Ut zip: 84062
Phone Number: 847855991 email: hull 810 alpine. Klout.us

1. Are you in favor of the proposed Roadway Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ if no, why?

Houses on 4000 Worth are too close to the road -if widened to a $70^{\circ}$ road on $106^{\prime}$ right of way near lg every house on the road would need to be condemn -) if would Ge better to have, the nJ
 2. Are you in favor of the proposed Trail/Bicycle Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No $\square$ If no, why? $\omega$. de. The Trails look god, bo there should be mo ne Acces into Mt. Ma-hagony from more point thane just the Forest Service Trail:
3. Are you in favor of the proposed Transit Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No If no, why?

VTA is a joke. It serves only those along state street. Peasant Grove shouldn't even participate unless they really serve our community. The canyon Rd tun is just 4. Please list any comments, concerns, and/or suggestions you may have relating to the overall Transportation Master Plan. If 4000 North is widened the hill on Canyon Rd to the North would need to be removed. Alstop light there would cause many Accidents un loss the hill were removed. Ihaue a hard time furn ing South 14000 N without being run over. Sa far the widening of 4000 N 15 being done on just the South side. It heeds to be widened equally on both sides.

Please submit this comment form before leaving the meeting tonight, or mail your comments to PLEASANT GROVE CITY, 86 EAST 100 SOUTH, Pleasant Grove, UT 84062. You may also e-mail comments to dlewis@pgcity.org; all comments must be received by the City on or before May 28, 2009. We greatly appreciate your input and participation in this process.

Name: $\qquad$ Lutie Larsen

Address: 993 west 1800 No

City: $\qquad$ Pleasant Grove State: $\qquad$ Zip: 84062
Phone Number: $\qquad$ $801-785-5130$ E-mail: B lutielarsen a mee.com

1. Are you in favor of the proposed Roadway Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ if no, why?

Think if is too much earn with the growth we have had, things are mweh slower. Y would like to ser good based rood repay threghout, A am afraid the master Plan will lock the at is nos

2. Are you in favor of the proposed Trail/Bicycle Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No $\square$ if no, why? abort getting in to $C$ thin the Cont think the bike lanes or 2 good in the stiesto. Athene they should Ge located offroad-
3. Are you in favor of the proposed Transit Master Plan? Yes No If no, why?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
4. Please list any comments, concerns, and/or suggestions you may have relating to the overall Transportation Master Plan.

Go a little more slowly. Dor t snaked through the City Council before people ar scars this is a bigdral -and well require public support. Maybe do it in stages especially once thecitgen are struggling economically. PG is doing so well we should haws money to put ito street respair (maintenaner)

Please submit this comment form before leaving the meeting tonight, or mail your comments to PLEASANT GROVE CITY, 86 EAST 100 SOUTH, Pleasant Grove, UT 84062. You may also e-mail comments to dlewis@pgcity.org; all comments must be received by the City on or before May 28, 2009. We greatly appreciate your input and participation in this process.
Name: Debbie Levin
Address: 866 W 4000 n
City: $\qquad$ Pleasant Grove State: $\qquad$ Ut Zip: $\qquad$
Phone Number: $\qquad$ 801.701.0440 E-mail: debilein@hotmail.com

1. Are you in favor of the proposed Roadway Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No $\square$ if no, why?
\& think that the intersection at 900 West 4000 N orth is way to step to have a light, you cant slop in the winter or you qt steak! et id a very daxgerons rood te get onto. I thee this no id should He a ane way pored or a
2. Are you in favor of the proposed Trail/Bicycle Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No $\square$ If no, why?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
3. Are you in favor of the proposed Transit Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No $\square$ If no, why?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
4. Please list any comments, concerns, and/or suggestions you may have relating to the overall Transportation Master Plan. $\qquad$ removed to widen the road. They are very historical over 150 yeas old. This would be a disaster to have this happen. Words cant describe that this would do to ald of people. Phis is our little lane! Please leave us alone!!

Please submit this comment form before leaving the meeting tonight, or mail your comments to PLEASANT GROVE CITY, 86 EAST 100 SOUTH, Pleasant Grove, UT 84062. You may also e-mail comments to dlewis@pgcity.org; all comments must be received by the City on or before May 28, 2009. We greatly appreciate your input and participation in this process.
Name: Fred True: Levin
Address: $3939 \omega 9600 \mathrm{~N}$
City: $\qquad$ Cedar Hills State: of zip: 84062
Phone Number: 801-785-3356 E-mail: trudileutu @ hotarail com 1. Are you in favor of the proposed Roadway Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No if no, why?
road is widened on 4000 N most of the houses will have to be condemned. A better solution would be to wide u
 issue will ye put in place
2. Are you in favor of the proposed Trail/Bicycle Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No \& if no, why?

There really is not enough access to the trails
3. Are you in favor of the proposed Transit Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No if no, why?

It is almost impossible to USe UTA because the stops are vet convenient + too far apart and the time is not frequent enough to assist us in our travel
4. Please list any comments, concerns, and/or suggestions you may have relating to the overall Transportation Master If If 4000 N . should be widened the street should be widened on either side. Again, the road south of 4000 N is wide enough to accomadate the proposed read.

Please submit this comment form before leaving the meeting tonight, or mail your comments to PLEASANT GROVE CITY, 86 EAST 100 SOUTH, Pleasant Grove, UT 84062. You may also e-mail comments to dlewis@pgcity.org; all comments must be received by the City on or before May 28, 2009. We greatly appreciate your input and participation in this process.

Name: $\qquad$ kaph Lem
asides: 866 W 4000 N
City: $\qquad$ $P G$ State: $\qquad$ Zip:
Phone Number: $\qquad$ 8017010440 E-mail:
$\qquad$

1. Are you in favor of the proposed Roadway Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No If no, why?

The intersection a 900 West 4000 North is a steep hill and people get stuck at top of the hill and when they attempt to go out on to canyon road they create ALOT of near misses and accidents
2. Are you in favor of the proposed Trai//Bicycle Master Plan? Yes No If no, why?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
3. Are you in favor of the proposed Transit Master Plan? Yes, No If no, why?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
4. Please list any comments, concerns, and/or suggestions you may have relating to the overall Transportation Master Plan. 4000 North needs to be a one way street going down hill only to eliviate accidents, and the plan to putin a round about a the bottom of that hill would require removal of my 150 year old trees which WILL NOT HAPPEN PDD Over my dead body will those trees be messed with. Once again the solution is to make 4000 Nor a ONE WAY STREET or possibly a dead end street

Please submit this comment form before leaving the meeting tonight, or mail your comments to PLEASANT GROVE CITY, 86 EAST 100 SOUTH, Pleasant Grove, UT 84062. You may also e-mail comments to dlewis@pgcity.org; all comments must be received by the City on or before May 28, 2009. We greatly appreciate your input and participation in this process.

Name: Kathryn R. Phelow
1040 Eust 900 South
Address: 1040 Eust 900 South
City: $\qquad$ Pleasant Grove State: U+ zip: $84062-4207$ Phone Number: (801) 785-3705
$\qquad$ E-mail: $\qquad$

1. Are you in favor of the proposed Roadway Master Plan? Yes 圏 No If no, why?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
2. Are you in favor of the proposed Trail/Bicycle Master Plan? Yes No $\square$ If no, why?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
3. Are you in favor of the proposed Transit Master Plan? Yes 團 No If no, why?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
4. Please list any comments, concerns, and/or suggestions you may have relating to the overall Transportation Master Plan. $\qquad$ and $1 / 50$ East?

My property borders this road, Will I have access to get onto this road from my back
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Please submit this comment form before leaving the meeting tonight, or mail your comments to PLEASANT GROVE CITY, 86 EAST 100 SOUTH, Pleasant Grove, UT 84062. You may also e-mail comments to dlewis@pgcity.org; all comments must be received by the City on or before May 28, 2009. We greatly appreciate your input and participation in this process.

Name: Heidi Potter

Address: 634 w .4000 N .

City: $\qquad$ Pleasant grove State: $\qquad$ zip: zip: 84062

Phone Number: $\qquad$
$801-822-6434$ E -mali: heidigoose@hotmoul.com

1. Are you in favor of the proposed Roadway Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No if no, why?

Cars already go way too fast down 4000 N ., and don't see us cohen pulling out of the anvewayp because of all the trees. It is Just too dangerous to bung more traffic $\rightarrow$
2. Are you in favor of the proposed Trail/Bicycle Master Plan? Yes ${ }^{\text {L }} \square$ If no, why?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
3. Are you in favor of the proposed Transit Master Plan? Yes No If no, why?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
4. Please list any comments, concerns, and/or suggestions you may have relating to the overall Transportation Master
${ }^{\text {Plan }}$ dom this road, especially on a steep hill. We pull several cars out of the ditches in the winter for going too fast. This will be a disaster, espedally during winter months. Please don't take away the becutful tres and someunat peaceful atmosphere we have enjoyed on this road for years!
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

1．Are you in favor of the proposed Roadway Master Plan？Yes $\square$ No If no，why？
－ oo costly for the population to justify
He costs let the developers pignus

2．Are you in favor of the proposed Trail／Bicycie Master Plan？Yes $\square$ No $\square$ if no，why？
plant see it－Sounds like a good idea－we have a fris unowned of bicyclist of jo ope exercising in these pack 3．Are you in favor of the proposed Transit Master Plan？Yes $\square$ NoD（it no，why？ the one in find of lome peak thigh school we are－

4．Please list any comments，concerns，and／or suggestions you may have relating to the overall Transportation Master Pan．Seams like ain eylorlentant cinount of nones for the amount of cats we see use these paras at this print in time－
Wee would like to know who did He survey of geod coos dailies

Please submit this comment form before leaving the meeting tonight, or mail your comments to PLEASANT GROVE CITY, 86 EAST 100 SOUTH, Pleasant Grove, UT 84062. You may also e-mail comments to dlewis@pgcity.org; all comments must be received by the City on or before May 28, 2009. We greatly appreciate your input and participation in this process.

Name: $\qquad$ Wendy Rupper
Address: 445 Valley View Dr
City: $\qquad$ Pleasant Grove State: $\square$ UT Zip: 84062

Phone Number: $\qquad$ 801) $796-7520$ E-mail: $\qquad$ Wendy.rupper@gmail.com

1. Are you in favor of the proposed Roadway Master Plan? Yes No If no, why?

The Locust realignment is a wonderful idea, however the saftery of the roundabout of locust +200 concems me. Stop sitins are much gafer for pedestrian traffice and I walk that way frequently
2. Are you in favor of the proposed Trail/Bicycle Master Plan? Yes No if no, why? Sidewalks needed also Side wa on Loader Sidewalks, in my opinion, are a greater priority than widening for bike lanes. IF its either or pick sidewalks! Have you considered interconnecting HANOKAP routes as well. The majority of sidewalks 3. Are you in favor of the proposed Transit Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No $\square$ if no, why?

Wishful thinking for 20 years in the future
4. Please list any comments, concerns, and/or suggestions you may have relating to the overall Transportation Master Plan.
Plan. I'm in desperater need of side nalks with handicap ramps especially on the Noopner of Locust and Orchard PLEASE make this a prioint! The new recreation center Mates much more pedestrian traffic on locust; and, at the speed most people drive in that road, it is unsafe for pedestrians to walk on the side of the road.

Please submit this comment form before leaving the meeting tonight, or mail your comments to PLEASANT GROVE CITY, 86 EAST 100 SOUTH, Pleasant Grove, UT 84062. You may also e-mail comments to dlewis@pgcity.org; all comments must be received by the City on or before May 28, 2009. We greatly appreciate your input and participation in this process.

Name: $\qquad$ Jeff Thomyso-

Address: $\qquad$ 617 Canyon Lien

City: $\qquad$ G

State: UT Zip: $\qquad$ 84062

Phone Number: $\qquad$ E-mail: $\qquad$

1. Are you in favor of the proposed Roadway Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No if no, why?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
2. Are you in favor of the proposed Trail/Bicycle Master Plan? $\square$ If no, why?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
3. Are you in favor of the proposed Transit Master Plan? $\square$ If no, why?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
4. Please list any comments, concerns, and/or suggestions you may have relating to the overall Transportation Master Plan.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## PLEASANT GROVE CITY <br> TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN

Please submit this comment form before leaving the meeting tonight, or mail your comments to PLEASANT GROVE CITY, 86 EAST 100 SOUTH, Pleasant Grove, UT 84062. You may also e-mail comments to dlewis@pgcity.org; all comments must be received by the City on or before May 28, 2009. We greatly appreciate your input and participation in this process.

Name:
Address: $\qquad$
City: $\qquad$ State: Zip: $\qquad$
Phone Number: $\qquad$ E-mail:

1. Are you in favor of the proposed Roadway Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No 圏 If no, why?

Titally opposed to "roundabouts" anywhere
2. Are you in favor of the proposed Trail/Bicycle Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No If no, why?
3. Are you in favor of the proposed Transit Master Plan? Yes 畋 No If no, why?
4. Please list any comments, concerns, and/or suggestions you may have relating to the overall Transportation Master Plan.
 that stick out 12 ' into an otherwise straight struet.

Please submit this comment form before leaving the meeting tonight, or mail your comments to PLEASANT GROVE CITY, 86 EAST 100 SOUTH, Pleasant Grove, UT 84062. You may also e-mail comments to dlewis@pgcity.org; all comments must be received by the City on or before May 28, 2009. We greatly appreciate your input and participation in this process.
Name: EENT \& J ice WACKER
Address: 3065 NorTh 900 WEST
city: Pleasant Grove State: प_ Zip: 84062

Phone Number: 801-796-7974 E-mail: $\qquad$

1. Are you in favor of the proposed Roadway Master Plan? Yes No If no, why?
1.Hnevoy Bund. Doss not have this traffic cont to justify it as

A MANN ARTERIAL SIRET. THE SCHOOL AREA MILLION LEND ITSELF TO SPEEDS THAT oczur on such THOROपGH FARES.
 2. COMMUNIGES - ESPOCIANLYy in OUR APDA (CEDAR HULS/AMEREANFORL)
2. Are you in favor of the proposed Trail/Bicycle Master Plan? Yes, $\mathrm{No}_{\mathrm{o}} \square$ If no, why?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
3. Are you in favor of the proposed Transit Master Plan? Yes $\square \quad$ No $\square$ If no, why?

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
4. Please list any comments, concerns, and/or suggestions you may have relating to the overall Transportation Master Plan.
$\qquad$ TO MOVING TRAFFIC BUT THE 3 ON 2600 NORTH DINT MAKE ANY SENSE I (sWAM?)
4. In OUR AREA THE MAN TRAFFLC FLON SEENAS TO MOVE TO THE SOUTH 是 TO THO WOST. THE MASTOR PLAN DOES NOT AP APFORR TO TAKE THIS iTO CONSDDOEATION THERE ARB NO DESIHADLON NODES: OUR AREA EXCEPT AF. CANYON,
D THE GRID PLAN FROPOSED in NORTH P. Co. KTARES TO LOOK LIKB THE LAYOUT FOR OREM $\overline{B D}(4 C K)$ AND YOU WMK LOOSE ALL SONE

Please submit this comment form before leaving the meeting tonight, or mail your comments to PLEASANT GROVE CITY, 86 EAST 100 SOUTH, Pleasant Grove, UT 84062. You may also e-mail comments to dlewis@pgcity.org; all comments must be received by the City on or before May 28, 2009. We greatly appreciate your input and participation in this process.
Name: Dennis +Be ty Zupan
Address: 3985 NV .900 C
City: $\qquad$ Pleasant trove State: $\qquad$ Zip: $8406 ?$

Phone Number: $\qquad$ 801796.5361 E-mail: 6dzupan@yahoo.com

1. Are you in favor of the proposed Roadway Master Plan? Yes $\square$ No If no, why?

Thu many vowed bouts. Not cevodmated with the other City
$\qquad$
2. Are you in favor of the proposed Trail/Bicycle Master Plan? Yes No If no, why?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
3. Are you in favor of the proposed Transit Master Plan? Yes No If no, why?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
4. Please list any comments, concerns, and/or suggestions you may have relating to the overall Transportation Master Plan. $\qquad$ 2600 N . - Thee remand abvolts wind be too muck of a change, showing down Tvatic on the best vialA Signal light on 4000 N. F ST.RD. 146 or Canyon Red is not a good chaice-meve it sunth \& the Ceaderltills R). The Round about ot $4000 \mathrm{~N} .+9^{\text {th }} \mathrm{\omega}$ est is an overkill Then is not and can not be enough traffic to Itatif, it

| Last Name | First Name | Street | City | State | zip | Phone | Email | 1. Are you in favor of the proposed Roadway Master Plan? If no, why? | $\left.\right\|_{\text {2. Are you in favor of the proposed }} ^{\text {Trailicicycle Master Plan? If no, why? }}$ | 3. Are you in tavor of the proposed <br> Transit Master Plan? I Ino, why? | 4. Please list any additional comments, <br> concerns, and/or suggestions you may have <br> relating to the overall Transportation Master | Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Larsen | Lutie | 993 Wes | Pleasa | UT | 84062 | 801-785-5430 | Uutielarsen@mac.com | No/Somewhat think it is too much even with the growth we have had, things are much slower. I would like to see good basic road repair throughout. I am afraid the master plan wil lock the citizens into a situation where we are forced to participate. I am even more concerned about getting into a citizen vs city, scenario | Idont think the biki lanes are good in the streets. 1 think the should be located off road. | Yes |  | 1 \& 4 - The TMP is intended to be a dynamic document that will updated on a regular basis (every few years). The TMP is not intended to commit the City or its citizens to building specific improvements; however, it is intended to be used as a tool to assist the City as new development is built throughout the City. 2-There are certain safety concerns with bikes using roads with cars and trucks. However, streets are supposed to accommodate multiple modes of transportation, including bicycles. Properly designed on-street bike facilities, such as bike lanes and stre wide shoulders, are reasonable safe and allow bicyclists the opportunity to get around, which is their right. With proper signage, pavement markings, and other measures, the safety of the bicyclist can be maximized. |
| Potter | Heidi | West 4000 North | Peasant Grove | UT | 34062 | 01-822-6434 | heidigoose@hotmail.com |  |  |  |  | 1- Comment noted. Since the regional transportation master plan by MAG shows a need for an eastwest regional facility in this area, City will continue to work with MAG, UDOT, surrounding cities, and local residents to develop a solutions to the transportation needs in this area. The City has developed four alternatives that are being considered. For the time being, the City has not decided on a specific alternative and will continue to study the issues to appropriately address residents concerns. |
| Gorzalez | Mario | 1119 East 100 North | asant | UT | 84062 | 135-201-782 | ajamari@gmail.com |  |  |  |  | - Comment noted. |
| Levin | Debbie | 866 West 4000 North | ant | UT | 062 | -701-0440 | debilevin@hotmail.com | I think that the intersection at 900 West 4000 North is way to steep to have light. stuck! It is a very dangerous road to get onto. I think this road should be a one way road or dead end. |  |  | I will never agree to ever have our trees removed to widen the road. They are very historical over to widen the road. They are very historical over 150 years old. This would be a disaster to have this happen. Words can't describe what this would do to a lot of people. This is our little lane! Please leave us alone! | $1 \& 4$ - Comments noted. Since the regional transportation master plan by MAG shows a need for an eastwest regional facility in this area, City will continue to work with MAG, UDOT, surrounding itites, and local residents to develop a solutions to the transportation needs in this area. The city has developed four alternatives that are being considered. For the time being, the City has not decided on a specific alternative and will continue to study the issues to appropriately address residents concerns. |
| Ellott | Muriel | est 400 | Pleasant Grove | UT | 84062 | 785.564 | murielkelliotl@vahoo.com |  ( 9600 North or 3800 North PG) |  | I'm not sure - we already have bus stops close. | l've known it was coming for a long time. I think <br> there are ways that would not have such an impact <br> on so many people |  |
| Ruper | Wendy | Valle V View Drive | sant Grove | UT | 3062 | 01-796-7520 | wendy.rupper@gmail.com |  |  | Vishtul thinking tor 20 years in the future |  |  |


| Last Name | First Name | Street | city | State | zip | Phone | Email | 1. Are you in favor of the proposed <br> Roadway Master Plan? If no, why? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2. Are you in favor of the proposed } \\ & \text { Trail/Bicycle Master Plan? If no, why? } \end{aligned}$ | 3. Are you in favor of the proposed Transit Master Plan? If no, why? | 4. Please list any additional comments, concerns, and/or suggestions you may have relating to the overall Transportation Maste | Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Levin | red \& Trud | 3939 West 9600 North | edar Hills | UT | 84062 | 301-785-3356 | trudilevin@hotmail.com |  | There really is not enough access to the trails | It is almost impossible to use UTA because the steps are not convenient and too far apart and the time is not trequent enough to assist us in our travel | If 4000 North should be widened the street should be widened on either side. Again, the road south 4000 North is wide enough to accommodate the proposed | 1 \& 4 - Comments noted. Since the regional transportation master plan by MAG shows a need for an east/west regional facility in this area, City will continue to work with MAG, UDOT, surrounding cities in this area. The City has developed four alternatives that are being considered. For the time being, the City has not decided on a specific alternative and will continue to study the issues to appropriately address residents concerns. 3-It must be agreed that there is much to be desired about the current transit (bus) service in many parts of Utah County, including the Regional Transportation Plan that hopefully will meet the transit needs in both the long and short term. |
| Thompson | Jeff | onv | Pleasant Grove | UT | ${ }^{84062}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Love the idea of improving access to down town and making it more attractive. Roundabouts would be a nice touch. Like bike trails ect. as well. | 4-Comment noted. |
| Zullinger | Denn | 7 West 4000 | Pleasant Grove | UT | 84062 | 001-785-5991 | hull810@alpine.k12.ut.us | Houses on 4000 North are too close to the road if widened to t a 70 toot roado or to6 foot right of way nearly every hose on the road would need to be condenemed it would be better to have the original radd lian where the next road to the south is the 70 foot road. It's already that wide. | The trails look good, but there should be mor access into Mt. Mahogany from more points than just the Forest Service Trail | UTA is a joke. It serves only those along Stale stree.. Pleasant Grove shouldnt even participate unless they really seve our communtit. thice a d day |  | 18 - Comments noted. Since the regional transportation master plan by MAG shows a need for an east/west regional facility in this area, City will continue to work with MAG, UDOT, surrounding sities, and local residents to develop a solutions to the transportation needs in this area. The City has developed four alternatives that are being considered. For the time being, the City has not decided on a specific alternative and will continue to study the issues to appropriately address residents concerns. We agree with you that more access point Sevice lands and Manegny the is are needed to the Forest to your comment, the City has added more planned trailheads to the Draft Transportation Master Plan that would allow greater access to the natural areas east of the City. Starting from the Pleasant Grove/Lindon City boundary and working northward the planned trailheads are: Murdock Drive Trailhead, Murdock Estates Trailhead, Wade Springs Park Trailhead, Wadley Springs Trailhead, Manila Creek Trailhead, and Harvey Boulevard Traihead (Murdock Canal). The existing trailheads are: Kiwanis Park Trailhead, and Grove Creek Trailhead. 3 -As with the response made above to the Levin comment, the transit or bus service in Utan County generally, and Pleasant Grove specifically can and should be significantly improved. Plans call for Rapid Transit, and expansion of local circulator bus service within the nability of Pleasant Grove's residents to get around. |
| Levin | Raph | West 4000 North | Sant Gro | UT | 84062 | 701-0440 | Ralph-6444@hotmail.com |  |  |  | 4000 North needs to be a one way street going down hill only to elevate accidents, and the plan to put in a roundabout at the bottom of that hill would require removal of my 150 year old trees which WILL NOT HAPPEN!!! Over my dead body wil those trees be messed with - once again the solution is to make 4000 North a ONE WAY STREET or possibly a dead end street. | 1 \& 4 - Comments noted. Since the regional transportation master plan by MAG shows a need for an east/west regional facility in this area, City will continue to work with MAG, UDOT, surrounding cities, and local residents to develop a solutions to the transportation needs and local residen City has developed four alternatives that are being considered. For the time being, the City has not decided on a specific alternative and will continue to study the issues to appropriately address residents concerns. |
| Phelon | Kathyn | 1040 East 900 South | asant Grove | UT | 84062 | 01-785-3705 |  |  |  |  | When will you finish 1000 South between Locust and 1150 East? My property borders this road. Will have access to get onto this road from my back yard? and 1150 East? My property to get onto this road from my back yard? |  |
| Wise | Jim | 11 North 600 West | asant Grove | UT | 84062 |  |  | Totally opposed to "roundabouts" anywhere |  |  | 600 West needs to be widened at two properties at 2211 North that stick out 12 into an otherwise straight street. | aranted 4 -As development occurs along this roadway, developers will be responsible to widen this roadway and install curb \& gutter, park strips, and sidewalks. |
| Robinson | Randy \& Jan | 3945 North 900 West | Pleasant Grove | UT | 84062 | 801-785-224 | andyw.robinson@amail.com | too costly for the population to justify the cost - Get the developers figure it out when Wadley property is sold, and developed | Didn't see it - Sounds like a good idea - we have a fair amount of bicyclist people exercising on these back roads | The one in front of Lone Peak High School we are |  | 1 \& 4 - It is unclear to which part of the City you are referring. The cost of the recommended improvements will not be solely covered by the City. Impact Fees will be collected from developers and othe funds are available to the City to construct some of the that these improvements will be spreadout over atleast the next 20 to 30 years as land continues to be developed. 2-We agree with you that the development of bicycle and pedestrian facilities in the City is a good idea. |


| Last Name | Firs Name | Street | ${ }^{\text {city }}$ | State |  | Phone | Email |  | 2. Are you in favor of the proposed Trail/Bicycle Master Plan? If no, why? | 3. Are you in favor of the proposed Transit Master Plan? If no, why? | 4. Please list any additional comments, <br> concerns, and/or suggestions you may have <br> relating to the overall Transportation Master | Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Waker | Kent s Jill | 5 Noth 900 West | sant Give | UT | 3082 | $11^{1-768.7974}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fox | Wade \& Kavee | 205 North 90 West | basan Girve | UT | 3406 | 01-796.3903 | wtox3903@msn.com | We disagree with the roundabouts proposal at 4000 North 900 West. There is not enough growth or traffic flow potential to ever support or justify a roundabout at that location. |  |  |  |  |
| zupan | Demins \& Bety | orth 900 West | Giove | UT |  | 96.5301 | an@evahoocom |  |  |  | The best way across the valley, East-West is 2600 North. Three roundabouts would be too much of change, slowing down traffic on the best road - A signal light on 4000 North and State Road 146 or to the Cedar Hills Road. The roundabouts at 4000 cannot be enough traffic to justify it. |  |

## Appendix E: Resolution \& Staff Report

## RESOLUTION NO. 2009-016

## A RESOLUTION AMENDING THE PLEASANT GROVE CITY TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN AS PROVIDED IN CHAPTER 5 OF THE PLEASANT GROVE CITY GENERAL PLAN, AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the transportation and circulation system of any community can be considered the framework of that community; and

WHEREAS, The City's goal is to have a good transportation system that provides quality circulation, regulates traffic appropriately, and that has vision for future growth; and

WHEREAS, concerns regarding transportation issues have increased as development has increased in the City of Pleasant Grove (the "City"); and

WHEREAS, to address said impacts and concerns, the City retained Horrocks Engineers Inc, to provide expert transportation consulting services and to assist in preparing an update of the Pleasant Grove City Transportation Master Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Mayor established a Transportation Master Plan Advisory Committee (the "Advisory Committee") to study transportation issues and work with Horrocks Engineers, Inc in preparing the Major Street Plan update; and

WHEREAS, Horrocks Engineers Inc, working with the Advisory Committee and City technical staff, prepared amendments to update the City's Transportation Master Plan; and

WHEREAS, on May 13, 2009 a public open house was held to review with the public the proposed amendments to the Transportation Master Plan and to receive input from the public on aspects of the amendments including:600 West alignment, 4000 North options, and 100 East widening.

WHEREAS, on June 23, 2009 the Pleasant Grove Planning Commission held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the proposed amendments of the City's Transportation Master Plan, and after such public hearing and upon considering the recommendation of Horrocks Engineers Inc, the Advisory Committee, and the public, the Planning Commission recommended that the City Council adopt the update of the Transportation Master Plan with amendments; and

WHEREAS, on June 23, 2009 the City Council held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the recommendation of the Planning Commission to update the Transportation Master Plan; and

WHEREAS, after considering the Planning Commission's recommendations, and the facts and comments presented to the City Council, the Council finds that the proposed update of the Pleasant Grove City Transportation Master Plan reasonably furthers the health, safety and
general welfare of the citizens of Pleasant Grove.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of Pleasant Grove City, Utah County, State of Utah, as follows:

SECTION 1. Chapter 5 "Transportation" of the Pleasant Grove City General Plan is hereby amended as shown on Exhibit " $A$ " which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference.

SECTION 2. SEVERABILITY. The sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses, and phrases of this Resolution are severable. If any such section, paragraph, sentence, clause, or phrase shall be declared invalid or unconstitutional by the valid judgment or decree of a Court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any of the remaining sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses, or phrases of this Resolution.

SECTION 3. THIS RESOLUTION APPROVED and ADOPTED by the City Council of Pleasant Grove City, Utah County, Utah, this $23^{\text {rd }}$ day of June, 2009.


Michael W. Daniels, Mayor

ATTEST:
kathy Nofleser Kathy T. Kregser, City Recorder
(SEAL)


# PLANNING COMMISSION \& CITY COUNCIL 

Agenda Item Number: 1

Issue: Public hearing to consider adoption of a Resolution regarding the adoption of the updated Pleasant Grove City Transportation Master Plan,<br>From: Degen Lewis<br>City Engineer<br>Applicant: Pleasant Grove City<br>Zoning: All zones

## BACKGROUND:

Over the last four months Pleasant Grove City has been working on an update to the transportation master plan. The current plan was adopted in 2001 and significant growth has occurred within the City since that time. The update anticipated a refinement of the current plan with no major changes expected. Growth and street expansion since 2001 needed to be accounted for in road maps and the Capital Facilities plan. Staff has also noted a need for formal guidance and standards on access management for roads classified at collector level or higher. There was also a desire to include several road realignments that the City has considered at various times in the past. Need for these changes appears greater now and staff wanted them formally included in the plan so that development can be appropriately directed to accommodate the anticipated changes.

A draft of the plan was presented for review by the public at an Open House on May 13, 2009. The comments were generally positive. The most common concerns verbalized that evening focused on how Canyon Road was accessed from 4000 North. This area is more specifically addressed later. Written comments on the proposed plan update are included in an appendix in the final document along with a summary of these comments and how they were addressed in the plan.

The revised Pleasant Grove City Transportation Master Plan update includes:
(1) Amendment of the text of the Plan in its entirety. Most sections were expanded in scope and detail. The areas of access management and future street layout planning have had significant expansion. A discussion of traffic calming measures has been added. Trail and alternative transportation (non automobile) modes are discussed more fully.
(2) Amendment of the Street Master Plan Map. The Street Master Plan Map has been expanded in the information shown.

Local Street Vicinity Map
City ordinance requires the Planning Commission not only to adopt and maintain a Major Street Plan but also to adopt and maintain a vicinity map for the long range planning of local streets. Potential local streets are now shown on the Roadway Master Plan Map. The map is subdivided into a more detailed view in figures 8-10.

Roadway Realignments Or New Connections
There are several locations throughout the City where roads that need realignment or new connections to provide better long term traffic flow. They include:

- Shift of 1300 West (Proctor Lane) at 700 North in Lindon to reestablish the connectivity of Proctor lane south toward Utah Lake.
- Shift of 600 West and Center Street north of State Street so that 600 West connects directly with State Street and Center Street connects to 600 West to the north.
- Shift of 100 East and Geneva Road so that the two streets meet in a single intersection at State Street. This also includes a disconnection of Main Street from State Street.
- Shift of Murdock Drive east of 1500 East to the south so that it lines up with 1000 South. The existing Murdock Drive would disconnect from 1500 East but still service all homes along the street.
- Shift of 200 South and 220 South to align with each other and cross State Street at a right angle. Currently being accomplished through UDOT's project to widen State Street.
- Shift or 2600 North to the north as it connects to Canyon Road so that the intersection is squared up and widened to improve safety.
- New connection between Garden Drive and State Street at approximately 1000 West.
Intersection Improvements
The map now includes information regarding the type of traffic control ultimately needed at certain locations (primarily the intersections of collectors and arterials). Signals are shown where traffic volumes are anticipated to grow to levels that will meet the standards for traffic signals. Roundabouts (traffic circles) are shown at intersections where expected traffic demand will exceed the ability of a multi-way stop to handle but where a traffic signal would be unwarranted.

There are several routes where the expansion needed has changed from the previous plan and maps. Streets previously identified for expansion in the previous plan which no longer need expansion or the required expansion is less than previously forecast are listed below:

- 2600 North will function adequately as a three lane collector rather than a five lane arterial.
- 1100 North will function adequately as a three lane collector rather than a five lane arterial.
- 220 South from Pleasant Grove Boulevard to State Street and 200 South from State Street and Main Street will function adequately as a three lane collector rather than a five lane arterial.
- Center Street can be reduced from a five lane arterial to a three lane collector (road diet) and still convey the anticipated traffic. This may allow for additional park, trail, open space, or parking options along this portion of Center Street.

One street, 100 East from State Street to 1100 North was previously identified as a three lane collector, but is not expected to function at an acceptable level unless expanded to a five lane width. 100 East north of 1100 North had previously been identified as a five lane arterial.
(3) Amendment and/or inclusion of other Transportation Master Plan Maps, to include: 1) Roadway Functional Classifications Map, 2) Bicycle \& Pedestrian Facilities Map, 3) Future Transit Plans Map, 4) Signal Inventory Map, 5) Transportation Improvement Program Map.
(4) Additional illustrations of potential roadway cross-sections, including a new class of road called residential sub-local which is narrower than a standard residential street. There are specific guidelines as to where these roads would be allowed.

## DISCUSSION:

There is one area where staff desires specific guidance. This is the plan for improvements to 4000 North. This area generated the bulk of the written comment on the draft plan. Due to the feedback a separate discussion of this area and possible alternatives are listed in Chapter Five (see pages 48-50).

From an engineering point of view the option shown in the current transportation master plan is not recommended as it expects motorists to use a longer route with required left and right turns at an additional intersection while a more direct route exists. Experience indicates that motorists will use to most direct route (from a travel time standpoint). The option to widen 4000 North as shown in figure 17 is first recommended option. Widening 4000 North to a collector width will likely move the roadway within the standard setback for some homes and would be a significant change from the historical roadway. However, even installing a standard residential street would be a significant change from the current street.

A second alternative to provide a direct connection to Canyon Road would be to swing 4000 North south to line up with Monson Drive. This option would also remove the turns at the intersections and the realignment would take place on largely undeveloped land. There would be one home on 900 West that would need to be removed to make the new connection to the west. This option would also require Cedar Hills to modify their plans for 9600 North which would include reconstruction and abandonment of already completed collector status road improvements.

A third alternative is to continue with offset route as illustrated in the current plan. It will likely create congestion that would otherwise be avoided in the previous options and it is unusual to offset a collector roadway for such a short distance.

A fourth option of "Do Nothing" is outlined in the document but since option three above has previously been adopted by the City it is not really an option.

## RECOMMENDATION:

Due to tonight's joint meeting, there are two actions needed. The Planning Commission needs to make a recommendation to approve / disapprove the proposed plan along with any recommended changes to the final document. After this the City Council needs approve / disapprove the final document along with any changes required.

Staff recommends approval of the updated Pleasant Grove City Transportation Master Plan, based upon the following findings:

1. The process to update the Transportation Master Plan has been provided good opportunity for input from the public, staff, and the Planning Commission.
2. The updated Transportation Master Plan is consistent with the City's goals as represented in the General Plan.

## CITY COUNCIL -

Based on the recommendations given in the forgoing action of the Planning Commission regarding the revised Transportation Master Plan and based on the above and other findings listed by the Commission, Staff recommends adoption of the resolution adopting the 2009 Pleasant Grove City Transportation Master Plan.

## MODEL MOTION:

## PLANNING COMMISSION -

Sample Motion for Approval - "I move the Commission to forward a positive recommendation to the City Council to approve the proposed 2009 Pleasant Grove City Transportation Master Plan, including the maps and exhibits therein, as attached.

List any additional findings....
Sample Motion for Denial - "I move the Commission to forward a recommendation to the City Council to deny the proposed 2009 Pleasant Grove City Transportation Master Plan, based on the following findings:"

List findings for denial....

## CITY COUNCIL -

Sample Motion for Approval - "I move we adopt the Resolution \# $\qquad$ adopting the adopting the 2009 Pleasant Grove City Transportation Master Plan, including the maps and exhibits therein, as attached.

List any additional findings....
Sample Motion for Denial - "I move we deny Resolution \# $\qquad$ adopting the proposed 2009 Pleasant Grove City Transportation Master Plan, based on the following findings:"

List findings for denial....

## Appendix F: 600 West \& Center Street Study

## (111) Horrocks.
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## Purpose of Report

The purpose of this Concept Study is to identify viable alternatives to meet the demands of current and future traffic at the intersection of 600 West \& Center Street in Pleasant Grove, Utah. The stacking between the State Street \& Center Street intersections has been a point of concern. The two westbound through lanes on Center Street are reported to stack and block the left-turn lane. This can cause drivers intending to turn left onto State Street from Center Street wait an additional signal cycle to make the left turn. Additionally, the eastbound left onto 600 West can queue further than the existing storage resulting in obstruction of eastbound through traffic. The study objectives are to collect traffic data at the study intersections, model existing and future traffic projections, analyze concept designs for the project location, and provide plan view layouts for each solution.

The following intersections are included in the study:

- 600 West \& Center Street
- State Street \& Center Street
- 600 West \& Garden Drive

State Street is a UDOT roadway with planned updates on the horizon. These updates are included in this study. The railroad line running parallel to State Street and Center Street is owned by UTA with operations from Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR).

## STUDY AREA CONDITIONS

The intersection identified for improvement is 600 West \& Center Street located near the center of Pleasant Grove Utah. This is east of the major intersection of State Street \& Center Street (see Figure 1).

Figure 1: Study Location


## ROADWAY DESCRIPTIONS

State Street is a 45 -mph northwest/southeast 6-lane road classified as a primary arterial by UDOT. It is characterized by three southeast bound lanes and two northwest bound lanes separated by a two-way-left-turn lane (TWLTL) within the study area. UDOT's planned updates for State Street, estimated to be complete by 2025, will make it a 7 -lane roadway with three travel lanes in both directions separated by a TWLTL.

Center Street is a $35-\mathrm{mph}$ east/west 5 -lane road classified as an arterial by Pleasant Grove City. It is characterized by two travel lanes in each direction separated by a TWLTL.

600 West is a $25-\mathrm{mph}$ north/south 3 -lane road classified as a collector by Pleasant Grove City. It is characterized by one travel lane in each direction separated by a TWLTL.

## INTERSECTION DESCRIPTIONS

State Street \& Center Street has crosswalks on every approach and the following lane configurations:

- Southeast bound: $1 \mathrm{~L}, 3 \mathrm{~T}, 1 \mathrm{R}$ with sharrow bike lane markings.
- Northwest bound: 1L, 2T, and a shoulder that functions as a dedicated right-turn lane.
- Southwest bound: 1L, 2T, 1R.
- Northeast bound: 1L, 1T, 1TR.

UDOT's planned updates for State Street will impact all of the approaches in the following ways:

- Southeast and Northwest bound: 2L, 3T, 1Bike, 1R.
- Southwest and Northeast bound: 1L, 2T, 1R.

600 West \& Center Street is a T-intersection with stop control on 600 West. The southbound lane geometry has a dedicated left-turn lane and a dedicated right-turn lane. The eastbound lane geometry has one dedicated left-turn lane and two through lanes. The westbound lane geometry has one through lane, one thru-right lane, and a TWLTL.

## RAILROAD CONSIDERATIONS

There is an existing railroad line that makes an east/west crossing of 600 West on the north side of the intersection with Center Street. Shortly after this crossing, it curves south crossing Center Street. UTA currently owns the railroad line with Union Pacific Railroad operating on it. The line has light use with approximately 2 crossings per week. Any modifications to the 600 West \& Center Street intersection would include coordination with UTA, UDOT, and UPRR in consideration of current and future use of this railroad line.

## Study Conditions

Existing conditions for this study were established by collecting traffic volumes at the study intersections. The Mountainland Associated Governments (MAG) travel demand model (TDM) was used to forecast future traffic volumes at the study intersections. This section details the establishment of existing and future scenarios, as well as the updates to be made by UDOT to the State Street \& Center Street intersection.

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

Traffic counts were collected in March 2022. The data for the following intersections can be found in Appendix A:

- State Street \& Center Street
- 600 West \& Center Street
- 600 West \& Garden Drive
- Center Street \& 200 West

These counts were put into Synchro 11 modelling the existing roadways. Adjustments to driver behavior in the SimTraffic tool helped reflect the observed conditions of the intersections. These adjustments were applied to a model reflecting the updates to the State Street \& Center Street intersection. This model establishes the existing conditions for the study.

## FUTURE CONDITIONS

The MAG TDM forecasts regional transportation data. The existing counts combined with the information from the TDM produce the future volumes for the 2030 and 2050 scenarios. The UDOT updates to the State Street \& Center Street intersection were included in all scenarios.

## FUTURE INTERSECTION CONFIGURATION

The State Street \& Center Street intersection is part of the UDOT plans to update sections of State Street. This will result in State Street lane configurations with dual dedicated left-turn lanes, three through lanes, a dedicated bike lane, and a dedicated right-turn lane. The Center Street configurations will have a dedicated left-turn lane, two through lanes, and a dedicated right-turn lane. Turn movement sheets showing these lane configurations, as well as the corresponding existing, 2030, and 2050 volumes, are shown in Figure 2, Figure 3, and Figure 4.

## PLANNED GROWTH

Future growth surrounding 600 West \& Center Street was forecast using the MAG's TDM. This model integrates future population projections and proposed regional transportation projects to estimate future traffic conditions along the Wasatch Front. MAG's TDM is part of a larger model adopted by the Utah Department of Transportation for the entire Wasatch Front.

Table 1 displays the existing and forecast volumes and growth rates for the study roadways. These growth rates determine future volumes at the State Street \& Center Street and 600 West \& Center Street intersections. These volumes can be seen in Figure 2, Figure 3, and Figure 4.

Table 1: MAG TDM Traffic Volumes for the years 2030 and 2050

| Road | Average Daily Weekday Traffic (ADWT) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing Volume | 2030 Volume (\% <br> Difference from <br> Existing) | 2050 Volume (\% <br> Difference from <br> Existing) |
| 600 West |  | 6,400 | 8,050 |
|  |  | 9,530 | $(6.84 \%)$ |
| State Street | 24,550 |  | $(34.39 \%)$ |
|  |  | $(5.04 \%)$ | $(27,180$ |
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## Concept Analysis

To help identify the needs and constraints at the 600 West and Center Street intersection, several abstract concepts were created and discussed with Pleasant Grove City staff. Concepts were narrowed as public use, cost, and general practicality were considered. Ultimately three (3) concepts were selected for further analysis and proof of concept.

Each concept was modeled and analyzed with existing, 2030, and 2050 traffic volumes. Analysis for each concept involved the following factors with their overall contribution to a total scoring:

- Safety - 30\%
- Operations - 40\%
- $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue $-30 \%$
- Level of Service-10\%
- Cost-30\%

It is important to review these factors to provide recommendations that provide the highest benefit for all users at this intersection. The SimTraffic results for each concept showed that every concept performed at LOS A or B during the AM and PM peak hours. Because of this, Level of Service was given a lower weight to the total score to focus on how well each concept solved the storage of vehicle queueing. The sections below outline the analysis of each measure.

## CONCEPT SELECTION

Twelve (12) initial concepts were created and discussed with Pleasant Grove City. The following highlevel drawings can be found in Appendix B:

- Existing T-Intersection modified to be right-in-right-out (RIRO)
- Existing T-Intersection modified to be a $3 / 4$ access (no SB left-turns)
- Existing T-Intersection modified to be a signalized $3 / 4$ access (no SB left-turns)
- Existing T-Intersection modified to be a signalized high-T intersection
- New Roadway Alignment with a signalized high-T intersection
- Realign Center Street to Tinto 600 West as a signalized T-intersection
- Realign Center Street to Tinto 600 West as a signalized T-intersection with a two-lane channelized right towards Center Street
- Realign Center Street, 600 West, and Garden Drive into a full signalized intersection
- Realign Center Street, 600 West, and Garden Drive into a full signalized intersection with a two-lane channelized right towards Center Street
- Realign Center Street, 600 West, and Garden Drive into a four-leg roundabout
- Three-leg roundabout at the existing intersection
- Eliminate access to Center Street by making 600 West a cul-de-sac

The initial concepts were discussed with City staff and City Council to review the high-level impacts for each concept. The following three (3) concepts were selected to be analyzed in greater detail:

- Existing T-Intersection modified to be a signalized high-T intersection
- New Roadway Alignment with a signalized high-T intersection
- Three-leg roundabout at the existing intersection

Figures summarizing this analysis can be found in Appendix C.. The following sections provide a description of the selected concepts.

## CONCEPT 1: HIGH-T SIGNAL

A high-T signal works differently than a traditional signalized T-intersection. A traditional signalized T intersection controls all 6 movements with the signal. A high-T intersection only controls 5 , allowing one through movement to flow freely. At the study intersection this is the eastbound through movement on Center Street. Westbound through traffic would be stopped to all southbound left turns a protected movement to then merge with eastbound through traffic. Coordination with the State Street \& Center Street intersection would ensure sufficient gaps for safe merging. Figure 5 shows the high-level concept drawing of the High-T Signal.

## CONCEPT 2: HIGH-T SIGNAL WITH NEW ALIGNMENT

Shifting the alignment of 600 West to the east provides more space between the State Street \& Center Street and 600 West \& Center Street intersections. More space allows for greater volumes of vehicles to stack into a queue without blocking turn lanes or spilling into adjacent intersections. Figure 6 shows the high-level concept drawing of the High-T Signal with the New Alignment.

## CONCEPT 3: TURBO ROUNDABOUT

The turbo roundabout concept places a roundabout at the intersection of 600 West \& Center Street. A turbo roundabout has design features that are different from a traditional roundabout that potentially increase safety and flow through the roundabout. Figure 7 shows the high-level concept drawing of the Turbo Roundabout.




## EXISTING INTERSECTION OPERATIONS

The AM and PM peak hour traffic counts for the study intersections were collected in March 2022. These counts were put into Synchro 11 modelling the existing roadways. Adjustments in the SimTraffic tool helped reflect the observed conditions of the intersections. These adjustments were applied to a model reflecting the coming updates to the State Street \& Center Street intersection. This model establishes the existing conditions for the study. The traffic movements are shown in Figure 2. Both intersections perform at an acceptable Level of Service (LOS) considering delay times. However, the queue lengths during the AM and PM peak hour traffic between State Street and 600 West are greater than the available left-turn storage lengths as shown in Table 2.

The storage lengths shown in the table are the westbound through (WBT) at State Street \& Center Street, and the eastbound left (EBL) at 600 West \& Center Street. The WBT movement at State Street \& Center Street is stacking past the available WBL storage. This prevents left turning vehicles to enter the storage lane. For this reason, the WBT queue length is being shown in the table and analyzed for improvement. The queue length shown for 600 West \& Center Street is the EBL movement. When queueing exceeds the storage length the EBT movements are blocked by drivers waiting for these leftturn movements.

Table 2: Existing Peak Hour Traffic Analysis

| Intersection <br> Number <br> (Control) | Intersection | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  | Queue Lengths* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average Control Delay (sec/veh) | Level of Service | Average Control Delay (sec/veh) | Level of Service | **Storage <br> Length (ft) | AM Queue Length ( ft ) | PM Queue <br> Length (ft) |
| 1 (Signal) | State Street \& Center Street | 23.9 | C | 35.0 | C | 100 | 208 | 260 |
| 2 (Stop) | 600 West \& Center Street | 17.8 | C | 34.4 | D | 100 | 99 | 237 |

Source: HCM Methodologies using SimTraffic in Synchro 11
Control delay for unsignalized intersections shown for the worst approach only per the HCM.
*Queue lengths shown for WBT for intersection 1 and EBL for intersection 2.
**Storage lengths shown for WBL for intersection 1 and EBL for intersection 2.
The lack of queue storage is consistent with the feedback that Pleasant Grove City has received surrounding the study intersections. The analysis of the proposed concepts includes queuing vs available storage to ensure that this issue is resolved with the implementation of a new design.

## SAFETY

Pedestrian and driver safety was analyzed with each concept intersection configuration. Conflict points for drivers and pedestrians provide a metric to reduce the potential for collisions. The fewer conflict points correlates to a lower number of collisions.

## HIGH-T SIGNAL

A high-T signal would improve pedestrian safety crossing 600 West compared to the existing conditions. There is currently no crosswalk at this location. Pleasant Grove City has identified this as a potential crossing for a future multiuse trail. The protected walking phase at a high-T signal provides increased safety and awareness of pedestrian traffic for a future trail.

A high-T signal doesn't reduce the number of conflict points for drivers, but it creates protected phases where drivers can more safely make these movements.

## HIGH-T SIGNAL WITH NEW ALIGNMENT

For the same reasons described above, adding a crossing for a future use trail would be safer at a high-T signal than the existing stop-controlled intersection. Additionally, by shifting the alignment there is more space available for vehicle storage between the signals. This moves heavy traffic volumes away from State Street decreasing the chance that stacking queues into the intersection. The greater distance between signals also allows for more flexibility with the signal timing.

The shift in alignment brings the two railroad crossings closer together. This is safer for both drivers and pedestrians. The shift brings both crossings to a more central location at the signal, making all users more aware of the train and controlling both crossings with a single signal. The added storage, flexibility in signal timing, and condensing the crossings increases the safety of the High-T Signal for both drivers and pedestrians.

## ROUNDABOUT

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) states in its report ROUNDABOUTS: An Informational Guide that:

For pedestrians, the risk of being involved in a severe collision is lower at roundabouts than at other forms of intersections, due to the slower vehicle speeds. Likewise, the number of conflict points for pedestrians is lower at roundabouts than at other intersections, which can lower the frequency of collisions. The splitter island between entry and exit allows pedestrians to resolve conflicts with entering and exiting vehicles separately. (Robinson, 2000)

Roundabouts have fewer pedestrian-vehicle and vehicle-vehicle conflict points than traditional stop or signal controlled intersections. Figure 8 displays the possible locations at which pedestrians and vehicles are likely to conflict at a single lane roundabout versus a four-way intersection.

Figure 8: Comparison Between All-Way Stop and Single-Lane Roundabout Conflict Points


A roundabout at this location would be 3 legs. The number of conflict points crossing 600 West is the same as with a high-T signal and stop-control. However, the splitter island increases safety as previously described. The roundabout also provides an option for a future pedestrian crossing Center Street where the high-T signals do not.

## BEST OPTION FOR SAFETY: ROUNDABOUT

Scores were given on a scale of 1-10 with 10 being the best and 1 the worst. These scores were weighted and placed in a matrix shown in Table 3. All three concepts increase pedestrian safety when compared to the existing stop-control. The benefits of the splitter islands, as well as the potential for future crossings gives the roundabout the most potential for decreasing pedestrian-vehicle conflicts. This is due to the decrease in conflict points (as shown in Figure 8) and the requirement of vehicles to yield through the roundabout. The new roadway alignment is safer than the existing for drivers and pedestrians because it consolidates the RR crossing to a single location.

Table 3: Safety Scoring

| Option | Safety Score | Total Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% of Total | $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ | Score $\mathbf{x 0 . 3}$ |
| High-T | 7 | 2.1 |
| High-T New | 9 | 2.7 |
| Roundabout | 10 | 3.0 |

## OPERATIONS

Each concept was evaluated looking at the following metrics for operations:

- $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length (it has a $5 \%$ probability of being exceeded) of two movements between the intersections, the EBL at 600 West and the WBT at State Street.
- The delay/vehicle at the intersections. The lower the delay, the better the level of service at the intersection, and the less time vehicles are taking to pause at the intersection before passing through. For signalized intersections, the weighted average of all delays is used to determine the LOS. For unsignalized intersections, the delay of the worst movement is used to determine the LOS.

The SimTraffic results of each option are shown in Table 4. The SimTraffic reports can be found in Appendix D:.

Table 4: SimTraffic Comparisons Between Each Concept in 2022, 2030, and 2050

| Peak <br> Hour | Year | Concept | WBT Queue @State St \& Center St | EBL Queue <br> @600 W \& Center St | State St \& Center St Delay/Vehicle (s) (LOS) | 600 W \& Center St Delay/Vehicle (s) (LOS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | No Build | 208 (>100) | 99 (<100) | 24.3 (C) | 25.1 (D) |
|  |  | High-T EX | 209 (>100) | 134 (>100) | 21.5 (C) | 15.7 (B) |
|  |  | High-T New | 160 (<220)* | 104 | 21.6 (C) | 15.4 (B) |
|  |  | Roundabout | 177 (<250) | 76 (<200) | 25.7 (C) | 5.6 (A) |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oi } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | No Build | 199 (<100) | 76 (<100) | 23.8 (C) | 15.0 (B) |
|  |  | High-T EX | 205 (<100) | 103 (<100) | 21.6 (C) | 5.6 (A) |
|  |  | High-T New | 157 (<220)* | 114 | 21.4 (C) | 15.8 (B) |
|  |  | Roundabout | 177 (<250) | 76 (<200) | 24.7 (C) | 5.6 (A) |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 웅 } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | No Build | 292 (<100) | 111 (>100) | 25.4 (C) | 23.1 (C) |
|  |  | High-T EX | 309 (<100) | 119 (>100) | 24.7 (C) | 7.3 (A) |
|  |  | High-T New | 217 (<220)* | 158 | 25.1 (C) | 17.0 (B) |
|  |  | Roundabout | 266 (<250) | 95 (<200) | 26.1 (C) | 7.1 (A) |
|  | N | No Build | 260 (>100) | 237 (>100) | 35.6 (D) | 22.5 (C) |
|  |  | High-T EX | 127 (>100) | 224 (>100) | 27.1 (C) | 6.7 (A) |
|  |  | High-T New | 113 (<220)* | 192 | 26.2 (C) | 11.2 (B) |
|  |  | Roundabout | 122 (<250) | 131 (<200) | 27.0 (C) | 7.9 (A) |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { Nे } \end{aligned}$ | No Build | 268 (>100) | 268 (>100) | 37.9 (D) | 27.0 (D) |
|  |  | High-T EX | 136 (>100) | 305 (>100) | 27.6 (C) | 8.0 (A) |
|  |  | High-T New | 118 (<220)* | 262 | 26.4 (C) | 10.5 (B) |
|  |  | Roundabout | 113 (<250) | 145 (<200) | 33.0 (C) | 9.3 (A) |
|  | $$ | No Build | 345 (>100) | 276 (>100) | 38.9 (D) | 29.6 (D) |
|  |  | High-T EX | 187 (>100) | 311 (>100) | 27.3 (C) | 8.4 (A) |
|  |  | High-T New | 128 (<220)* | 221 | 25.9 (C) | 11.3 (B) |
|  |  | Roundabout | 143 (<250) | 159 (<200) | 33.3 (C) | 10.1 (B) |

Determined using SimTraffic capabilities via Synchro 11 software
*The storage length for the High-T Signal and New Alignment would be determined further into the design stage. At a high-level there is sufficient storage to accommodate the queuing represented in the modeling.

## 95 ${ }^{\text {TH }}$ PERCENTILE QUEUE LENGTH

The longest $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length (the queue length that has only a $5 \%$ probability of being exceeded) was used to compare the ability of each concept to effectively store vehicles at the study intersections, specifically the westbound through (WBT) movement on Center Street and the eastbound left (EBL) on.

The eastbound left-turn (EBL) at 600 West \& Center Street has 100 ft of storage in the No Build and Hight-T Signal concepts. For the realignment concept, there is approximately 480 ft between State Street and the new 600 West intersection. Further into the design stage, this can be divided between the EBL and WBL demand as needed. Based on demand from the model, we have set the EBL storage at 260 ft . Table 5 shows the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length for each concept relative to these limits.

Table 5: 600 West EBL Queuing vs Available Storage


Determined using SimTraffic capabilities via Synchro 11 software
The westbound left-turn (WBL) at State Street \& Center Street has 100 ft of storage in the No Build and High-T Signal concepts. As mentioned above, there is approximately 480 ft between State Street and the new 600 West intersection that can be divided between the EBL and WBL demand as needed. Based on demand from the model, we have set the WBL storage at 220 ft . Table 6 shows the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length for each concept relative to these limits.

Table 6: State Street WBT Queuing vs Available Storage


Determined using SimTraffic capabilities via Synchro 11 software
The intersections were analyzed considering if they had sufficient storage capacity to contain the EBL movement onto 600 West, and allow access to the WBL storage on Center Street to State Street:

1. High-T: EBL queue exceeds storage blocking through traffic; WBT stacking blocks access to WBL lane. This applies to every scenario analyzed.
2. High-T New: successfully stores EBL; WBT lanes stack just past the WBL storage ( 2 ft ) during the 2030 AM peak hour.
3. Roundabout: EBL queue successfully managed; WBT lanes stack past the WBL storage less than a full car length ( 20 ft ) during the 2050 AM peak hour.

The High-T Signal with a new roadway alignment most successfully contains the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths. The Roundabout fails to provide access to the WBL by 16 ft during the 2050 AM peak. For scoring purposes this concept is considered to successfully maintain access to the WBL lane, but not as well as the High-T with New Alignment concept.

## LEVEL OF SERVICE

Level of Service (LOS) is a term used by the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) to describe the traffic operations of an intersection, based on congestion and delay. It ranges from LOS A (almost no congestion or delay) to LOS F (traffic demand is above capacity and the intersection experiences long queues and delay). LOS C is generally considered acceptable for rural intersections, while LOS D is acceptable for urbanized intersections. LOS E is the threshold when the intersection reaches capacity. For two-way stop-controlled intersections, average intersection-wide delay and LOS are not defined by the HCM. Table 7 summarizes LOS delay criteria for stop-controlled movements at unsignalized and signalized intersections.

Table 7: Level of Service Criteria

| Level of <br> Service | Average Control Delay |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Signalized | Unsignalized |
| A | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10-20$ | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>20-35$ | $>15-25$ |
| D | $>35-55$ | $>25-35$ |
| E | $>55-80$ | $>35-50$ |
| F | $>80$ | $>50$ |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual (HCM)

Table 4 at the beginning of this section shows the delay/vehicle of each concept for each scenario. State Street consistently performs at an acceptable LOS C. 600 West \& Center Street operate at an acceptable LOS with each concept in the following manner:

1. High-T: The weighted average delay of all movements stays between LOS A \& B. This is significantly helped by the nature of a high-T signal that allow no delay for one of the major through movements.
2. High-T New: The average delay of all movements is LOS B. The same benefits described above apply here.
3. Roundabout: The delay of the worst movement stays between LOS A \& B. As an unsignalized intersection, the delay of the worst movement determines the LOS, not the weighted average delay of all movements.

## Signal Timing

The existing signal timing for State Street was applied to the model. However, the splits and cycle length would not be the same with the planned UDOT updates previously described. Network optimization for the splits and cycle length were applied to each scenario while all other times were preserved (red, amber, all clear, etc.). As a result, the best possible LOS was obtained for each layout and volumes to compare the queue lengths and storage capacities in the best-case scenarios. UDOT timing for State Street \& Center Street will likely be coordinated to other State Street signals and a signal at 600 West \& Center Street would be optimized accordingly.

[^25]
## BEST OPTION FOR OPERATIONS: HIGH-T SIGNAL WITH NEW ALIGNMENT

Scores based on the SimTraffic measurements were given on a scale of 1-10 with 10 being the best and 1 the worst. These scores were weighted and placed in a matrix shown in Table 8. The total score displays the value of the concept scoring with the weight applied. The higher the total score, the better the intersection concept operates. All concepts performed at acceptable LOS, so delay was weighted as 10 percent of the total score while storage management was weighted as 30 percent.

Table 8: SimTraffic Operations Scoring

| Option | $95^{\text {th }}$ \%tile <br> Queue Length | Delay/Vehicle | Total Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% of Total | $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{x 0 . 3}+\mathbf{x 0 . 1}$ |
| High-T | $1^{*}$ | 9 | 1.2 |
| High-T New | 10 | 8 | 3.8 |
| Roundabout | 8 | 10 | 3.4 |

*Did NOT successfully store queue lengths between intersections
The concept that will operate the best considering delay per vehicle and critical vehicle storage is the High-T Signal with New Alignment.

## COST

Cost estimates were developed for each design using the detail available from the high-level concept drawings. These estimates can be found in Appendix E.. Moving forward in the design, it is recommended to continue to refine the exact costs for the preferred concept. The following identifies the general costs for each concept.

## HIGH-T SIGNAL

The High-T Signal concept has minimal right-of-way (ROW) cost, with some roadway construction needed, but minimal impact on existing traffic for construction. Installation costs for a signal at a stopcontrolled intersection with a railroad crossing integration falls between $\$ 3,000,000-\$ 4,000,000$.

## HIGH-T SIGNAL WITH NEW ALIGNMENT

When considering moving the road there are ROW costs as well as roadway construction costs to include. ROW costs would fall in the $\$ 2,250,000-\$ 2,700,000$ range. This is estimating full property purchases. In this case Pleasant Grove City would be able to resell the land left over for new development.

Given the modifications to existing medians and infrastructure, and unique nature of the railroad crossing, the roadway construction and signal installation would fall between $\$ 6,250,000-\$ 8,800,000$. Total cost between $\$ 8,500,000-\$ 11,500,000$.

## ROUNDABOUT

Upfront costs for a single lane landscaped roundabout can cost anywhere from \$750,000 to \$1,250,000, depending on size, site conditions, and ROW acquisitions, utility costs, engineering costs, and

[^26]construction costs. In this case, ROW was estimated to be $\$ 2,000,000-\$ 2,500,000$ with construction costs surrounding the railroad between $\$ 7,500,000-\$ 10,000,000$. Total cost would be $\$ 9,500,000$ $\$ 12,500,000$.

## BEST OPTION FOR COST: HIGH-T SIGNAL

Scores were given on a scale of 1-10 with 10 being the best and 1 the worst. These scores were placed in a matrix shown in Table 9. The High-T Signal Concept at the existing location is the least expensive concept. The benefit of using the existing ROW minimizes the need to purchase additional ROW and impact businesses.

Table 9: Cost Scoring

| Option | Cost Score | Total Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% of Total | $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ | Score $\mathbf{x 0 . 3}$ |
| High-T | 8 | 2.4 |
| High-T New | 5 | 1.5 |
| Roundabout | 3 | 0.9 |

## Conclusion

To compare each concept's performance in Safety, Operations, and Cost, as described in the previous sections, scores were given on a scale of 1-10 with 10 being the best and 1 the worst. These scores were placed in a matrix shown in Table 10 and weighted to provide a total score. Based on the analysis for safety, operations and cost, the high-t intersection with a new roadway alignment provides the highest benefit for this intersection.

Table 10: Scoring Matrix

| Option | Operations | Cost | Average |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | LOS |  |
| \% of Total | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| High-T | 7 | $1^{*}$ | 9 | 8 | $\mathbf{5 . 7}$ |
| High-T New | 9 | 10 | 8 | 5 | $\mathbf{8 . 0}$ |
| Roundabout | 10 | 8 | 10 | 3 | $\mathbf{7 . 3}$ |

*Did not successfully store left-turn queue

## NEXT STEPS

This study identifies viable alternatives to meet the demands of current and future traffic at the intersection of 600 West \& Center Street in Pleasant Grove, Utah. The queuing for left turns between the State Street \& Center Street intersections was a focus to mitigate blockage of through traffic. The study objectives of collecting traffic data at the study intersections, modeling existing and future traffic projections, analyze alternative designs for the project location, and providing plan view layouts for each solution were met.

The presence of the railway adds a complex element to all of the proposed concepts. UTA currently owns the railroad line, and the line currently has light use with approximately 2 crossings per week. The future of this railroad line is unknown at this time. Any concept at this location will require coordination with UTA. It is recommended to continually coordinate with UTA regarding the future of the railroad line so the design can reflect the proposed future configuration of the line.

## Appendix A: Count Data

## TRAFFIC COUNT SUMMARY

City: Pleasant Grove
N-S Street: State St
Date: 2/29/2022
Begin Time: 07:00 AM
Begin Time: 07:00 AM
erval Length: 15 min

E-W Street: Center St
(1.) Horrocks.

|  |  | SB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | EB |  |  |  | Total All Moves | Hourly Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Interval |  | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |  |  |
| 07:00 AM | 07:15 AM | 14 | 85 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 97 | 23 | 0 | 9 | 79 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 24 | 11 | 0 | 361 |  |
| 07:15 AM | 07:30 AM | 19 | 114 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 81 | 28 | 0 | 10 | 86 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 41 | 9 | 0 | 412 |  |
| 07:30 AM | 07:45 AM | 39 | 121 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 82 | 40 | 0 | 17 | 109 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 17 | 0 | 480 |  |
| 07:45 AM | 08:00 AM | 30 | 129 | 7 | 1 | 19 | 117 | 43 | 0 | 8 | 135 | 11 | 0 | 9 | 60 | 17 | 0 | 585 | 1838 |
| 08:00 AM | 08:15 AM | 30 | 150 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 107 | 46 | 0 | 15 | 139 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 52 | 16 | 0 | 577 | 2054 |
| 08:15 AM | 08:30 AM | 29 | 134 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 100 | 43 | 0 | 12 | 155 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 43 | 20 | 0 | 570 | 2212 |
| 08:30 AM | 08:45 AM | 27 | 119 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 75 | 37 | 0 | 19 | 119 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 47 | 17 | 0 | 495 | 2227 |
| 08:45 AM | 09:00 AM | 31 | 159 | 7 | 1 | 25 | 100 | 42 | 0 | 14 | 143 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 51 | 25 | 0 | 608 | 2250 |



| ADJUSTED PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| 117 | 562 | 21 | 60 | 382 | 168 | 60 | 556 | 25 | 28 | 193 | 78 |
| 700 |  |  | 610 |  |  | 641 |  |  | 299 |  |  |
| Trucks: |  | 0\% | Trucks: |  | 0\% | Trucks: |  | 0\% | Trucks: |  | 0\% |
| Peak Hour |  | 8:00:00 | AM | 9:00 |  | Peak Vol: |  | 2250 | PHF: |  | 0.93 |


| OPTIONAL |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Adjustment Factor |  |
| Monthly: | 1.00 |
| Daily: | 1.00 |
| Interval: | 1.00 |
| Count: | 1.00 |
| Total: | 1 |

## TRAFFIC COUNT SUMMARY

City: Pleasant Grove
N-S Street: State St
Date: 2/29/2022
Begin Time: 04:00 PM
Interval Length:

E-W Street: Center St
(-) (O)

| Time Interval |  | SB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | EB |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { All Moves } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Hourly Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |  |  |
| 04:00 PM | 04:15 PM | 63 | 166 | 14 | 2 | 19 | 68 | 47 | 0 | 35 | 180 | 13 | 4 | 13 | 84 | 34 | 0 | 736 |  |
| 04:15 PM | 04:30 PM | 57 | 187 | 9 | 0 | 17 | 84 | 32 | 1 | 37 | 180 | 10 | 2 | 18 | 119 | 25 | 0 | 775 |  |
| 04:30 PM | 04:45 PM | 74 | 172 | 9 | 2 | 17 | 77 | 28 | 2 | 45 | 186 | 24 | 1 | 32 | 101 | 33 | 1 | 798 |  |
| 04:45 PM | 05:00 PM | 73 | 215 | 10 | 0 | 22 | 67 | 20 | 0 | 58 | 188 | 15 | 2 | 22 | 91 | 22 | 0 | 803 | 3112 |
| 05:00 PM | 05:15 PM | 59 | 204 | 12 | 0 | 22 | 66 | 32 | 0 | 53 | 181 | 24 | 0 | 20 | 101 | 28 | 0 | 802 | 3178 |
| 05:15 PM | 05:30 PM | 68 | 214 | 18 | 0 | 19 | 62 | 27 | 0 | 44 | 204 | 16 | 0 | 18 | 114 | 40 | 0 | 844 | 3247 |
| 05:30 PM | 05:45 PM | 71 | 201 | 16 | 1 | 23 | 67 | 19 | 1 | 27 | 154 | 21 | 2 | 21 | 114 | 29 | 0 | 763 | 3212 |
| 05:45 PM | 06:00 PM | 64 | 190 | 13 | 0 | 29 | 65 | 36 | 0 | 39 | 179 | 21 | 1 | 16 | 108 | 39 | 1 | 799 | 3208 |



| ADJUSTED PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| 274 | 805 | 49 | 80 | 272 | 107 | 200 | 759 | 79 | 92 | 407 | 123 |
| 1128 |  |  | 459 |  |  | 1038 |  |  | 622 |  |  |
| Trucks: |  | 0\% | Trucks |  | 0\% | Trucks: |  | 0\% | Trucks: |  | 0\% |
| Peak |  | 4:30:00 PM |  | 5:30 PM |  | Peak Vol: |  | 3247 | PHF: |  | 0.96 |


| OPTIONAL |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Adjustment Factor |  |
| Monthly: | 1.00 |
| Daily: | 1.00 |
| Interval: | 1.00 |
| Count: | 1.00 |
| Total: | 1 |

## TRAFFIC COUNT SUMMARY

City: Pleasant Grove
N-S Street: 600 W
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022
Begin Time: 07:00 AM
Interval Length: 15 min

E-W Street: Center St

| Time Interval |  | SB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | EB |  |  |  | Total All Moves | Hourly Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |  |  |
| 07:00 AM | 07:15 AM | 5 | 1 | 58 | 0 | 1 | 72 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 186 |  |
| 07:15 AM | 07:30 AM | 11 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 3 | 70 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 25 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 203 |  |
| 07:30 AM | 07:45 AM | 5 | 1 | 64 | 0 | 2 | 72 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 230 |  |
| 07:45 AM | 08:00 AM | 8 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 3 | 115 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 297 | 916 |
| 08:00 AM | 08:15 AM | 13 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 1 | 101 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 55 | 2 | 0 | 266 | 996 |
| 08:15 AM | 08:30 AM | 9 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 1 | 102 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 260 | 1053 |
| 08:30 AM | 08:45 AM | 7 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 1 | 76 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 40 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 1043 |
| 08:45 AM | 09:00 AM | 8 | 0 | 75 | 1 | 0 | 93 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 53 | 1 | 0 | 265 | 1011 |



| ADJUSTED PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| 35 | 1 | 232 | 7 | 390 | 33 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 97 | 250 | 2 |
| 268 |  |  | 430 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 349 |  |  |
| Trucks: |  | 0\% Trucks: |  | 0\% |  | Trucks: |  | 0\% | Trucks: |  | 0\% 0 |
| Peak |  | 7:30:00 | AM | 8:30 AM |  | Peak Vol: |  | 1053 | PHF: |  |  |


| OPTIONAL |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Adjustment Factor |  |
| Monthly: | 1.00 |
| Daily: | 1.00 |
| Interval: | 1.00 |
| Count: | 1.00 |
| Total: | 1 |

## TRAFFIC COUNT SUMMARY

City: Pleasant Grove
N-S Street: $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ W
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 Begin Time: 04:00 PM
Interval Length: 15 min

E-W Street: Center St
(4) (O)

| Time Interval |  | SB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | EB |  |  |  | TotalAll Moves | Hourly Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |  |  |
| 04:00 PM | 04:15 PM | 13 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 66 | 100 | 0 | 1 | 331 |  |
| 04:15 PM | 04:30 PM | 6 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 348 |  |
| 04:30 PM | 04:45 PM | 5 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 1 | 79 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 84 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 351 |  |
| 04:45 PM | 05:00 PM | 6 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 3 | 75 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 86 | 102 | 1 | 0 | 353 | 1383 |
| 05:00 PM | 05:15 PM | 8 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 1 | 68 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 78 | 108 | 1 | 0 | 329 | 1381 |
| 05:15 PM | 05:30 PM | 6 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 1 | 65 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 88 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 349 | 1382 |
| 05:30 PM | 05:45 PM | 5 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 1 | 69 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 121 | 1 | 0 | 353 | 1384 |
| 05:45 PM | 06:00 PM | 8 | 1 | 55 | 0 | 3 | 63 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 82 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 346 | 1377 |



| ADJUSTED PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| 25 | 0 | 194 | 6 | 277 | 72 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 344 | 452 | 3 |
| 219 |  |  | 355 |  |  | 11 |  |  | 799 |  |  |
| Trucks |  | 0\% | Trucks: |  | 0\% | Trucks: |  | 0\% | Trucks |  | 0\% |
| Peak H |  | 4:45:00 | O0 PM |  | PM | Peak Vol |  | 1384 | PHF: |  | 0.98 |


| OPTIONAL |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Adjustment Factor |  |
| Monthly: | 1.00 |
| Daily: | 1.00 |
| Interval: | 1.00 |
| Count: | 1.00 |
| Total: | 1 |

## TRAFFIC COUNT SUMMARY

City: Pleasant Grove
N-S Street: $\mathbf{6 0 0} \mathbf{W}$
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022
Begin Time: 07:00 AM
$\mathbf{1 5} \mathbf{~ m i n}$

E-W Street: Garden Dr
-

| Time Interval |  | SB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | EB |  |  |  | TotalAll Moves | Hourly Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |  |  |
| 07:00 AM | 07:15 AM | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 |  |
| 07:15 AM | 07:30 AM | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 |  |
| 07:30 AM | 07:45 AM | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 |  |
| 07:45 AM | 08:00 AM | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 371 |
| 08:00 AM | 08:15 AM | 0 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 107 | 395 |
| 08:15 AM | 08:30 AM | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 393 |
| 08:30 AM | 08:45 AM | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 406 |
| 08:45 AM | 09:00 AM | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 411 |



| ADJUSTED PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| 0 | 273 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 132 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 273 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 136 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| Trucks |  | 0\% | Trucks: |  | 0\% | Trucks |  | 0\% | Trucks |  | 0\% |
| Peak H |  | 8:00:00 | AM |  | AM | Peak V |  | 411 | PHF: |  | 0.91 |


| OPTIONAL |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Adjustment Factor |  |
| Monthly: | 1.00 |
| Daily: | 1.00 |
| Interval: | 1.00 |
| Count: | 1.00 |
| Total: | 1 |

## TRAFFIC COUNT SUMMARY

City: Pleasant Grove
N -S Street: $\mathbf{6 0 0} \mathbf{W}$
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022 Begin Time: 04:00 PM
Interval Length: 15 min

E-W Street: Garden Dr
(4) (O)

| Time Interval |  | SB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | EB |  |  |  | Total All Moves | Hourly Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |  |  |
| 04:00 PM | 04:15 PM | 2 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 141 |  |
| 04:15 PM | 04:30 PM | 0 | 62 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 151 |  |
| 04:30 PM | 04:45 PM | 1 | 48 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 83 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 146 |  |
| 04:45 PM | 05:00 PM | 0 | 63 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 161 | 599 |
| 05:00 PM | 05:15 PM | 0 | 54 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 84 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 147 | 605 |
| 05:15 PM | 05:30 PM | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 150 | 604 |
| 05:30 PM | 05:45 PM | 0 | 46 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 159 | 617 |
| 05:45 PM | 06:00 PM | 0 | 58 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 179 | 635 |



| ADJUSTED PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| 0 | 201 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 379 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 15 |
| 209 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 403 |  |  | 21 |  |  |
| Trucks: |  | 1\% | Trucks: |  | 0\% | Trucks: |  | 0\% | Trucks: |  | 0\% |
| Peak |  | 5:00:00 PM |  | 6:00 PM |  | Peak Vol: |  | 635 | PHF: |  | 0.89 |


| OPTIONAL |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Adjustment Factor |  |
| Monthly: | 1.00 |
| Daily: | 1.00 |
| Interval: | 1.00 |
| Count: | 1.00 |
| Total: | 1 |

## TRAFFIC COUNT SUMMARY

City: Pleasant Grove
N-S Street: 200 W
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022
Begin Time: 07:00 AM
erval Length: $\quad \mathbf{1 5} \mathbf{~ m i n}$

E-W Street: Center St.
-

| Time Interval |  | SB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | EB |  |  |  | Total All Moves | Hourly Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |  |  |
| 07:00 AM | 07:15 AM | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 67 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 3 | 0 | 127 |  |
| 07:15 AM | 07:30 AM | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 61 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 46 | 1 | 0 | 127 |  |
| 07:30 AM | 07:45 AM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 60 | 1 | 0 | 154 |  |
| 07:45 AM | 08:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 75 | 1 | 0 | 226 | 634 |
| 08:00 AM | 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 109 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 688 |
| 08:15 AM | 08:30 AM | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 102 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 2 | 0 | 186 | 747 |
| 08:30 AM | 08:45 AM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 74 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 724 |
| 08:45 AM | 09:00 AM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 82 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 157 | 655 |



| ADJUSTED PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |
| Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| 4 | 0 | 7 | 16 | 417 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 261 | 4 |
| 11 |  |  | 437 |  |  | 33 |  |  | 266 |  |  |
| Trucks: |  | 7:30:00 AM |  |  | 0\% | Trucks: |  | 6\% | Trucks: |  | 0\% |
| Peak H |  |  |  | 8:30 AM |  | Peak Vol: |  | 747 | PHF: |  | 0.83 |


| OPTIONAL |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Adjustment Factor |  |
| Monthly: | 1.00 |
| Daily: | 1.00 |
| Interval: | 1.00 |
| Count: | 1.00 |
| Total: | 1 |

## TRAFFIC COUNT SUMMARY

City: Pleasant Grove
N-S Street: 200 W
Date: Tuesday, March 8, 2022
Begin Time: 04:00 PM
Interval Length: 15 min

E-W Street: Center St.
(-) (O)

| Time Interval |  | SB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  |  | EB |  |  |  | TotalAll Moves | Hourly Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |  |  |
| 04:00 PM | 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 204 |  |
| 04:15 PM | 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 78 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 102 | 6 | 0 | 201 |  |
| 04:30 PM | 04:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 84 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 119 | 1 | 0 | 220 |  |
| 04:45 PM | 05:00 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 91 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 97 | 2 | 0 | 197 | 822 |
| 05:00 PM | 05:15 PM | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 75 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 110 | 5 | 0 | 208 | 826 |
| 05:15 PM | 05:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 80 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 126 | 1 | 0 | 218 | 843 |
| 05:30 PM | 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 104 | 1 | 0 | 192 | 815 |
| 05:45 PM | 06:00 PM | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 81 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 119 | 4 | 0 | 226 | 844 |




| OPTIONAL |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Adjustment Factor |  |
| Monthly: | 1.00 |
| Daily: | 1.00 |
| Interval: | 1.00 |
| Count: | 1.00 |
| Total: | 1 |

## Appendix B: 12 Initial Concepts














## Appendix C: 3 Analyzed Concepts










## Appendix D: Synchro 11 SimTraffic Reports

NO BUILD 2022 AM

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBT | SBR | NWL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NWR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 44.1 | 36.3 | 2.6 | 43.0 | 33.8 | 4.8 | 42.7 | 24.7 | 0.8 | 4.0 | 27.9 |

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | NWR2 | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 15.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 13.4 | 24.3 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 9.0 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 24.0 | 0.4 | 5.6 | 5.2 |

## 12: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 0.5 | 2.3 | 1.6 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ |  | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ |  | 2.7 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

## 15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 17.0 | 3.2 | 0.5 |

## 17: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 6.4 | 7.9 | 4.9 | 7.9 | 4.8 | 3.3 | 7.8 |

## 18: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.5 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 3.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 8.6 | 7.2 | 4.0 | 7.6 |

26: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | WBR | SEL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 0.3 |  | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.2 |

## 28: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.3 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 1.0 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.1 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 25.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 36.3 |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| irections Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | $<$ | $<$ | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 61 | 163 | 126 | 39 | 122 | 180 | 234 | 160 | 102 | 98 | 199 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 18 | 84 | 37 | 6 | 44 | 97 | 108 | 41 | 40 | 42 | 110 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 47 | 141 | 103 | 23 | 91 | 155 | 180 | 112 | 85 | 82 | 181 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 454 | 454 |  |  | 173 | 173 |  |  | 160 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 515 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  | 430 | 85 |  |  | 80 | 350 | 350 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 3 | 15 | 22 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 5 | 9 | 40 | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | R | R | R | $>$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 136 | 39 | 23 | 61 | 140 | 143 | 120 | 62 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 61 | 8 | 3 | 17 | 79 | 73 | 35 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 120 | 29 | 13 | 46 | 127 | 124 | 92 | 39 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 515 |  |  |  | 1404 | 1404 | 1404 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 425 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 430 | 430 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 103 | 66 | 100 | 71 | 113 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 41 | 23 | 35 | 24 | 57 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 86 | 56 | 77 | 55 | 92 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 23 | 213 | 213 |  | 364 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 12: Center St

Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 26 | 24 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 14 | 12 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 228 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 50 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 6 | 28 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 9 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 6 | 29 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 442 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 17:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | TR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 42 | 105 | 42 | 110 | 23 | 32 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 56 | 4 | 62 | 4 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 34 | 86 | 23 | 95 | 19 | 34 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 357 |  | 457 | 169 | 132 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  | 60 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 18:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 223 | 155 | 46 | 153 | 173 | 172 | 62 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 127 | 40 | 10 | 60 | 68 | 75 | 29 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 207 | 105 | 34 | 132 | 151 | 149 | 54 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 209 | 209 | 209 | 576 | 576 | 576 | 212 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection: 26 : Center St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Movement | EB | SE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 123 | 35 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 4 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 73 | 14 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 292 | 213 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 28: Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 20 | 8 | 59 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 13 | 8 | 28 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 173 | 173 | 23 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 75

NO BUILD 2022 PM

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBR | NWL | NWR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | NWR2

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 33.7 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 35.6 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 7.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 20.8 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 4.4 |

## 8: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 3.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 8.7 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 5.2 |

12: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 0.9 | 2.2 | 1.5 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 9.3 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.8 |

## 15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 4.1 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 18.2 | 4.6 | 0.9 |

## 17: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 7.3 | 9.9 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 4.9 | 2.8 | 8.6 |

## 21: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | WBR | SEL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.5 |

## 23: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 4.4 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 2.1 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.2 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 45.7 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 45.4 |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| irections Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | $<$ | $<$ | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 180 | 327 | 281 | 68 | 147 | 181 | 216 | 168 | 187 | 163 | 140 |
| L | 163 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Queue (ft) | 61 | 212 | 152 | 12 | 66 | 101 | 109 | 39 | 102 | 76 | 77 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 131 | 305 | 261 | 44 | 125 | 164 | 182 | 115 | 168 | 136 | 123 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 443 | 443 |  |  | 182 | 182 |  |  | 136 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 529 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  | 430 | 85 |  |  | 80 | 500 | 500 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  | 9 | 19 | 27 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  | 13 | 16 | 30 | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | B1 | B1 | B1 | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | T | T | T | L | L | R | R | R | $>$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 152 | 55 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 139 | 187 | 269 | 246 | 226 | 132 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 82 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 92 | 155 | 150 | 120 | 52 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 138 | 38 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 125 | 155 | 221 | 210 | 189 | 110 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 529 |  | 554 | 554 | 554 |  |  | 1406 | 1406 | 1406 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 430 |  |  |  | 430 | 430 |  |  | 425 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 136 | 8 | 33 | 55 | 87 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 92 | 0 | 5 | 17 | 47 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 144 | 5 | 23 | 45 | 75 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 10 | 154 | 154 |  | 366 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 69 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 8:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 213 | 194 | 173 | 41 | 35 | 71 | 65 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 136 | 113 | 57 | 7 | 4 | 26 | 25 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 197 | 184 | 135 | 29 | 21 | 61 | 52 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1294 | 1294 | 1294 | 554 | 554 | 554 | 212 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 12: Center St
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 38 | 33 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 15 | 7 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 41 | 28 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 228 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 50 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 33 | 37 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 27 | 33 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 442 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 17:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | TR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 74 | 166 | 52 | 106 | 26 | 35 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 16 | 80 | 8 | 54 | 5 | 12 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 52 | 134 | 37 | 81 | 22 | 35 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 354 |  | 454 | 136 | 99 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  | 30 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 33 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 21: Center St

| Movement | EB | SE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 168 | 28 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 11 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 109 | 15 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 221 | 154 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 23: Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 139 | 76 | 10 | 81 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 24 | 3 | 0 | 28 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 93 | 41 | 11 | 78 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 182 | 182 | 10 | 10 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 139

## NO BUILD 2030 AM

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBT | SBR | NWL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NWR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.5 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 45.7 | 35.5 | 2.0 | 45.4 | 35.4 | 5.2 | 39.8 | 24.1 | 1.8 | 3.6 | 28.9 |

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | NWR2 | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 14.8 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 9.3 | 23.8 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 5.1 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 14.1 |  | 5.5 | 3.3 |

## 12: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 0.5 | 2.3 | 1.6 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ |  | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ |  | 4.0 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

## 15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) |  | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 13.0 | 2.9 | 0.4 |

## 17: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 6.5 | 7.7 | 5.4 | 8.1 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 7.8 |

## 18: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 2.9 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 8.3 | 7.2 | 3.5 | 7.5 |

26: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | WBR | SEL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 0.3 |  | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.2 |

## 28: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.4 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.9 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.1 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 23.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 34.7 |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | $<$ | $<$ | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 89 | 185 | 136 | 46 | 133 | 200 | 236 | 172 | 79 | 72 | 167 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 26 | 90 | 41 | 6 | 37 | 102 | 113 | 53 | 28 | 29 | 92 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 65 | 153 | 112 | 24 | 83 | 166 | 189 | 140 | 67 | 63 | 150 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 454 | 454 |  |  | 173 | 173 |  |  | 132 |  |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 515 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  | 430 | 85 |  |  | 80 | 350 | 350 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 1 | 17 | 24 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 2 | 9 | 47 | 1 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | R | R | R | $>$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 108 | 29 | 33 | 60 | 138 | 134 | 103 | 36 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 42 | 8 | 3 | 18 | 78 | 72 | 34 | 7 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 92 | 26 | 16 | 46 | 124 | 123 | 86 | 26 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 515 |  |  |  | 1404 | 1404 | 1404 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 425 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 430 | 430 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 92 | 2 | 29 | 77 | 120 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 36 | 0 | 2 | 27 | 59 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 76 | 2 | 15 | 59 | 94 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 23 | 213 | 213 |  | 364 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 12: Center St

Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 28 | 21 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 14 | 9 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 228 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 50 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 3 | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 5 | 28 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 442 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 17:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | TR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 51 | 103 | 36 | 125 | 23 | 35 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 54 | 3 | 65 | 4 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 34 | 80 | 19 | 101 | 19 | 34 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 357 |  | 457 | 169 | 132 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  | 60 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 5 | 0 | 8 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 18:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 200 | 114 | 42 | 157 | 179 | 193 | 59 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 100 | 28 | 9 | 60 | 74 | 80 | 25 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 173 | 82 | 32 | 128 | 151 | 155 | 52 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 209 | 209 | 209 | 576 | 576 | 576 | 212 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection: 26 : Center St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Movement | SE |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 16 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 213 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 28: Center St

| Movement | WB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 21 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 10 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 23 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 73

NO BUILD 2030 PM

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBT | SBR | NWL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NWR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.4 | 8.2 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 46.0 | 56.5 | 7.9 | 58.1 | 53.4 | 7.2 | 46.1 | 22.5 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 72.0 |

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | NWR2 | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.8 | 40.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 30.0 | 37.9 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.4 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 6.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 25.2 | 0.2 | 4.5 | 4.9 |

## 8: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 3.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 3.7 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 9.5 | 1.5 | 4.4 | 5.6 |

12: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 1.0 | 2.2 | 1.5 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 11.2 | 4.1 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.8 |

## 15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 4.6 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 18.4 | 3.3 | 1.0 |

## 17: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 7.5 | 10.7 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 4.9 | 2.8 | 9.1 |

## 21: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBR | SEL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.8 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.6 |

## 23: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 5.0 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 2.4 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.2 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 54.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 48.6 |

## Intersection: 2: Bend

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 153 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 5 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 156 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1406 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | $<$ | $<$ | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 225 | 360 | 326 | 87 | 150 | 183 | 218 | 179 | 226 | 193 | 202 |
| Lverage Queue (ft) | 72 | 242 | 187 | 20 | 72 | 103 | 116 | 39 | 119 | 84 | 86 |
| 103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 157 | 342 | 308 | 63 | 132 | 166 | 185 | 109 | 201 | 161 | 152 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 443 | 443 |  |  | 182 | 182 |  |  | 161 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 529 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  | 430 | 85 |  |  | 80 | 500 | 500 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  | 12 | 19 | 29 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  | 17 | 17 | 36 | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | B1 | B1 | B1 | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | T | T | T | L | L | R | R | $R$ | $>$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 203 | 47 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 164 | 232 | 290 | 286 | 259 | 143 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 100 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 109 | 188 | 182 | 154 | 58 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 164 | 33 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 147 | 182 | 264 | 253 | 227 | 115 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 529 |  | 554 | 554 | 554 |  |  | 1406 | 1406 | 1406 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 430 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 430 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 425 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 141 | 9 | 38 | 64 | 102 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 98 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 53 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 154 | 5 | 22 | 55 | 83 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 10 | 154 | 154 |  | 366 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 19 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 79 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 8:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 236 | 226 | 191 | 39 | 46 | 74 | 72 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 148 | 130 | 74 | 6 | 6 | 28 | 30 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 209 | 206 | 159 | 26 | 28 | 65 | 60 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1294 | 1294 | 1294 | 554 | 554 | 554 | 212 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 12: Center St

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue (ft) |
| Average Queue (ft) |
| 95th Queue (ft) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 35 | 36 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 16 | 9 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 42 | 32 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 228 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 50 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 38 | 39 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 7 | 12 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 29 | 35 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 442 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 17:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | TR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 68 | 218 | 54 | 90 | 26 | 35 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 12 | 92 | 9 | 51 | 7 | 12 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 44 | 166 | 38 | 78 | 25 | 35 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 354 |  | 454 | 136 | 99 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  | 30 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 38 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 8 | 1 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 21: Center St

| Movement | EB | SE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 194 | 32 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 3 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 98 | 17 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 221 | 154 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 23: Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 154 | 48 | 21 | 83 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 36 | 2 | 1 | 34 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 114 | 27 | 15 | 83 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 182 | 182 | 10 | 10 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |

## Network Summary

Network wide Queuing Penalty: 162

## NO BUILD 2050 AM

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBT | SBR | NWL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NWR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.3 | 9.5 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 5.7 | 0.2 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 4.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 49.2 | 58.0 | 6.5 | 57.6 | 52.4 | 6.9 | 47.6 | 21.6 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 69.3 |

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | NWR2 | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.6 | 36.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 28.1 | 38.9 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.6 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 7.2 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 27.6 | 0.3 | 5.1 | 5.3 |

## 8: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 2.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 3.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 9.0 | 1.2 | 4.5 | 5.9 |

12: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 1.2 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 1.6 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 11.2 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.8 |

## 15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 5.1 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 25.3 | 3.8 | 1.2 |

## 17: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 9.5 | 11.5 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 4.7 | 2.8 | 9.7 |

## 21: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | WBR | SEL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.6 |

## 23: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 5.4 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 2.5 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.2 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 50.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 49.5 |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | B5 | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | T | L | T | T | $R$ | $<$ | $<$ | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 254 | 419 | 371 | 75 | 16 | 159 | 221 | 292 | 182 | 241 | 212 | 171 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 69 | 264 | 217 | 16 | 0 | 72 | 131 | 158 | 52 | 123 | 91 | 75 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 162 | 379 | 342 | 52 | 9 | 141 | 201 | 258 | 157 | 209 | 174 | 131 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 443 | 443 |  | 353 |  | 182 | 182 |  |  |  | 529 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 2 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 5 | 15 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  | 430 |  | 85 |  |  | 80 | 500 | 500 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 10 | 30 | 41 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 19 | 25 | 41 | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | B1 | B1 | B1 | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | NW

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 143 | 22 | 39 | 68 | 116 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 101 | 1 | 6 | 25 | 60 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 153 | 11 | 27 | 57 | 96 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 10 | 154 | 154 |  | 366 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 21 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 85 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 8:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 221 | 214 | 173 | 30 | 35 | 66 | 71 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 133 | 114 | 59 | 2 | 3 | 22 | 30 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 195 | 185 | 138 | 16 | 20 | 54 | 59 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1294 | 1294 | 1294 | 554 | 554 | 554 | 212 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 12: Center St
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 48 | 37 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 19 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 45 | 34 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 228 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 50 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 39 | 41 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 8 | 12 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 31 | 36 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 442 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 17:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | TR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 74 | 223 | 54 | 96 | 28 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 19 | 102 | 7 | 51 | 6 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 60 | 182 | 36 | 80 | 24 | 33 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 354 |  | 454 | 136 | 99 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  | 30 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 43 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 9 | 1 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 21: Center St

| Movement | EB | SE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 202 | 37 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 11 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 107 | 21 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 221 | 154 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 23: Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 174 | 108 | 10 | 98 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 38 | 4 | 0 | 36 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 123 | 44 | 11 | 87 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 182 | 182 | 10 | 10 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 201

NO BUILD 2050 PM

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBT | SBR | NWL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NWR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.3 | 9.5 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 5.7 | 0.2 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 4.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 49.2 | 58.0 | 6.5 | 57.6 | 52.4 | 6.9 | 47.6 | 21.6 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 69.3 |

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | NWR2 | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.6 | 36.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 28.1 | 38.9 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.6 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 7.2 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 27.6 | 0.3 | 5.1 | 5.3 |

## 8: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 2.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 3.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 9.0 | 1.2 | 4.5 | 5.9 |

12: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 1.2 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 1.6 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 11.2 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.8 |

## 15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 5.1 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 25.3 | 3.8 | 1.2 |

## 17: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 9.5 | 11.5 | 7.6 | 7.2 | 4.7 | 2.8 | 9.7 |

## 21: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | WBR | SEL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.6 |

## 23: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 5.4 | 2.7 | 0.3 | 2.5 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.2 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 50.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 49.5 |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | B5 | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | T | L | T | T | $R$ | $<$ | $<$ | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 254 | 419 | 371 | 75 | 16 | 159 | 221 | 292 | 182 | 241 | 212 | 171 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 69 | 264 | 217 | 16 | 0 | 72 | 131 | 158 | 52 | 123 | 91 | 75 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 162 | 379 | 342 | 52 | 9 | 141 | 201 | 258 | 157 | 209 | 174 | 131 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 443 | 443 |  | 353 |  | 182 | 182 |  |  |  | 529 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 2 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 5 | 15 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  | 430 |  | 85 |  |  | 80 | 500 | 500 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 10 | 30 | 41 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 19 | 25 | 41 | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | B1 | B1 | B1 | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | NW

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 143 | 22 | 39 | 68 | 116 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 101 | 1 | 6 | 25 | 60 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 153 | 11 | 27 | 57 | 96 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 10 | 154 | 154 |  | 366 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 21 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 85 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 8:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 221 | 214 | 173 | 30 | 35 | 66 | 71 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 133 | 114 | 59 | 2 | 3 | 22 | 30 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 195 | 185 | 138 | 16 | 20 | 54 | 59 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1294 | 1294 | 1294 | 554 | 554 | 554 | 212 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 12: Center St
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 48 | 37 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 19 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 45 | 34 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 228 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 50 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 39 | 41 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 8 | 12 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 31 | 36 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 442 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 17:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | TR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 74 | 223 | 54 | 96 | 28 | 31 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 19 | 102 | 7 | 51 | 6 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 60 | 182 | 36 | 80 | 24 | 33 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 354 |  | 454 | 136 | 99 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  | 30 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 43 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 9 | 1 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 21: Center St

| Movement | EB | SE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 202 | 37 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 11 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 107 | 21 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 221 | 154 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 23: Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 174 | 108 | 10 | 98 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 38 | 4 | 0 | 36 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 123 | 44 | 11 | 87 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 182 | 182 | 10 | 10 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 1 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 201

## HIGH-T SIGNAL 2022 AM

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBT | SBR | NWL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NWR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 45.4 | 37.4 | 2.2 | 45.5 | 22.7 | 4.6 | 49.8 | 20.3 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 27.0 |

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | NWR2 | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 14.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 11.5 | 21.5 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.5 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 4.4 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 17.8 | 25.1 | 19.3 | 24.2 | 0.3 | 6.7 | 18.7 |

## 12: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 0.7 | 2.3 | 1.7 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ |  | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ |  | 3.5 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 |

## 15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) |  | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 16.1 | 3.6 | 0.5 |

## 17: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| Total DelVeh (s) | 7.3 | 8.5 | 6.2 | 8.1 | 4.7 | 3.1 | 8.1 |

## 18: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1.8 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 4.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 9.9 | 10.5 | 2.9 | 9.9 |

26: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | WBR | SEL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 0.4 |  | 0.1 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

## 28: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.5 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.1 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 28.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 40.5 |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | $R$ | $<$ | $<$ | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 71 | 146 | 118 | 36 | 128 | 196 | 231 | 135 | 85 | 102 | 167 | 150 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 18 | 76 | 41 | 6 | 42 | 84 | 102 | 55 | 26 | 50 | 100 | 87 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 48 | 128 | 104 | 22 | 91 | 163 | 190 | 139 | 63 | 88 | 147 | 136 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 454 | 454 |  | 135 | 135 | 135 |  |  |  | 515 | 515 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  | 430 |  |  | 10 | 80 | 350 | 350 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | R | R | R | $>$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 127 | 34 | 29 | 56 | 146 | 148 | 121 | 65 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 55 | 8 | 4 | 17 | 80 | 71 | 32 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 107 | 28 | 16 | 44 | 131 | 129 | 90 | 40 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 515 |  |  |  | 1404 | 1404 | 1404 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 425 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 430 | 430 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 149 | 183 | 208 | 71 | 136 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 61 | 109 | 121 | 22 | 61 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 122 | 165 | 184 | 57 | 104 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 61 | 198 | 198 |  | 363 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 7 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 7 | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  | 270 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 12: Center St

Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 15 | 14 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 228 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 50 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 6 | 34 |
| Average Queee (ft) | 0 | 9 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 4 | 30 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 442 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 17:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | TR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 60 | 118 | 62 | 125 | 23 | 35 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 12 | 58 | 4 | 64 | 6 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 42 | 91 | 27 | 99 | 22 | 35 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 357 |  | 457 | 169 | 132 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  | 60 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 18:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 198 | 99 | 46 | 144 | 177 | 175 | 59 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 110 | 34 | 13 | 71 | 87 | 84 | 25 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 170 | 74 | 40 | 124 | 152 | 140 | 53 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 209 | 209 | 209 | 576 | 576 | 576 | 212 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection: $26:$ Center St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Movement | EB | SE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 87 | 62 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 9 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 64 | 39 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 319 | 198 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 28: Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 22 | 2 | 4 | 29 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 12 | 2 | 4 | 19 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 135 | 135 | 61 | 61 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 1 |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 38

## HIGH-T SIGNAL 2022 PM

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBR | NWL | NWR | NWR2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1.0 | 4.2 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 3.3 | 5.8 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 5.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 40.5 | 34.4 | 3.9 | 39.5 | 30.6 | 6.1 | 40.0 | 25.3 | 5.3 | 32.8 | 23.2 | 20.4 |

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 25.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 27.1 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.8 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 7.7 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 40.7 | 0.3 | 6.0 | 7.0 |

## 12: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 0.9 | 2.2 | 1.5 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 9.4 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 |

## 15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 4.3 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 13.3 | 3.3 | 0.9 |

## 17: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 8.3 | 9.8 | 5.4 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 3.2 | 8.6 |

## 18: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 4.8 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 7.7 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 15.5 | 10.7 | 3.5 | 13.0 |

26: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | WBR | SEL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 0.6 |

## 28: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.7 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.9 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 1.8 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.2 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 43.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 43.4 |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | < | < | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 113 | 205 | 178 | 56 | 125 | 127 | 150 | 125 | 134 | 152 | 195 | 211 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 53 | 133 | 95 | 12 | 57 | 63 | 73 | 31 | 69 | 86 | 128 | 131 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 101 | 194 | 160 | 37 | 110 | 111 | 127 | 82 | 117 | 134 | 177 | 184 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 454 | 454 |  | 136 | 136 | 136 |  |  |  | 515 | 515 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  | 430 |  |  |  | 80 | 350 | 350 |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | R | R | R | $>$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 186 | 67 | 108 | 123 | 181 | 180 | 159 | 110 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 107 | 19 | 36 | 60 | 112 | 111 | 82 | 42 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 165 | 51 | 86 | 108 | 163 | 161 | 142 | 87 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 515 |  |  |  | 1404 | 1404 | 1404 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 425 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 430 | 430 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 176 | 94 | 112 | 69 | 116 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 90 | 24 | 35 | 23 | 55 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 158 | 72 | 85 | 60 | 88 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 60 | 191 | 191 |  | 362 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 13 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 45 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  | 270 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 12: Center St

Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 42 | 33 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 16 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 43 | 30 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 228 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 50 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 35 | 34 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 26 | 33 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 442 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 17:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | TR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 71 | 150 | 58 | 94 | 26 | 37 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 15 | 79 | 8 | 54 | 5 | 9 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 52 | 123 | 38 | 82 | 20 | 32 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 357 |  | 457 | 169 | 132 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  | 60 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 17 | 0 | 4 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 18:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | B1 | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 241 | 206 | 95 | 170 | 194 | 194 | 5 | 62 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 197 | 94 | 37 | 80 | 101 | 106 | 0 | 22 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 257 | 184 | 75 | 140 | 170 | 172 | 5 | 52 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 209 | 209 | 209 | 576 | 576 | 576 | 515 | 212 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 8 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 26: Center St

| Movement | EB | SE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 129 | 54 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 5 | 5 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 78 | 28 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 311 | 191 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 28: Center St

| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 98 | 94 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 18 | 10 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 66 | 52 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 136 | 136 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 61

## HIGH-T SIGNAL 2030 AM

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBT | SBR | NWL | NWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.6 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 41.7 | 33.5 | 2.0 | 42.6 | 32.5 | 5.6 | 38.4 | 17.8 | 1.1 | 2.9 | 24.1 | 15.2 |

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | NWR2 | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 13.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 13.9 | 21.6 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.3 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 6.4 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 39.5 |  | 7.2 | 5.8 |

## 12: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 0.4 | 2.3 | 1.6 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ |  | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ |  | 3.8 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

## 15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) |  | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 16.5 | 4.0 | 0.4 |

## 17: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 6.1 | 7.5 | 3.3 | 7.9 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 7.6 |

## 18: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.4 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 3.8 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 10.2 | 9.9 | 2.7 | 9.8 |

26: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | WBR | SEL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 0.3 |  | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 |

28: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.2 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 1.0 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.1 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 23.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 35.6 |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | $R$ | $<$ | $<$ | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 74 | 161 | 125 | 36 | 95 | 158 | 217 | 136 | 74 | 88 | 129 | 115 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 26 | 80 | 42 | 7 | 35 | 94 | 114 | 64 | 19 | 38 | 71 | 58 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 62 | 138 | 105 | 22 | 74 | 150 | 190 | 144 | 52 | 75 | 112 | 104 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 454 | 454 |  | 136 | 136 | 136 |  |  |  | 515 | 515 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  | 430 |  |  |  | 80 | 350 | 350 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41 | 1 |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | R | R | R | $>$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 92 | 35 | 35 | 52 | 138 | 140 | 116 | 43 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 34 | 8 | 3 | 14 | 78 | 72 | 38 | 9 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 75 | 28 | 18 | 38 | 127 | 124 | 94 | 31 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 515 |  |  |  | 1404 | 1404 | 1404 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 425 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 430 | 430 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 124 | 72 | 85 | 84 | 127 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 40 | 18 | 30 | 30 | 63 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 88 | 53 | 72 | 67 | 104 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 60 | 191 | 191 |  | 362 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 12: Center St

Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 24 | 18 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 16 | 10 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 228 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 50 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 6 | 36 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 4 | 29 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 442 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 17:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | TR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 56 | 94 | 31 | 104 | 26 | 33 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 52 | 3 | 61 | 5 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 35 | 80 | 21 | 90 | 21 | 33 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 357 |  | 457 | 169 | 132 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  | 60 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 4 |  | 7 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 18:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 176 | 75 | 44 | 140 | 169 | 170 | 59 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 90 | 27 | 13 | 77 | 97 | 93 | 21 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 140 | 60 | 38 | 124 | 152 | 149 | 51 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 209 | 209 | 209 | 576 | 576 | 576 | 212 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection: 26 : Center St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Movement | SE |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 8 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 5 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 191 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 28: Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 20 | 12 | 26 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 15 | 9 | 15 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 136 | 136 | 60 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 54

## HIGH-T SIGNAL 2030 PM

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBT | SBR | NWL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | NWR

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blva/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | NWR2 | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.7 | 29.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 23.4 | 27.6 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 8.7 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 40.5 | 0.3 | 6.4 | 7.8 |

## 12: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 1.0 | 2.2 | 1.4 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 11.7 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 |

## 15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 4.5 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 18.9 | 3.0 | 1.0 |

## 17: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 8.3 | 10.4 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 9.0 |

## 18: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 5.7 | 2.4 | 0.1 | 8.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 16.9 | 7.5 | 3.9 | 12.0 |

26: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBR | SEL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 1.1 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.7 |

## 28: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 3.7 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 2.4 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.3 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.3 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 49.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 44.0 |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | $<$ | $<$ | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 149 | 255 | 221 | 72 | 130 | 133 | 168 | 128 | 156 | 167 | 170 | 193 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 72 | 153 | 114 | 20 | 58 | 65 | 75 | 37 | 71 | 90 | 116 | 125 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 130 | 222 | 187 | 54 | 106 | 114 | 133 | 95 | 128 | 143 | 162 | 175 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 454 | 454 |  | 136 | 136 | 136 |  |  |  | 515 | 515 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  | 430 |  |  | 9 | 80 | 350 | 350 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | R | R | R | $>$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 177 | 61 | 115 | 132 | 212 | 209 | 191 | 124 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 108 | 17 | 42 | 64 | 142 | 138 | 114 | 53 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 163 | 45 | 92 | 109 | 197 | 196 | 176 | 104 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 515 |  |  |  | 1404 | 1404 | 1404 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 425 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 430 | 430 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 188 | 106 | 106 | 74 | 117 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 116 | 29 | 35 | 24 | 60 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 193 | 78 | 82 | 59 | 96 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 60 | 191 | 191 |  | 362 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 18 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 73 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 12: Center St

Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 48 | 40 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 19 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 45 | 35 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 228 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 50 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 38 | 37 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 32 | 35 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 442 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 17:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | TR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 74 | 180 | 74 | 88 | 28 | 37 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 15 | 89 | 8 | 52 | 7 | 12 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 52 | 145 | 44 | 79 | 25 | 37 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 357 |  | 457 | 169 | 132 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  | 60 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 21 | 0 | 3 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 18:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 238 | 212 | 106 | 129 | 157 | 172 | 75 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 209 | 114 | 42 | 61 | 77 | 88 | 27 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 257 | 201 | 81 | 111 | 134 | 146 | 58 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 209 | 209 | 209 | 576 | 576 | 576 | 212 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 14 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection: 26 : Center St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Movement | EB | SE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 130 | 47 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 | 3 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 90 | 22 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 311 | 191 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 28: Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 156 | 152 | 3 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 39 | 28 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 112 | 99 | 3 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 136 | 136 | 60 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 | 1 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 94

## HIGH-T SIGNAL 2050 AM

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBR | NWL | NWR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | NWR2 2

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 17.7 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 24.7 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 2.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 9.0 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 37.0 | 0.3 | 9.0 | 7.4 |

## 12: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 0.5 | 2.4 | 1.7 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 8.0 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 |

## 15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 6.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 20.4 | 4.8 | 0.5 |

## 17: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 6.4 | 7.4 | 4.2 | 8.9 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 8.2 |

## 18: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 4.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 9.5 | 13.1 | 2.6 | 11.7 |

26: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | WBR | SEL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 0.4 |  | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 |

## 28: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.1 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.3 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.2 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 30.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 40.6 |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| irections Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | $<$ | $<$ | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 76 | 160 | 126 | 29 | 76 | 212 | 257 | 136 | 85 | 95 | 135 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 25 | 84 | 46 | 4 | 24 | 124 | 164 | 85 | 22 | 42 | 77 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 60 | 143 | 109 | 16 | 58 | 184 | 262 | 171 | 58 | 82 | 120 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 454 | 454 |  | 136 | 136 | 136 |  |  | 105 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 5 | 12 | 1 |  |  | 515 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 14 | 31 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  | 430 |  |  |  | 80 | 350 | 350 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66 | 3 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | R | R | R | $>$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 87 | 48 | 69 | 86 | 177 | 172 | 147 | 70 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 28 | 14 | 16 | 35 | 108 | 104 | 70 | 15 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 68 | 38 | 49 | 71 | 160 | 159 | 134 | 49 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 515 |  |  |  | 1404 | 1404 | 1404 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 425 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 430 | 430 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 132 | 103 | 112 | 84 | 157 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 58 | 39 | 45 | 32 | 75 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 110 | 89 | 93 | 69 | 124 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 60 | 191 | 191 |  | 362 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 12: Center St

Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 39 | 21 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 32 | 14 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 228 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 50 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 30 | 5 | 40 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 0 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 18 | 5 | 33 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 574 | 442 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 17:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | TR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 43 | 104 | 45 | 144 | 23 | 32 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 8 | 56 | 5 | 71 | 4 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 32 | 87 | 26 | 111 | 17 | 35 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 357 |  | 457 | 169 | 132 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  | 60 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 13 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 18:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 131 | 52 | 48 | 197 | 219 | 230 | 49 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 72 | 16 | 13 | 108 | 136 | 137 | 20 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 117 | 46 | 40 | 174 | 212 | 217 | 47 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 209 | 209 | 209 | 576 | 576 | 576 | 212 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 26: Center St

| Movement | SE |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 5 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 4 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 191 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 28: Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 27 | 17 | 82 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 9 | 9 | 47 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 136 | 136 | 60 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 4 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 129

## HIGH-T SIGNAL 2050 PM

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBT | SBR | NWL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | NWR

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | NWR2 | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.5 | 25.7 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 23.2 | 27.3 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 2.6 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 8.7 | 6.6 | 4.1 | 36.2 | 0.5 | 7.3 | 8.6 |

## 12: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 1.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 1.5 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 11.2 | 4.6 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.8 |

## 15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 4.3 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 10.0 | 2.8 | 1.0 |

## 17: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 9.1 | 11.3 | 8.5 | 7.1 | 4.7 | 2.9 | 9.7 |

## 18: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 4.8 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 5.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 15.8 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 11.3 |

26: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | WBR | SEL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.8 |

28: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 4.0 | 3.1 | 0.7 | 2.5 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.3 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.3 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 43.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 43.1 |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | < | < | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 128 | 260 | 222 | 76 | 126 | 146 | 213 | 136 | 152 | 173 | 162 | 180 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 59 | 163 | 127 | 18 | 56 | 86 | 106 | 43 | 77 | 95 | 106 | 112 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 111 | 235 | 205 | 53 | 104 | 138 | 175 | 122 | 132 | 150 | 152 | 161 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 454 | 454 |  | 136 | 136 | 136 |  |  |  | 515 | 515 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  | 430 |  |  |  | 80 | 350 | 350 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | R | R | R | $>$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 166 | 76 | 106 | 119 | 156 | 150 | 141 | 110 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 95 | 22 | 35 | 58 | 91 | 87 | 55 | 41 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 150 | 52 | 85 | 105 | 140 | 138 | 117 | 86 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 515 |  |  |  | 1404 | 1404 | 1404 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 425 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 430 | 430 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 186 | 95 | 112 | 86 | 162 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 110 | 32 | 39 | 33 | 74 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 185 | 80 | 87 | 71 | 122 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 60 | 191 | 191 |  | 362 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 65 |  |  | 270 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 |  |

## Intersection: 12: Center St

Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 44 | 39 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 19 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 45 | 35 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 228 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 50 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 41 | 32 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 7 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 30 | 33 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 442 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 17:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | TR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 74 | 198 | 71 | 97 | 23 | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 16 | 101 | 6 | 53 | 6 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 57 | 166 | 37 | 81 | 23 | 35 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 357 |  | 457 | 169 | 132 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  | 60 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 27 | 0 | 4 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 18:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 244 | 202 | 89 | 95 | 120 | 122 | 67 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 198 | 91 | 35 | 43 | 47 | 53 | 28 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 258 | 180 | 70 | 78 | 94 | 97 | 58 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 209 | 209 | 209 | 576 | 576 | 576 | 212 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection: 26 : Center St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Movement | EB | SE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 252 | 58 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 15 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 144 | 27 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 311 | 191 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 28: Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 179 | 151 | 17 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 41 | 29 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 126 | 107 | 12 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 136 | 136 | 60 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 100

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBT | SBR | NWL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | NWR

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | NWR2 | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 14.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 12.6 | 21.6 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.4 | 2.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 14.6 | 25.0 | 19.0 | 25.0 | 0.4 | 6.8 | 18.1 |

## 12: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 0.6 | 2.3 | 1.6 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ |  | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ |  | 3.0 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

## 15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 2.7 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 15.9 | 3.4 | 0.5 |

## 17: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 6.5 | 8.4 | 4.2 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 2.9 | 8.0 |

## 18: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1.8 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 4.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 10.0 | 10.2 | 3.0 | 9.8 |

26: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | WBR | SEL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 0.3 |  | 0.3 | 2.6 | 0.4 |

28: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.4 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.1 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 27.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 39.9 |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | $R$ | $<$ | $<$ | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 59 | 141 | 123 | 49 | 96 | 180 | 194 | 108 | 86 | 96 | 158 | 150 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 20 | 83 | 46 | 7 | 35 | 76 | 86 | 18 | 22 | 43 | 100 | 87 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 49 | 133 | 109 | 27 | 78 | 150 | 160 | 67 | 57 | 82 | 150 | 136 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 454 | 454 |  | 218 | 218 | 218 |  |  |  | 515 | 515 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 430 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  | 430 |  |  | 7 | 80 | 350 | 350 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | R | R | R | $>$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 125 | 37 | 14 | 45 | 133 | 130 | 106 | 50 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 60 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 71 | 67 | 31 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 110 | 29 | 7 | 33 | 120 | 120 | 87 | 33 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 515 |  |  |  | 1391 | 1391 | 1391 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 425 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 430 | 430 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 127 | 161 | 240 | 56 | 144 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 50 | 92 | 127 | 20 | 62 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 104 | 145 | 207 | 49 | 111 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 90 | 79 | 79 |  | 470 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 2 | 14 | 24 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 | 30 | 53 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  | 270 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 12: Center St

Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 28 | 22 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 14 | 12 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 295 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 50 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 12 | 37 |
| Average Queee (ft) | 0 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 6 | 32 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 442 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 17:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | TR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 53 | 126 | 41 | 111 | 23 | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 11 | 61 | 3 | 63 | 4 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 38 | 97 | 22 | 93 | 18 | 33 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 357 |  | 457 | 169 | 132 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  | 60 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 18:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 199 | 79 | 54 | 155 | 178 | 177 | 64 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 112 | 34 | 13 | 68 | 83 | 83 | 25 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 174 | 68 | 40 | 122 | 148 | 142 | 53 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 209 | 209 | 209 | 576 | 576 | 576 | 212 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 26: Center St

| Movement | WB | SE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 6 | 54 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 4 | 31 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 313 | 79 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 28: Center St

```
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue ( ft )
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
```

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 99

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBR | NWL | NWR | NWR2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1.2 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 5.9 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 5.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 42.3 | 33.8 | 4.2 | 34.4 | 21.1 | 4.6 | 42.0 | 25.6 | 5.7 | 32.7 | 22.9 | 20.2 |

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 24.7 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 26.2 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.8 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.6 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 8.5 | 24.4 | 15.7 | 25.7 | 0.2 | 5.4 | 13.8 |

## 12: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 0.8 | 2.2 | 1.4 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 9.3 | 3.5 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.8 |

## 15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 4.4 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 18.6 | 3.7 | 0.7 |

## 17: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 7.5 | 9.5 | 5.2 | 7.4 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 8.4 |

## 18: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 4.8 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 7.7 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 15.7 | 10.6 | 3.3 | 13.0 |

26: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | WBR | SEL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 0.5 |  | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.5 |

28: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.9 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.2 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 44.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 44.3 |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | < | < | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 138 | 212 | 180 | 66 | 122 | 125 | 127 | 67 | 136 | 158 | 190 | 194 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 64 | 133 | 97 | 16 | 43 | 53 | 63 | 13 | 62 | 81 | 126 | 129 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 118 | 192 | 164 | 46 | 92 | 106 | 113 | 41 | 116 | 132 | 172 | 179 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 454 | 454 |  | 218 | 218 | 218 |  |  |  | 515 | 515 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  | 430 |  |  |  | 80 | 350 | 350 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | R | R | R | $>$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 184 | 59 | 91 | 108 | 181 | 180 | 157 | 114 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 108 | 20 | 28 | 53 | 110 | 107 | 77 | 40 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 166 | 48 | 73 | 96 | 159 | 162 | 140 | 90 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 515 |  |  |  | 1391 | 1391 | 1391 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 425 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 430 | 430 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 199 | 151 | 190 | 63 | 104 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 88 | 76 | 98 | 18 | 52 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 159 | 124 | 166 | 48 | 85 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 90 | 79 | 79 |  | 470 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 7 | 8 | 16 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 23 | 13 | 28 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  | 270 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 12: Center St

Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 40 | 29 | 2 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 17 | 6 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 43 | 27 | 2 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 295 |  | 389 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 50 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 33 | 33 |
| Average Queee (ft) | 6 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 27 | 28 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 442 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 17:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | TR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 69 | 161 | 69 | 100 | 23 | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 14 | 77 | 8 | 55 | 4 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 47 | 129 | 37 | 82 | 17 | 33 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 357 |  | 457 | 169 | 132 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  | 60 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 15 | 0 | 5 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 18:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | B1 | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 244 | 212 | 93 | 154 | 189 | 191 | 2 | 58 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 197 | 100 | 36 | 78 | 99 | 104 | 0 | 21 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 257 | 192 | 75 | 130 | 164 | 166 | 2 | 50 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 209 | 209 | 209 | 576 | 576 | 576 | 515 | 212 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 9 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 26: Center St

| Movement | EB | WB | SE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 75 | 3 | 43 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 40 | 3 | 24 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 231 | 313 | 79 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 28: Center St

| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 66 | 46 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 5 | 2 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 33 | 21 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 218 | 218 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 72

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBT | SBR | NWL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | NWR

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | NWR2 | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 13.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 13.6 | 21.4 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.5 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 16.9 | 25.3 | 16.4 | 21.4 |  | 6.8 | 18.3 |

## 12: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 0.6 | 2.3 | 1.7 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 4.3 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 |

## 15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 8.7 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 13.5 | 3.5 | 0.4 |

## 17: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 7.1 | 8.4 | 4.7 | 8.0 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 8.0 |

## 18: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1.3 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 3.7 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 9.5 | 10.1 | 2.7 | 9.6 |

26: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | WBR | SEL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 0.3 |  | 0.3 | 3.7 | 0.5 |

28: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.4 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.1 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 26.6 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 39.8 |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | $R$ | $<$ | $<$ | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 82 | 156 | 127 | 37 | 88 | 169 | 180 | 130 | 64 | 84 | 142 | 132 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 26 | 87 | 49 | 7 | 28 | 82 | 90 | 24 | 16 | 35 | 86 | 69 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 64 | 141 | 115 | 24 | 67 | 151 | 157 | 78 | 45 | 72 | 132 | 119 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 454 | 454 |  | 218 | 218 | 218 |  |  |  | 515 | 515 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 430 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  | 43 |  |  | 8 | 80 | 350 | 350 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | R | R | R | $>$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 102 | 29 | 27 | 51 | 134 | 131 | 111 | 42 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 39 | 9 | 1 | 12 | 73 | 73 | 35 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 87 | 29 | 12 | 37 | 123 | 125 | 92 | 28 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 515 |  |  |  | 1391 | 1391 | 1391 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 425 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 430 | 430 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 144 | 168 | 226 | 67 | 138 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 57 | 96 | 129 | 21 | 62 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 114 | 148 | 204 | 54 | 111 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 90 | 79 | 79 |  | 470 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 3 | 15 | 24 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 3 | 34 | 53 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  | 270 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 12: Center St

Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 30 | 18 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 16 | 10 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 295 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 50 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 12 | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 8 | 28 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 442 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 17:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | TR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 71 | 127 | 41 | 124 | 23 | 34 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 13 | 60 | 4 | 63 | 5 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 46 | 98 | 23 | 96 | 20 | 35 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 357 |  | 457 | 169 | 132 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  | 60 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 6 | 0 | 8 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 18:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 158 | 70 | 42 | 148 | 173 | 164 | 53 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 92 | 26 | 10 | 73 | 86 | 84 | 20 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 144 | 59 | 35 | 126 | 147 | 138 | 48 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 209 | 209 | 209 | 576 | 576 | 576 | 212 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 26: Center St

| Movement | WB | SE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 2 | 72 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 12 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 2 | 45 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 313 | 79 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 28: Center St


Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 108

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBT | SBR | NWL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | NWR

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | NWR2 | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.4 | 28.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 16.4 | 26.4 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.3 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.6 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 10.6 | 21.0 | 11.8 | 19.6 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 12.5 |

## 12: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 0.9 | 2.2 | 1.4 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 22.6 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.0 |

## 15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.3 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 15.8 | 3.5 | 0.8 |

## 17: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 7.9 | 10.4 | 6.2 | 7.3 | 4.7 | 2.4 | 8.9 |

## 18: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 5.4 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 8.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 16.4 | 7.6 | 4.3 | 11.8 |

26: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBR | SEL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.6 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 0.5 |

## 28: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.8 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 2.1 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.3 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.3 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 49.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 44.0 |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | < | < | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 148 | 311 | 217 | 90 | 95 | 160 | 113 | 69 | 101 | 168 | 192 | 221 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 62 | 156 | 117 | 22 | 45 | 54 | 52 | 20 | 62 | 82 | 121 | 130 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 122 | 233 | 181 | 59 | 79 | 118 | 102 | 54 | 112 | 129 | 174 | 195 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 454 | 454 |  | 218 | 218 | 218 |  |  |  | 515 | 515 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  | 430 |  |  |  | 80 | 350 | 350 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | R | R | R | $>$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 187 | 54 | 101 | 117 | 218 | 216 | 197 | 111 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 116 | 19 | 28 | 57 | 145 | 140 | 123 | 50 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 176 | 44 | 67 | 93 | 203 | 192 | 178 | 95 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 515 |  |  |  | 1391 | 1391 | 1391 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 425 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 430 | 430 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 233 | 97 | 223 | 71 | 158 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 122 | 65 | 80 | 19 | 56 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 200 | 104 | 156 | 51 | 106 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 90 | 79 | 79 |  | 470 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 13 | 5 | 8 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 55 | 8 | 13 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  | 270 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 12: Center St

Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 | 67 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 15 | 13 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 40 | 44 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 295 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 50 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 1 |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 | 28 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 5 | 13 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 24 | 35 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 442 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 17:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | TR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 74 | 178 | 79 | 100 | 23 | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 17 | 91 | 11 | 53 | 5 | 16 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 51 | 142 | 51 | 80 | 20 | 40 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 357 |  | 457 | 169 | 132 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 60 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 | 21 | 0 | 4 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 18:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 243 | 224 | 98 | 125 | 161 | 178 | 94 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 207 | 112 | 47 | 54 | 81 | 96 | 32 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 261 | 193 | 84 | 103 | 143 | 159 | 67 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 209 | 209 | 209 | 576 | 576 | 576 | 212 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 12 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection: 26 : Center St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Movement | SE |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 56 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 28 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 79 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 28: Center St

| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 103 | 97 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 15 | 16 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 62 | 64 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 218 | 218 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 86

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blva/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBR | NWL | NWR | NWR2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 5.5 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 4.6 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 41.0 | 28.9 | 1.7 | 53.0 | 34.1 | 7.1 | 40.5 | 25.0 | 5.4 | 27.5 | 20.0 | 16.6 |

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 18.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 25.1 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.9 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 5.5 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 18.5 | 25.3 | 18.1 | 23.4 | 0.2 | 7.9 | 18.8 |

## 12: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 0.6 | 2.4 | 1.7 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 8.8 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.5 |

## 15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 5.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 3.6 | 0.5 |

## 17: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 6.6 | 7.9 | 4.0 | 9.1 | 4.2 | 3.2 | 8.5 |

## 18: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 4.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 9.6 | 13.1 | 2.6 | 11.8 |

26: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | WBR | SEL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.3 |  | 0.4 | 1.5 | 0.4 |

28: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.5 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.2 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 34.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 45.6 |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| irections Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | $<$ | $<$ | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 83 | 164 | 131 | 29 | 66 | 208 | 265 | 201 | 82 | 92 | 123 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{ft})$ | 26 | 89 | 48 | 5 | 19 | 99 | 120 | 34 | 20 | 39 | 70 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 63 | 144 | 115 | 18 | 50 | 178 | 212 | 112 | 57 | 79 | 111 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 454 | 454 |  | 218 | 218 | 218 |  |  | 101 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 515 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  | 430 |  |  |  | 80 | 350 | 350 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | 1 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | R | R | R | $>$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 77 | 52 | 59 | 78 | 172 | 186 | 151 | 68 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 25 | 15 | 8 | 26 | 107 | 106 | 74 | 15 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 61 | 41 | 33 | 61 | 161 | 165 | 136 | 45 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 515 |  |  |  | 1391 | 1391 | 1391 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 425 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 430 | 430 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 189 | 169 | 236 | 71 | 172 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 86 | 104 | 142 | 24 | 76 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 151 | 154 | 215 | 60 | 136 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 90 | 79 | 79 |  | 470 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 7 | 20 | 30 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 11 | 56 | 82 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  | 270 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 12: Center St

Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 35 | 21 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 32 | 13 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 295 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 50 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 31 | 38 |
| Average Queee (ft) | 3 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 19 | 34 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 442 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 17:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | TR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 40 | 113 | 35 | 164 | 23 | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 55 | 4 | 75 | 4 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 32 | 87 | 20 | 122 | 19 | 34 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 357 |  | 457 | 169 | 132 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  | 60 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 5 | 0 | 14 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 18:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 147 | 57 | 54 | 199 | 224 | 228 | 57 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 75 | 17 | 13 | 114 | 140 | 140 | 20 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 121 | 47 | 41 | 180 | 216 | 222 | 48 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 209 | 209 | 209 | 576 | 576 | 576 | 212 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 26: Center St

| Movement | WB | SE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 7 | 53 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 4 | 31 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 313 | 79 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 28: Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 10 | 3 | 7 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 7 | 4 | 5 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 218 | 218 | 90 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 203

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBT | SBR | NWL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | NWR

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | NWR2 | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.4 | 24.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 20.3 | 25.9 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.1 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 4.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 9.3 | 26.6 | 14.7 | 26.1 | 0.2 | 6.1 | 13.6 |

## 12: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 1.4 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 12.9 | 4.7 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.9 |

## 15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 4.3 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 16.0 | 3.0 | 0.8 |

## 17: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBT | NBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 8.8 | 10.9 | 5.2 | 7.2 | 4.7 | 2.8 | 9.4 |

## 18: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 4.8 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 6.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 15.7 | 5.5 | 3.9 | 11.3 |

26: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | WBR | SEL | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 0.6 |

28: Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.8 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 2.1 |

Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.3 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.3 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 43.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 43.0 |

## Intersection: 2: Bend

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 3 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 3 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1391 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) <br> Queuing Penalty (veh) <br> Storage Bay Dist (ft) <br> Storage Blk Time (\%) <br> Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | $R$ | $<$ | $<$ | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 132 | 264 | 226 | 64 | 122 | 146 | 148 | 47 | 146 | 155 | 162 | 173 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 56 | 164 | 125 | 16 | 47 | 76 | 84 | 10 | 69 | 86 | 105 | 108 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 107 | 239 | 203 | 46 | 97 | 128 | 141 | 33 | 123 | 132 | 144 | 157 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 454 | 454 |  | 218 | 218 | 218 |  |  |  | 515 | 515 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 430 |  |  |  | 80 | 350 | 350 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | $R$ | $R$ | $R$ | $>$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 166 | 65 | 88 | 102 | 141 | 135 | 125 | 91 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 93 | 22 | 26 | 49 | 88 | 83 | 50 | 35 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 151 | 52 | 69 | 91 | 132 | 128 | 108 | 74 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 515 |  |  |  | 1391 | 1391 | 1391 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 430 | 430 | 430 |  |  | 425 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 211 | 149 | 181 | 77 | 134 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 101 | 78 | 91 | 27 | 67 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 175 | 126 | 153 | 65 | 109 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 90 | 79 | 79 |  | 470 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 9 | 9 | 13 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 37 | 16 | 22 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  | 270 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 12: Center St
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue (ft)
Average Queue (ft)
95th Queue (ft)
Link Distance (ft)
Upstream Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist (ft)
Storage Blk Time (\%)
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 51 | 41 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 18 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 45 | 36 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 295 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 50 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 1 |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 35 | 36 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 7 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 29 | 33 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 442 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 17:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | R | TR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 70 | 200 | 70 | 93 | 26 | 32 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 16 | 94 | 6 | 53 | 6 | 12 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 57 | 159 | 35 | 79 | 23 | 36 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 357 |  | 457 | 169 | 132 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 50 |  | 60 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 25 | 0 | 3 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 18:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 242 | 215 | 97 | 101 | 125 | 123 | 65 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 197 | 89 | 40 | 42 | 52 | 60 | 26 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 259 | 176 | 80 | 80 | 102 | 104 | 55 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 209 | 209 | 209 | 576 | 576 | 576 | 212 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 9 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 26: Center St

| Movement | EB | SE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 35 | 40 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 36 | 21 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 231 | 79 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 28: Center St

| Movement | EB | EB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 89 | 85 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 46 | 39 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 218 | 218 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Network Summary

## Network wide Queuing Penalty: 88

## ROUNDABOUT 2022 AM

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBT | SBR | NWL | NWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.4 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 2.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 47.9 | 39.1 | 2.4 | 43.4 | 35.9 | 3.9 | 49.2 | 27.1 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 27.7 | 15.4 |

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | NWR2 | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 16.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 10.3 | 25.7 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.3 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 4.8 | 5.6 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 4.4 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) |  | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) |  | 4.2 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 2.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 10.8 | 3.1 | 0.3 |

18: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.8 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 3.4 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 10.1 | 6.9 | 2.9 | 8.1 |

SimTraffic Performance Report
Baseline
Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.1 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 24.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 35.2 |

## Intersection: 2: Bend

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 4 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 4 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1393 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | < | < | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 66 | 174 | 139 | 36 | 116 | 195 | 211 | 138 | 89 | 101 | 186 | 165 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 17 | 85 | 40 | 5 | 44 | 90 | 109 | 23 | 30 | 43 | 119 | 106 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 46 | 147 | 109 | 21 | 96 | 155 | 177 | 77 | 71 | 84 | 167 | 151 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 454 | 454 |  |  | 252 | 252 |  |  |  | 515 | 515 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  | 430 | 130 |  |  | 80 | 350 | 350 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 23 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 41 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | R | R | R | $>$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 130 | 33 | 28 | 55 | 133 | 134 | 96 | 59 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 69 | 10 | 2 | 14 | 76 | 70 | 35 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 122 | 31 | 15 | 41 | 124 | 119 | 84 | 37 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 515 |  |  |  | 1393 | 1393 | 1393 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 425 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 430 | 430 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | LT | T | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (t) | 82 | 54 | 68 | 54 | 59 | 98 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 31 | 3 | 26 | 4 | 15 | 39 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 76 | 24 | 57 | 26 | 45 | 80 |
| Link Distance ( ft ) | 252 | 252 | 559 | 559 |  | 326 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) 350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 24 | 27 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 12 | 11 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 228 | 326 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 6 | 28 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 9 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 4 | 29 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 441 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 18:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 184 | 95 | 55 | 123 | 143 | 148 | 66 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 110 | 36 | 15 | 56 | 64 | 68 | 25 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 166 | 72 | 43 | 104 | 122 | 126 | 55 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 210 | 210 | 210 | 575 | 575 | 575 | 200 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 43

## ROUNDABOUT 2022 PM

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBR | NWL | NWR | NWR2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1.1 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 3.2 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 5.2 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 43.8 | 39.5 | 4.4 | 41.7 | 30.1 | 4.8 | 41.2 | 21.1 | 5.3 | 33.1 | 24.2 | 20.0 |

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 25.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 27.0 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 7.9 | 6.3 | 5.7 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 5.8 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 12.2 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.1 |

## 15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 8.8 | 2.6 | 0.5 |

18: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 4.7 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 6.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 15.4 | 6.6 | 3.2 | 11.1 |

SimTraffic Performance Report
Baseline
Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.2 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.3 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 38.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 39.2 |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | < | < | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 153 | 278 | 246 | 54 | 112 | 131 | 140 | 45 | 165 | 159 | 165 | 178 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 57 | 161 | 106 | 14 | 51 | 54 | 68 | 13 | 77 | 72 | 107 | 112 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 113 | 243 | 199 | 41 | 99 | 106 | 122 | 35 | 143 | 137 | 149 | 158 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 451 | 451 |  |  | 252 | 252 |  |  |  | 518 | 518 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  | 430 | 130 |  |  | 80 | 350 | 350 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | R | R | R | $>$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 159 | 71 | 94 | 106 | 184 | 182 | 149 | 109 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 91 | 20 | 28 | 52 | 113 | 109 | 80 | 43 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 146 | 54 | 74 | 96 | 167 | 161 | 136 | 90 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 518 |  |  |  | 1388 | 1388 | 1388 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 425 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 430 | 430 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | T | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 147 | 107 | 79 | 63 | 41 | 84 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 83 | 21 | 36 | 12 | 8 | 26 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 131 | 76 | 64 | 43 | 32 | 66 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 252 | 252 | 559 | 559 |  | 326 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 37 | 80 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 16 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 42 | 48 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 228 | 326 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 33 | 37 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 | 10 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 26 | 33 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 441 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 18:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 234 | 201 | 84 | 133 | 155 | 159 | 55 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 190 | 91 | 37 | 50 | 64 | 71 | 21 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 258 | 172 | 73 | 97 | 123 | 127 | 48 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 210 | 210 | 210 | 575 | 575 | 575 | 200 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 7 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Network wide Queuing Penalty: 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## ROUNDABOUT 2030 AM

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBT | SBR | NWL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | NWR

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | NWR2 | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.1 | 15.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 11.3 | 24.7 |

6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.3 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 5.1 | 5.6 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 5.3 |  | 3.2 | 4.4 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 2.9 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 7.4 | 2.8 | 0.3 |

18: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.3 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 3.0 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 9.5 | 7.1 | 2.7 | 7.8 |

SimTraffic Performance Report
Baseline
Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.1 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 22.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 33.6 |

## Intersection: 2: Bend

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 5 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 5 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1393 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | $R$ | $<$ | $<$ | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 83 | 177 | 139 | 38 | 89 | 186 | 200 | 88 | 72 | 84 | 180 | 154 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 25 | 89 | 39 | 6 | 31 | 92 | 109 | 21 | 23 | 35 | 97 | 80 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 59 | 156 | 111 | 21 | 71 | 160 | 177 | 59 | 58 | 75 | 152 | 136 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 454 | 454 |  |  | 252 | 252 |  |  |  | 515 | 515 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 430 | 130 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 22 | 80 | 350 | 350 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 42 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | R | R | R | $>$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 117 | 37 | 21 | 60 | 139 | 144 | 124 | 38 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 47 | 10 | 2 | 14 | 79 | 72 | 37 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 100 | 31 | 12 | 43 | 126 | 125 | 96 | 28 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 515 |  |  |  | 1393 | 1393 | 1393 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 425 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 430 | 430 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | LT | T | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (t) | 89 | 66 | 66 | 63 | 59 | 103 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 29 | 4 | 27 | 5 | 16 | 37 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 76 | 29 | 58 | 30 | 46 | 80 |
| Link Distance ( ft ) | 252 | 252 | 559 | 559 |  | 326 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (tt) 350 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 30 | 38 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 3 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 18 | 15 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 228 | 326 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 12 | 32 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 1 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 7 | 29 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 441 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |

Intersection: 18:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 179 | 73 | 43 | 153 | 171 | 164 | 51 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 92 | 29 | 11 | 64 | 72 | 73 | 21 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 147 | 62 | 37 | 115 | 137 | 130 | 47 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 210 | 210 | 210 | 575 | 575 | 575 | 200 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 44

## ROUNDABOUT 2030 PM

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBT | SBR | NWL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | NWR

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | NWR2 | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.6 | 34.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 22.5 | 33.0 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 2.7 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 9.3 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 2.5 | 6.5 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 11.4 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.2 |

15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 7.4 | 2.8 | 0.6 |

18: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 5.6 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 10.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 16.6 | 13.8 | 3.8 | 14.9 |

SimTraffic Performance Report
Baseline
Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.3 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.3 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 54.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 48.9 |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | < | < | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 148 | 291 | 268 | 68 | 105 | 114 | 130 | 60 | 223 | 212 | 236 | 244 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 69 | 182 | 129 | 16 | 51 | 52 | 68 | 17 | 105 | 86 | 162 | 170 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 127 | 268 | 236 | 48 | 95 | 97 | 113 | 46 | 192 | 165 | 218 | 229 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 454 | 454 |  |  | 252 | 252 |  |  |  | 515 | 515 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  | 430 | 130 |  |  | 80 | 350 | 350 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | R | R | R | $>$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 232 | 65 | 108 | 116 | 221 | 220 | 197 | 122 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 144 | 21 | 38 | 60 | 143 | 140 | 117 | 48 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 207 | 52 | 88 | 103 | 200 | 198 | 177 | 98 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 515 |  |  |  | 1393 | 1393 | 1393 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 425 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 430 | 430 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | T | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 162 | 115 | 76 | 44 | 39 | 91 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 96 | 26 | 39 | 9 | 10 | 27 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 145 | 85 | 67 | 34 | 34 | 66 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 252 | 252 | 559 | 559 |  | 326 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 51 | 101 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 20 | 15 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 47 | 62 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 228 | 326 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 37 | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 8 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 31 | 33 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 441 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 18:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 246 | 220 | 110 | 203 | 233 | 234 | 72 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 208 | 112 | 43 | 107 | 132 | 141 | 27 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 260 | 204 | 84 | 172 | 198 | 200 | 57 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 210 | 210 | 210 | 575 | 575 | 575 | 200 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 13 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Network wide Queuing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## ROUNDABOUT 2050 AM

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blva/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBR | NWL | NWR | NWR2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.5 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 6.1 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 4.4 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 46.0 | 32.9 | 1.7 | 51.5 | 37.1 | 6.3 | 47.2 | 23.0 | 4.5 | 32.7 | 18.8 | 14.4 |

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 18.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 26.1 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 5.5 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 2.5 | 7.1 |  | 4.4 | 5.0 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 7.6 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.5 |

15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 4.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 10.8 | 2.8 | 0.4 |

18: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.9 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 4.1 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 8.8 | 10.5 | 2.7 | 9.8 |

SimTraffic Performance Report
Baseline
Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.1 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 27.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 36.3 |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | $R$ | $<$ | $<$ | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 87 | 184 | 158 | 37 | 174 | 250 | 291 | 220 | 81 | 79 | 132 | 106 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 28 | 101 | 51 | 4 | 26 | 146 | 167 | 55 | 29 | 32 | 67 | 49 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 66 | 168 | 129 | 19 | 92 | 231 | 266 | 179 | 67 | 69 | 111 | 93 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 454 | 454 |  |  | 252 | 252 |  |  |  | 515 | 515 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 430 | 130 | 1 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  | 40 |  | 10 | 37 | 80 | 350 | 350 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 68 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | R | R | R | $>$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 85 | 50 | 68 | 82 | 183 | 186 | 158 | 65 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 27 | 14 | 11 | 31 | 109 | 106 | 75 | 14 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 66 | 39 | 41 | 67 | 164 | 164 | 141 | 45 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 515 |  |  |  | 1393 | 1393 | 1393 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 425 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 430 | 430 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | T | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 110 | 73 | 77 | 77 | 61 | 127 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 44 | 5 | 38 | 9 | 18 | 50 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 95 | 38 | 67 | 43 | 49 | 98 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 252 | 252 | 559 | 559 |  | 326 |

Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 32 | 45 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 9 | 3 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 31 | 22 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 228 | 326 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 30 | 32 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 13 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 14 | 35 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 441 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 18:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 128 | 56 | 52 | 196 | 241 | 242 | 58 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 69 | 16 | 13 | 105 | 125 | 125 | 22 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 110 | 45 | 41 | 183 | 216 | 215 | 50 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 210 | 210 | 210 | 575 | 575 | 575 | 200 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Network wide Queuing Penalty: 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## ROUNDABOUT 2050 PM

3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | SBL2 | SBL | SBT | SBR | NWL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NWR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.1 | 6.7 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 3.0 | 0.1 | 8.3 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 41.6 | 42.0 | 4.9 | 40.1 | 28.2 | 3.9 | 87.9 | 21.5 | 2.3 | 5.5 | 37.4 |

## 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St Performance by movement

| Movement | NWR2 | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.5 | 31.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 23.3 | 33.3 |

## 6: Center St \& 600 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 10.1 | 7.2 | 6.3 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 6.7 |

13: 600 West \& Garden Drive Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 18.1 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.2 |

## 15: Center St \& 200 West Performance by movement

| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denied Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 10.6 | 3.1 | 0.6 |

18: Performance by movement

| Movement | EBT | WBT | NBR | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 5.1 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 6.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 16.3 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 11.4 |

SimTraffic Performance Report
Baseline
Total Network Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Delay (hr) | 0.3 |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.3 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 45.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 45.1 |

Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| irections Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | $<$ | $<$ | L |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 139 | 326 | 298 | 71 | 112 | 150 | 173 | 58 | 294 | 293 | 198 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 55 | 204 | 153 | 17 | 46 | 69 | 89 | 10 | 151 | 143 | 108 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 107 | 290 | 252 | 49 | 92 | 121 | 143 | 33 | 287 | 287 | 184 |
| 171 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 451 | 451 |  |  | 252 | 252 |  |  |  | 518 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 420 |  |  | 430 | 130 |  |  | 80 | 350 | 350 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 |

## Intersection: 3: State St \& Pleasant Grove Blvd/Center St

| Movement | SB | SB | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW | NW |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | L | R | R | R | $>$ |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 164 | 68 | 96 | 126 | 148 | 154 | 118 | 98 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 91 | 22 | 33 | 56 | 86 | 82 | 50 | 40 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 144 | 54 | 80 | 103 | 129 | 130 | 106 | 86 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 518 |  |  |  | 1388 | 1388 | 1388 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 425 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 430 | 430 | 430 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Center St \& 600 West

| Movement | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | T | T | TR | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 183 | 132 | 87 | 59 | 50 | 97 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 107 | 36 | 38 | 12 | 12 | 31 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 159 | 105 | 68 | 43 | 40 | 73 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 252 | 252 | 559 | 559 |  | 326 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 13: 600 West \& Garden Drive

| Movement | EB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | LT |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 52 | 106 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 18 | 18 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 46 | 69 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 228 | 326 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 15: Center St \& 200 West

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 35 | 34 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 8 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 30 | 34 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 441 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 100 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 18:

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | T | T | T | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 240 | 210 | 80 | 78 | 95 | 100 | 68 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 202 | 98 | 38 | 34 | 38 | 48 | 27 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 255 | 185 | 71 | 62 | 71 | 83 | 57 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 210 | 210 | 210 | 575 | 575 | 575 | 200 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 11 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Network Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix E: Cost Estimates

| Prepared By: Briton - Pleasant Grove City Date | 9/26/2023 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Project Scope: Install a high T intersection in the Existgin Location |  |  |  |
| Approximate Route Reference Mile Post (BEGIN) = | 0.000 | (END) $=$ | = 0.160 |
| Project Length = | 0.160 | miles | 845 ft |
| Current Year = | 2023 |  |  |
| Assumed Construction Year = | 2026 |  |  |
| Construction Items Inflation Factor = | 1.30 |  | 3 yrs for inflation |
| Assumed Yearly Inflation for Engineering Services (PE and CE) (\%/yr) = | 3.75\% |  |  |
| Assumed Yearly Inflation for Right of Way (\%/yr) = | 4.0\% |  |  |
| Items not Estimated (\% of Construction) $=$ | 20.0\% |  |  |
| Preliminary Engineering (\% of Construction + Incentives) = | 16.0\% |  |  |
| Construction Engineering (\% of Construction + Incentives) = | 16.0\% |  |  |


| Construction Items |  | Cost | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public Information Services |  | \$5,000 |  |
| Roadway and Drainage |  | \$630,233 |  |
| Traffic and Safety |  | \$784,480 |  |
| Structures |  | \$0 |  |
| Environmental Mitigation |  | \$42,350 |  |
| ITS |  | \$112,015 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | \$1,574,078 |  |
|  | Items not Estimated (20\%) | \$314,816 |  |
|  | Construction Subtotal | \$1,888,894 |  |
| P.E. Cost | P.E. Subtotal | \$302,229 | 16\% |
| C.E. Cost | C.E. Subtotal | \$302,229 | 16\% |
| Right of Way | Right of Way Subtotal | \$19,688 |  |
| Utilities | Utilities Subtotal | \$100,000 |  |
| Incentives | Incentives Subtotal | \$40 |  |
| Miscellaneous | Miscellaneous Subtotal | \$0 |  |



## Project Assumptions/Risks

600 West \& Center Street Signal and RR Crossing to be

```
600 West & Center Street Signal and RR Crossing to 
    connected to State Street Signal for coordination.
The pavement section on Center Street is assumed is the same
as pleasant Grove BLVD
4600 W pavement section obtianed from pipe plant geotech report
5
6
```

$\qquad$

```
Equipment for RR Crossing assumed to be $300,000 on top of
The pavement section on Center Street is assumed is the same
5
```


7
${ }^{8}$

| 9 |
| :--- |
| 10 |
| $11 \square$ |
| 12 |
| $13 \square$ |
| $14 \square$ |

## Inflation

こT 2022-10 PROJECT NAME: 600 West, Center St, and State St Intersectior

| Year | Rate | Recommended <br> Rate | Cumulative <br> Inflation Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 1.00 |
| 2023 | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | 1.08 |
| 2024 | $7.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | 1.16 |
| 2025 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.22 |
| 2026 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.30 |
| 2027 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.38 |
| 2028 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.46 |
| 2029 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.55 |
| 2030 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.64 |
| 2031 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.74 |
| 2032 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.84 |
| 2033 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.95 |
| 2034 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 2.07 |
| 2035 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 2.19 |
| 2036 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 2.33 |
| 2037 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 2.46 |
| 2038 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 2.61 |
| 2039 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 2.77 |
| 2040 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 2.94 |
| 2041 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 3.11 |
| 2042 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 3.30 |
| 2043 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 3.50 |
| 2044 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 3.71 |
| 2045 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 3.93 |
| 2046 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 4.16 |
| 2047 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 4.41 |
| 2048 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 4.68 |
|  |  |  |  |

Please contact UDOT Estimate Support with any questions (801-360-0580).

Roadway and Drainage
PIN: PROJECT 2022-10 PROJECT NAME: 600 West, Center St, and State St Intersection Improvements

| Item \# | Item | Quantity | Units | Price | Cost | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 015017010 | Mobilization | 1 | Lump | \$200,000.00 | \$200,000.00 | Usually 7-10\% of construction |
| 015547005 | Traffic Control | 1 | Lump | \$65,000.00 | \$65,000.00 | Usually 3-5\% of construction |
|  | survey | 1 | lump | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 |  |
| 015727020 | Dust Control and Watering | 21 | 1000 gal | \$5.00 | \$105.00 |  |
| 020567005 | Borrow (Plan Quantity) | 14 | cu yd | \$40.00 | \$560.00 |  |
| 020567015 | Granular Borrow (Plan Quantity) | 363 | cu yd | \$45.00 | \$16,335.00 |  |
| 020567025 | Granular Backfill Borrow (Plan Quantity) | 0 | cu yd | \$45.00 | \$0.00 |  |
|  | Remove concrete curb and gutter | 50 | sq ft | \$5.00 | \$250.00 |  |
|  | Remove concrete flatwork | 250 | sq ft | \$4.50 | \$1,125.00 |  |
| 022317010 | Clearing and Grubbing | 1 | Lump | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000.00 |  |
|  | Demo and remove building | 0 | lump | \$50,000.00 | \$0.00 |  |
| 023167020 | Roadway Excavation (Plan Quantity) | 1,057 | cu yd | \$28.00 | \$29,596.00 |  |
| 027217020 | Untreated Base Course (Plan Quantity) | 95 | cu yd | \$55.00 | \$5,225.00 |  |
| 027357010 | Micro-Surfacing | 425 | sq yd | \$2.00 | \$850.00 |  |
| 027377001 | Asphalt Pavement Soft Spot Repair | 0 | cu yd | $\$ 95.00$ | \$0.00 |  |
| 027417050 | HMA - 1/2 Inch | 142 | Ton | \$130.00 | \$18,460.00 |  |
| 027487010 | Liquid Asphalt MC-70 or MC-250 | 1 | Ton | \$500.00 | \$500.00 | Prime Coat |
| 027487040 | Emulsified Asphalt CSS-1 | 1 | Ton | \$600.00 | \$600.00 | Tack Coat |
| 027767025 | Concrete Curb and Gutter Type B1 | 405 | ft | \$31.00 | \$12,555.00 |  |
|  | Drive Approach | 642 | sq ft | \$16.00 | \$10,272.00 |  |
|  | Pedestrian access ramp | 4 | each | \$7,500.00 | \$30,000.00 |  |
| 027767010 | Concrete Sidewalk | 2,040 | sq ft | \$11.25 | \$22,950.00 |  |
|  | Concrete trail 10 ft | 1,840 | sq ft | \$10.00 | \$18,400.00 |  |
|  | Concrete Type B5 curb | 360 | ft | \$45.00 | \$16,200.00 |  |
|  | Plowable end section | 2 | each | \$2,500.00 | \$5,000.00 |  |
|  | Reconstruct valve box |  | each | \$750.00 |  |  |
|  | Reconstruct manhole |  | each | \$850.00 |  |  |
| 028227030 | Right-of-Way Fence, Type D (Metal Post) | 450 | ft | \$25.00 | \$11,250.00 |  |
|  | Railroad crossing upgrades | 1 | Iump | \$140,000.00 | \$140,000.00 | \$500,000 total with Traffic Tab |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roadway Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$630,233 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drainage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 023737010 | Loose Riprap |  | cu yd |  |  |  |
| 026107386 | Drainage Pipe - 18 inch, Smooth, Leak-Resistant |  | ft | \$130.00 |  |  |
| 026107388 | Drainage Pipe - 24 inch, Smooth, Leak-Resistant |  | ft |  |  |  |
| 026107391 | Drainage Pipe - 36 inch, Smooth, Leak-Resistant |  | ft |  |  |  |
| 022217095 | Remove Pipe |  | ft | \$45.00 |  |  |
|  | SD manhole |  | Each | \$8,500.00 |  |  |
| 026337130 | Concrete Drainage Structure 5 ft to $7 \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{deep} \mathrm{-} \mathrm{CB} 9$ |  | Each | \$6,500.00 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drainage Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PI |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 015407010 | Public Information Services | 1 | Lump | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000 | Usually $0.25 \%$ of construction |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Traffic, Safety \& ITS

PIN: PROJECT 2022-10 PROJECT NAME: 600 West, Center St, and State St Intersection Improvements

| Item \# | Item | Quantity | Units | Price | Cost | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 027657050 | Pavement Marking Paint | 42 | gal | \$55.00 | \$2,310.00 |  |
| 027687105 | Pavement Message (Preformed Thermoplastic) | 32 | Each | \$215.00 | \$6,880.00 |  |
| 027687110 | Pavement Message (Preformed Thermoplastic Stop Line, Crosswalks - 12 inch) | 6 | Each | \$215.00 | \$1,290.00 |  |
| 028417094 | Midwest 31 Inch W-Beam Guardrail 7 ft Steel Post |  | ft |  |  |  |
| 028437035 | End Treatment Type G (MASH) |  | Each |  |  |  |
| 028447111 | Precast Concrete Barrier - 32 inch F-Shape, No Stabilization Pins |  | ft |  |  |  |
| \#N/A | Sign Type A-1, | 19 |  | \$700.00 | \$13,300.00 |  |
| 028917270 | Remove Sign Less Than 20 Square Feet | 4 | Each | \$175.00 | \$700.00 |  |
| 028917285 | Relocate Sign Less Than 20 Square Feet |  | Each | \$200.00 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Signals |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02892701D | Traffic Signal System | 1 | Lump | \$350,000.00 | \$350,000.00 |  |
|  | Railroad integration with arms | 1 | Lump | \$360,000.00 | \$360,000.00 | \$500,000 total with Roadway |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lighting |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16525701D | Highway Lighting System | 1 | Lump | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | Lighting surrounding signal |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic and Safety Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$784,480 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ITS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 135537035 | 1D Conduit | 1,259 | ft | \$85.00 | \$107,015.00 | Length of Center $\times 6$ |
| 135567010 | Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Assembly System | 1 | Lump | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ITS Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$112,015 |  |

## Structures

PIN: PROJECT 2022-10 PROJECT NAME: 600 West, Center St, and State St Intersection Improvements

| Item \# | Item | Quantity | Units | Price | Cost | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bridges |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | New Structure |  | sq ft |  |  | Assumed LxW (deck area) |
| Walls |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retaining Wall |  | sq ft |  |  | Assumed LxH (wall area) |
| Sign Struc | tures |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Overhead Sign Structure | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
| 028917265 | Remove Overhead Sign | 1 | Each |  |  |  |
|  | Remove Existing Overhead Sign Structure | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Extend Box Culvert |  | ft |  |  |  |
|  | New Box Culvert | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotech |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Geotech Report | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  | Drilling | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structures Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Environmental and Landscaping

PIN: PROJECT 2022-10 PROJECT NAME: 600 West, Center St, and State St Intersection Improvements

| Item \# | Item | Quantity | Units | Price | Cost | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wetland Mitigation | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  | Noise Wall |  | ft |  |  |  |
|  | Enviromental study | 1 | Lump | \$25,000.00 | \$25,000.00 |  |
|  | SWPPP | 1 | Lump | \$6,500.00 | \$6,500.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Temporary Erosion Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 015717030 | Silt Fence | 1,900 | ft | \$4.00 | \$7,600.00 |  |
| 015717025 | Check Dam - Fiber Roll |  | ft |  |  |  |
| Landscaping |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 029117010 | HECP Type 1 |  | Acre |  |  |  |
|  | rock mulch and fabric | 500 | sq ft | \$6.50 | \$3,250.00 |  |
| 029127010 | Contractor Furnished Topsoil |  | sq yd |  |  |  |
| 029127050 | Strip, Stockpile, and Spread Topsoil (Plan Quantity) |  | sq yd |  |  |  |
| 029227010 | Drill Seed |  | Acre |  |  |  |
| 029227030 | Broadcast Seed |  | Acre |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Environmental Mitigation Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$42,350 |  |

Utilities, Right of Way, and Incentives
PIN: PROJECT 2022-10 PROJECT NAME: 600 West, Center St, and State St Intersection Improvements

| Item \# | Item | Quantity | Units | Price | Cost | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Relocate Water/Irrigation/Sewer Lines | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  | Sub surfacve Utiltiy investigation | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  | Relocate Water | 542 | Feet |  |  |  |
|  | Relocate Irrigation | 1 | Feet |  |  |  |
|  | Relocate Sewer Lines | 1 | Feet |  |  |  |
|  | Relocate Gas Line | 1 | Lump | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | assumed 50\% |
|  | Relocate Power Line | 1 | Lump | \$60,000.00 | \$60,000.00 | assumed 50\% |
|  | Relocate Fiber Optic | 1 | Lump | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | assumed 50\% |
|  | Relocate Gas Line | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  | Relocate Power Line | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  | Relocate Fiber Optic | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  | Relocate Phone | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Utilities Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$100,000 |  |
|  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Right-of-way |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | parcel 14:025:0183 |  | Lump |  |  | 2024 tax value is \$578,700 |
|  | parcel 14:025:0182 |  | Lump |  |  | 2024 tax value is \$515,300 |
|  | parcel 14:025:0194 |  | Lump |  |  | 2024 tax value is \$537,200 |
|  | parcel 14:025:0045 | 1,250 | sq ft | \$15.00 | \$18,750.00 | roadway ROW |
|  | Agent fee | 1 | Lump | \$937.50 | \$937.50 | 5\% |
|  | Sellable property |  | sq ft |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right-of-Way Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$19,688 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Incentives |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00007601* | Pavement Smoothness Incentive | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
| 00007602* | Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) Incentive | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
| 00007603* | Stone Matrix Asphalt (SMA) Incentive | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
| 00007604* | Open Graded Surface Course Incentive | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
| 00007605* | Bonded Wearing Course Incentive | 1 | Lump | \$39.74 | \$39.74 |  |
| 00007606* | Early Completion - Time | 0 | Cald |  |  |  |
| \#N/A | Lane Rental Incentive | 0 | \#N/A |  |  |  |
| \#N/A | Miscellaneous Incentive | 1 | \#N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Incentives Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$40 |  |



## man mix

$\square$


## Incentives Calculator

PIN: PROJECT 2022-10 PROJECT NAME: 600 West, Center St, and State St Intersection Improvements

| 2017 Specification | Incentive | Quantity | Unit | Max Unit Incentive | Max Incentive | Adjustment Factor | Assumed Incentive | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02701 - Smoothness | See below - Section 1.8 | 1 | Lump | \$0.00/Lump | \$0.00 | 0.75 | \$0.00 | Use the Calculations below |
| O0221S - Bidding Contract Time | Eary Completion Incentive - Section 1.7.D.4 |  | Cald | Cald | \$0.00 | 1 | \$0.00 |  |
| O0222S - Lane Rental | Lane Rental Incentive - Section 1.8.B. 1 |  | Hours | Hour | \$0.00 | 1 | \$0.00 |  |
| 02741- HMA | In Place Mat Density - Section 1.6.D. 1 | 142 | Ton | \$2.00/Ton | \$0.00 | 0.85 | \$0.00 |  |
|  | Gradion/Asphatt Content - Section 1.6.D. 1 | 142 | Ton | \$2.00/Ton | \$0.00 | 0.85 | \$0.00 |  |
|  | Joint Density - Section 1.6.D. 6 | 142 | Ton | \$2.00/Ton | \$0.00 | 0.85 | \$0.00 |  |
| 02744-SMA | Asphalt Binder Content \& Density - Section 1.6.D. 1 | 0 | Ton | \$2.50/Ton | \$0.00 | 0.50 | \$0.00 |  |
|  | Gradation - Section 1.6.D. 1 | 0 | Ton | \$2.50/Ton | \$0.00 | 0.50 | \$0.00 |  |
| 02786- OGSC | Binder Content - Section 1.6.B. 2 | 0 | Ton | \$1.00/Ton | \$0.00 | 0.85 | \$0.00 |  |
|  | Gradation - Section 1.6.B.3 | 0 | Ton | \$1.50/Ton | \$0.00 | 0.85 | \$0.00 |  |
| 02787- Bonded Wearing Course | Binder Content- Section 1.6.C. 3 | 425 | /sQ YD | 50.05/Sq yd | \$21.25 | 0.85 | \$18.06 |  |
|  | Gradation - Section 1.6.C.4 | 425 | /SQ YD | \$0.06/Sq yd | \$25.50 | 0.85 | \$21.68 |  |
| Miscellaneous | Community Coordination Incentive | 1 | Lump | \$0.00/Lump | \$0.00 | 1 | \$0.00 |  |
| Tota: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 939.74 |  |




| Prepared By: Briton - Pleasant Grove City Date | 9/26/2023 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Project Scope: Install a new high T intersection with new roadway alignment |  |  |  |
| Approximate Route Reference Mile Post (BEGIN) = | 0.000 | (END) $=$ | 0.160 |
| Project Length = | 0.160 | miles | 845 ft |
| Current Year = | 2023 |  |  |
| Assumed Construction Year = | 2026 |  |  |
| Construction Items Inflation Factor = | 1.30 |  | or inflation |
| Assumed Yearly Inflation for Engineering Services (PE and CE) (\%/yr) = | 3.75\% |  |  |
| Assumed Yearly Inflation for Right of Way (\%/yr) = | 4.0\% |  |  |
| Items not Estimated (\% of Construction) = | 20.0\% |  |  |
| Preliminary Engineering (\% of Construction + Incentives) = | 16.0\% |  |  |
| Construction Engineering (\% of Construction + Incentives) = | 16.0\% |  |  |


| Construction Items |  | Cost | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public Information Services |  | \$12,000 |  |
| Roadway and Drainage |  | \$2,576,690 |  |
| Traffic and Safety |  | \$1,085,955 |  |
| Structures |  | \$15,000 |  |
| Environmental Mitigation |  | \$137,428 |  |
| ITS |  | \$112,015 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal | \$3,939,088 |  |
|  | Items not Estimated ( $20 \%$ ) | \$787,818 |  |
|  | Construction Subtotal | \$4,726,906 |  |
| P.E. Cost | P.E. Subtotal | \$758,673 | 16\% |
| C.E. Cost | C.E. Subtotal | \$758,673 | 16\% |
| Right of Way | Right of Way Subtotal | \$1,183,377 |  |
| Utilities | Utilities Subtotal | \$332,742 |  |
| Incentives | Incentives Subtotal | \$14,799 |  |
| Miscellaneous | Miscellaneous Subtotal | \$0 |  |


| Cost Estimate (ePM screen 505) |  |  | 2023 |  | 2026 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | P.E. |  | \$759,000 |  | \$848,000 |
|  | Right of Way |  | \$1,183,000 |  | \$1,331,000 |
|  | Utilities |  | \$333,000 |  | \$432,000 |
|  | Construction |  | \$4,727,000 |  | \$6,138,000 |
|  | C.E. |  | \$759,000 |  | \$848,000 |
|  | Incentives |  | \$15,000 |  | \$19,000 |
|  | Change Order Contingency | 0.75\% | \$429,000 |  | $\$ 95,000$ $\$ 57,000$ |
|  | UDOT Oversight | 5.00\% | \$293,000 |  | \$380,000 |
|  | Miscellaneous |  | \$0 |  | \$0 |
|  |  | TOTAL | \$8,533,000 | TOTAL | \$10,598,000 |
| PROPOSED COMMISSION REQUEST |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | TOTAL | \$8,533,000 | TOTAL | \$10,598,000 |

## Project Assumptions/Risks

```
600 West \& Center Street Signal and RR Crossing to be
600 West & Center Street Signal and RR Crossing to 
    Connected to State Street Signal for coordination.
Equipment for RR Crossing assumed to be $300,000 on top of
The pavement section on Center Street is assumed is the same
as pleasant Grove BLVD
4600 W pavement section obtianed from pipe plant geotech report
5
6
```

$\qquad$

```
The pavement section on Center Street is assumed is the same
5
```

7
${ }^{8}$



## Inflation

こT 2022-10 PROJECT NAME: 600 West, Center St, and State St Intersectior

| Year | Rate | Recommended <br> Rate | Cumulative <br> Inflation Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 1.00 |
| 2023 | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | 1.08 |
| 2024 | $7.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | 1.16 |
| 2025 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.22 |
| 2026 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.30 |
| 2027 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.38 |
| 2028 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.46 |
| 2029 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.55 |
| 2030 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.64 |
| 2031 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.74 |
| 2032 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.84 |
| 2033 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.95 |
| 2034 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 2.07 |
| 2035 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 2.19 |
| 2036 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 2.33 |
| 2037 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 2.46 |
| 2038 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 2.61 |
| 2039 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 2.77 |
| 2040 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 2.94 |
| 2041 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 3.11 |
| 2042 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 3.30 |
| 2043 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 3.50 |
| 2044 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 3.71 |
| 2045 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 3.93 |
| 2046 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 4.16 |
| 2047 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 4.41 |
| 2048 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 4.68 |
|  |  |  |  |

Please contact UDOT Estimate Support with any questions (801-360-0580).

Roadway and Drainage
PIN: PROJECT 2022-10 PROJECT NAME: 600 West, Center St, and State St Intersection Improvements

| Item \# | Item | Quantity | Units | Price | Cost | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 015017010 | Mobilization | 1 | Lump | \$300,000.00 | \$300,000.00 | Usually 7-10\% of construction |
| 015547005 | Traffic Control | 1 | Lump | \$115,000.00 | \$175,000.00 | Usually 3-5\% of construction |
|  | survey | 1 | lump | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 |  |
| 015727020 | Dust Control and Watering | 439 | 1000 gal | \$5.00 | \$2,195.00 |  |
| 020567005 | Borrow (Plan Quantity) | 0 | cu yd | \$40.00 | \$0.00 |  |
| 020567015 | Granular Borrow (Plan Quantity) | 6,781 | cu yd | \$45.00 | \$305,145.00 |  |
| 020567025 | Granular Backfill Borrow (Plan Quantity) | 1,215 | cu yd | \$45.00 | \$54,675.00 |  |
|  | Remove concrete curb and gutter | 1,571 | sq ft | \$5.00 | \$7,855.00 |  |
|  | Remove concrete flatwork | 1,475 | sq ft | \$4.50 | \$6,637.50 |  |
| 022317010 | Clearing and Grubbing | 1 | Lump | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 |  |
|  | Demo and remove building | 3 | lump | \$50,000.00 | \$150,000.00 |  |
| 023167020 | Roadway Excavation (Plan Quantity) | 12,568 | cu yd | \$28.00 | \$351,904.00 |  |
| 027217020 | Untreated Base Course (Plan Quantity) | 1,950 | cu yd | \$55.00 | \$107,250.00 |  |
| 027357010 | Micro-Surfacing | 8,774 | sq yd | \$0.50 | \$4,387.00 |  |
| 027377001 | Asphalt Pavement Soft Spot Repair | 0 | cu yd | \$95.00 | \$0.00 |  |
| 027417050 | HMA - 1/2 Inch | 2,741 | Ton | \$130.00 | \$356,330.00 |  |
| 027487010 | Liquid Asphalt MC-70 or MC-250 | 18 | Ton | \$500.00 | \$9,000.00 | Prime Coat |
| 027487040 | Emulsified Asphalt CSS-1 | 15 | Ton | \$600.00 | \$9,000.00 | Tack Coat |
| 027767025 | Concrete Curb and Gutter Type B1 | 2,002 | ft | \$31.00 | \$62,062.00 |  |
|  | Drive Approach | 642 | sq ft | \$16.00 | \$10,272.00 |  |
|  | Pedestrian access ramp | 4 | each | \$5,000.00 | \$20,000.00 |  |
| 027767010 | Concrete Sidewalk | 7,902 | sq ft | \$11.25 | \$88,897.50 |  |
|  | Concrete trail 10 ft | 5,980 | sq ft | \$10.00 | \$59,800.00 |  |
|  | Concrete Type B5 curb | 704 | ft | \$45.00 | \$31,680.00 |  |
|  | Plowable end section | 4 | each | \$2,500.00 | \$10,000.00 |  |
|  | Reconstruct valve box | 4 | each | \$750.00 | \$3,000.00 |  |
|  | Reconstruct manhole | 5 | each | \$850.00 | \$4,250.00 |  |
| 028227030 | Right-of-Way Fence, Type D (Metal Post) | 450 | ft | \$25.00 | \$11,250.00 |  |
|  | Railroad crossing upgrades | 1 | Iump | \$500,000.00 | \$250,000.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roadway Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$2,420,590 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drainage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 023737010 | Loose Riprap |  | cu yd |  |  |  |
| 026107386 | Drainage Pipe - 18 inch, Smooth, Leak-Resistant | 620 | ft | \$130.00 | \$80,600.00 |  |
| 026107388 | Drainage Pipe - 24 inch, Smooth, Leak-Resistant |  | ft |  |  |  |
| 026107391 | Drainage Pipe - 36 inch, Smooth, Leak-Resistant |  | ft |  |  |  |
| 022217095 | Remove Pipe |  | ft | \$45.00 |  |  |
|  | SD manhole | 2 | Each | \$8,500.00 | \$17,000.00 |  |
| 026337130 | Concrete Drainage Structure 5 ft to $7 \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{deep} \mathrm{-} \mathrm{CB} 9$ | 9 | Each | \$6,500.00 | \$58,500.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drainage Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$156,100 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PI |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 015407010 | Public Information Services | 1 | Lump | \$10,000.00 | \$12,000 | Usually $0.25 \%$ of construction |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Traffic, Safety \& ITS

PIN: PROJECT 2022-10 PROJECT NAME: 600 West, Center St, and State St Intersection Improvements


## Structures

PIN: PROJECT 2022-10 PROJECT NAME: 600 West, Center St, and State St Intersection Improvements

| Item \# | Item | Quantity | Units | Price | Cost | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bridges |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | New Structure |  | sq ft |  |  | Assumed LxW (deck area) |
| Walls |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retaining Wall |  | sq ft |  |  | Assumed LxH (wall area) |
| Sign Struc | ures |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Overhead Sign Structure | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
| 028917265 | Remove Overhead Sign | 1 | Each |  |  |  |
|  | Remove Existing Overhead Sign Structure | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Extend Box Culvert |  | ft |  |  |  |
|  | New Box Culvert | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotech |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Geotech Report |  | Lump | \$15,000.00 | \$15,000.00 |  |
|  | Drilling | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structures Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$15,000 |  |

## Environmental and Landscaping

PIN: PROJECT 2022-10 PROJECT NAME: 600 West, Center St, and State St Intersection Improvements

| Item \# | Item | Quantity | Units | Price | Cost | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wetland Mitigation | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  | Noise Wall |  | ft |  |  |  |
|  | Enviromental study | 1 | Lump | \$75,000.00 | \$75,000.00 |  |
|  | SWPPP | 1 | Lump | \$6,500.00 | \$6,500.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Temporary Erosion Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 015717030 | Silt Fence | 1,900 | ft | \$4.00 | \$7,600.00 |  |
| 015717025 | Check Dam - Fiber Roll |  | ft |  |  |  |
| Landscaping |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 029117010 | HECP Type 1 |  | Acre |  |  |  |
|  | rock mulch and fabric | 7,435 | sq ft | \$6.50 | \$48,327.50 |  |
| 029127010 | Contractor Furnished Topsoil |  | sq yd |  |  |  |
| 029127050 | Strip, Stockpile, and Spread Topsoil (Plan Quantity) |  | sq yd |  |  |  |
| 029227010 | Drill Seed |  | Acre |  |  |  |
| 029227030 | Broadcast Seed |  | Acre |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Environmental Mitigation Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$137,428 |  |

Utilities, Right of Way, and Incentives
PIN: PROJECT 2022-10 PROJECT NAME: 600 West, Center St, and State St Intersection Improvements

| Item \# | Item | Quantity | Units | Price | Cost | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Relocate Water/Irrigation/Sewer Lines | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  | Sub surfacve Utiltiy investigation | 1 | Lump | \$60,000.00 | \$60,000.00 |  |
|  | Relocate Water | 542 | Feet | \$120.00 | \$65,040.00 |  |
|  | Relocate Irrigation | 1 | Feet |  |  |  |
|  | Relocate Sewer Lines | 1 | Feet | \$202.00 | \$202.00 |  |
|  | Relocate Gas Line | 1 | Lump | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | assumed 50\% |
|  | Relocate Power Line | 1 | Lump | \$82,500.00 | \$82,500.00 | assumed 50\% |
|  | Relocate Fiber Optic | 1 | Lump | \$75,000.00 | \$75,000.00 | assumed 50\% |
|  | Relocate Gas Line | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  | Relocate Power Line | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  | Relocate Fiber Optic | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  | Relocate Phone | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Utilities Subto |  |  |  |  | \$332,742 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right-of-wa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | parcel 14:025:0183 | 1 | Lump | \$636,570.00 | \$636,570.00 | 2024 tax value is \$578,700 |
|  | parcel 14:025:0182 | 1 | Lump | \$566,500.00 | \$566,500.00 | 2024 tax value is \$515,300 |
|  | parcel 14:025:0194 | 1 | Lump | \$590,920.00 | \$590,920.00 | 2024 tax value is \$537,200 |
|  | parcel 14:025:0045 | 1,250 | sq ft | \$15.00 | \$18,750.00 | roadway ROW |
|  | Agent fee | 1 | Lump | \$90,637.00 | \$90,637.00 |  |
|  | Sellable property | 60,000 | sq ft | -\$12.00 | -\$720,000.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right-of-Way | ubtotal |  |  |  | \$1,183,377 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Incentives |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00007601* | Pavement Smoothness Incentive | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
| 00007602* | Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) Incentive | 1 | Lump | \$13,979.10 | \$13,979.10 |  |
| 00007603* | Stone Matrix Asphalt (SMA) Incentive | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
| 00007604* | Open Graded Surface Course Incentive | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
| 00007605* | Bonded Wearing Course Incentive | 1 | Lump | \$820.37 | \$820.37 |  |
| 00007606* | Early Completion - Time | 0 | Cald |  |  |  |
| \#N/A | Lane Rental Incentive | 0 | \#N/A |  |  |  |
| \#N/A | Miscellaneous Incentive | 1 | \#N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Incentives Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$14,799 |  |







$\square$
Project AssumptionsRRisks



## Incentives Calculator

IN: PROJECT 2022-10 PROJECT NAME: 600 West, Center St, and State St Intersection Improvements

| 2017 Specification | Incentive | Quantity | Unit | Max Unit Incentive | Max Incentive | Adjustment Factor | Assumed Incentive | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02701 - Smoothness | See below - Section 1.8 | 1 | Lump | \$0.00/Lump | \$0.00 | 0.75 | \$0.00 | Use the Calculations below |
| 00221S - Bidding Contract Time | Earry Completion Incentive - Section 1.7.D.4 |  | card | /Cald | \$0.00 | 1 | \$0.00 |  |
| 00222S - Lane Rental | Lane Rental Incentive - Section 1.8.B. 1 |  | Hours | Hour | \$0.00 | 1 | \$0.00 |  |
| 02741 - HMA | In Place Mat Density - Section 1.6.D. 1 | 2,741 | Ton | \$2.00/Ton | \$5,482.00 | 0.85 | \$4,659.70 |  |
|  | Gradion/Asphat Content - Section 1.6.D. 1 | 2,741 | Ton | \$2.00/Ton | \$5,482.00 | 0.85 | \$4,659.70 |  |
|  | Joint Density - Section 1.6.D. 6 | 2,741 | Ton | \$2.00/Ton | \$5,482.00 | 0.85 | \$4,659.70 |  |
| 02744 - SMA | Asphalt Binder Content \& Density - Section 1.6.D. 1 | 0 | Ton | \$2.50/Ton | 90.00 | 0.50 | \$0.00 |  |
|  | Gradation - Section 1.6.D. 1 | 0 | Ton | \$2.50/Ton | \$0.00 | 0.50 | \$0.00 |  |
| 02786- OGSC | Binder Content - Section 1.6.B. 2 | 0 | Ton | \$1.00/Ton | \$0.00 | 0.85 | \$0.00 |  |
|  | Gradation - Section 1.6.B. 3 | 0 | Ton | \$1.50/Ton | \$0.00 | 0.85 | \$0.00 |  |
| 02787- Bonded Wearing Course | Binder Content- Section 1.6.C. 3 | 8,774 | ISQ YD | \$0.05/Sa yd | \$438.70 | 0.85 | \$372.90 |  |
|  | Gradation - Section 1.6.C. 4 | 8,774 | ISQ YD | \$0.06/Sq yd | \$526.44 | 0.85 | \$447.47 |  |
| Miscellaneous | Community Coordination Incentive | 1 | Lump | \$0.00/Lump | \$0.00 | 1 | \$0.00 |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$14,799.47 |  |


| Smoothness Calculations (2017 Specification - 2701 and 02742S) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table 1 |  |  | Incent |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Lanet } \end{array}$ | 0.16 | miles |
| HMA, OGSC, BWC, \& SMA Incentive |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Category* }^{*}}{1 \text { and } 2}$ | Max Incentive per Pavement Section $\$ 500$ | Incentive | So |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Table 2 | Length | 0.16 | miles |
|  | PCCP Incentive | Lanes |  |  |
| Category* | Max Incentive per Pavement Section |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | Incentive | so |  |




## Inflation

PIN: PROJECT 2022-10 PROJECT NAME: PG Center Street at 600 West

| Year | Rate | Recommended <br> Rate | Cumulative <br> Inflation Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022 | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 1.00 |
| 2023 | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | 1.08 |
| 2024 | $7.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | 1.16 |
| 2025 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.22 |
| 2026 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.30 |
| 2027 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.38 |
| 2028 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.46 |
| 2029 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.55 |
| 2030 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.64 |
| 2031 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.74 |
| 2032 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.84 |
| 2033 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 1.95 |
| 2034 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 2.07 |
| 2035 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 2.19 |
| 2036 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 2.33 |
| 2037 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 2.46 |
| 2038 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 2.61 |
| 2039 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 2.77 |
| 2040 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 2.94 |
| 2041 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 3.11 |
| 2042 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 3.30 |
| 2043 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 3.50 |
| 2044 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 3.71 |
| 2045 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 3.93 |
| 2046 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 4.16 |
| 2047 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 4.41 |
| 2048 | $6.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | 4.68 |

Please contact UDOT Estimate Support with any questions (801-360-0580).

Roadway and Drainage
PIN: PROJECT 2022-10 PROJECT NAME: PG Center Street at 600 West

| Item \# | Item | Quantity | Units | Price | Cost | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 015017010 | Mobilization | 1 | Lump | \$350,000.00 | \$350,000.00 | Usually 7-10\% of construction |
| 015547005 | Traffic Control | 1 | Lump | \$120,000.00 | \$120,000.00 | Usually 3-5\% of construction |
|  | survey | 1 | lump | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 |  |
| 015727020 | Dust Control and Watering | 363 | 1000 gal | \$5.00 | \$1,815.00 |  |
| 020567005 | Borrow (Plan Quantity) | 164 | cu yd | \$40.00 | \$6,560.00 |  |
| 020567015 | Granular Borrow (Plan Quantity) | 6,556 | cu yd | \$45.00 | \$295,020.00 |  |
| 020567025 | Granular Backfill Borrow (Plan Quantity) | 0 | cu yd |  |  |  |
|  | Remove concrete curb and gutter | 1,138 | sq ft | \$5.00 | \$5,690.00 |  |
|  | Remove concrete flatwork | 3,372 | sq ft | \$4.50 | \$15,174.00 |  |
| 022317010 | Clearing and Grubbing | 1 | Lump | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 |  |
|  | Demo and remove building | 1 | lump | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 |  |
| 023167020 | Roadway Excavation (Plan Quantity) | 10,865 | cu yd | \$28.00 | \$304,220.00 |  |
| 027217020 | Untreated Base Course (Plan Quantity) | 1,573 | cu yd | \$55.00 | \$86,515.00 |  |
| 027357010 | Micro-Surfacing | 7,077 | sq yd | \$0.50 | \$3,538.50 |  |
| 027377001 | Asphalt Pavement Soft Spot Repair | 0 | cu yd | \$95.00 | \$0.00 |  |
| 027417050 | HMA - 1/2 Inch | 2,405 | Ton | \$130.00 | \$312,650.00 |  |
| 027487010 | Liquid Asphalt MC-70 or MC-250 | 15 | Ton | \$500.00 | \$7,500.00 | Prime Coat |
| 027487040 | Emulsified Asphalt CSS-1 | 13 | Ton | \$600.00 | \$7,800.00 | Tack Coat |
| 027527010 | Portland Cement Concrete Pavement 9 inch Thick | 4,511 | sq ft | \$17.00 | \$76,687.00 |  |
| 027767025 | Concrete Curb and Gutter Type B1 | 1,355 | ft | \$35.00 | \$47,425.00 |  |
|  | Pedestrian access ramp | 8 | each | \$5,000.00 | \$40,000.00 |  |
|  | Drive Approach | 852 | sq ft | \$16.00 | \$13,632.00 |  |
| 027767010 | Concrete Sidewalk | 7,482 | sq ft | \$10.00 | \$74,820.00 |  |
|  | Concrete trail 10 ft | 2,080 | sq ft | \$10.00 | \$20,800.00 |  |
|  | mountable curb | 250 | ft | \$45.00 | \$11,250.00 |  |
|  | Concrete Type B5 curb | 1,212 | ft | \$32.00 | \$38,784.00 |  |
|  | Plowable end section | 6 | Easch | \$2,500.00 | \$15,000.00 |  |
|  | Median concrete flatwork | 7,236 | sq ft | \$12.00 | \$86,832.00 |  |
|  | Reconstruct valve box | 4 | each | \$750.00 | \$3,000.00 |  |
|  | Reconstruct manhole | 9 | each | \$850.00 | \$7,650.00 |  |
| 028227030 | Right-of-Way Fence, Type D (Metal Post) | 417 | ft | \$25.00 | \$10,425.00 |  |
| 029617020 | Rotomilling - 1 Inch |  | sq yd |  |  |  |
|  | Railroad pedestrian crossings | 1 | lump | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000.00 |  |
|  | Railroad crossing upgrades | 1 | lump | \$500,000.00 | \$500,000.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roadway Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$2,642,788 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drainage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 023737010 | Loose Riprap |  | cu yd |  |  |  |
| 026107386 | Drainage Pipe - 18 inch, Smooth, Leak-Resistant | 1,323 | ft | \$130.00 | \$171,990.00 |  |
| 026107388 | Drainage Pipe - 24 inch, Smooth, Leak-Resistant |  | ft |  |  |  |
| 026107391 | Drainage Pipe - 36 inch, Smooth, Leak-Resistant |  | ft |  |  |  |
| 022217095 | Remove Pipe | 1,221 | ft | \$45.00 | \$54,945.00 |  |
|  | SD manhole | 3 | Each | \$8,500.00 | \$25,500.00 |  |
| 026337130 | Concrete Drainage Structure 5 ft to $7 \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{deep} \mathrm{-} \mathrm{CB} 9$ | 7 | Each | \$8,500.00 | \$59,500.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drainage Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$311,935 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PI |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 015407010 | Public Information Services | 1 | Lump | \$13,000.00 | \$13,000 | Usually $0.25 \%$ of construction |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Traffic, Safety \& ITS

PIN: PROJECT 2022-10 PROJECT NAME: PG Center Street at 600 West

| Item \# | Item | Quantity | Units | Price | Cost | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 027657050 | Pavement Marking Paint | 0 | gal | \$55.00 | \$0.00 |  |
| 027687105 | Pavement Message (Preformed Thermoplastic) |  | Each | \$215.00 |  |  |
| 027687110 | Pavement Message (Preformed Thermoplastic Stop Line, Crosswalks - 12 inch) |  | Each | \$215.00 |  |  |
| 028417094 | Midwest 31 Inch W-Beam Guardrail 7 ft Steel Post |  | ft |  |  |  |
| 028437035 | End Treatment Type G (MASH) |  | Each |  |  |  |
| 028447111 | Precast Concrete Barrier - 32 inch F-Shape, No Stabilization Pins |  | ft |  |  |  |
| 028917028 | Sign Type A-1, 12 Inch X 36 Inch |  | Each |  |  |  |
| 028917270 | Remove Sign Less Than 20 Square Feet |  | Each | \$175.00 |  |  |
| 028917285 | Relocate Sign Less Than 20 Square Feet |  | Each | \$200.00 |  |  |
|  | Lump sum striping and signing | 1 | Lump | \$20,000.00 | \$25,000.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Signals |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#N/A | State street integration | 1 | Lump | \$80,000.00 | \$80,000.00 |  |
|  | Railroad integration with arms | 1 | Lump | \$1,200,000.00 | \$1,200,000.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lighting |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16525701D | Highway Lighting System | 1 | Lump | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | Lighting surrounding signal |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic and Sa | ty Subtotal |  |  |  | \$1,355,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ITS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 135537035 | 1D Conduit | 1,245 | ft | \$85.00 | \$105,825.00 | Length of Center x6 |
| 135567010 | Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Assembly System | 1 | Lump | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ITS Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$115,825 |  |

## Structures

PIN: PROJECT 2022-10
PROJECT NAME: PG Center Street at 600 West

| Item \# | Item | Quantity | Units | Price | Cost | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bridges |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | New Structure |  | sq ft |  |  | Assumed LxW (deck area) |
| Walls |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Retaining Wall |  | sq ft |  |  | Assumed LxH (wall area) |
| Sign Struct | ures |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Overhead Sign Structure | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
| 028917265 | Remove Overhead Sign | 1 | Each |  |  |  |
|  | Remove Existing Overhead Sign Structure | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraulics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Extend Box Culvert |  | ft |  |  |  |
|  | New Box Culvert | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geotech |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Geotech Report |  | Lump | \$22,000.00 | \$22,000.00 |  |
|  | Drilling | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structures Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$22,000 |  |

## Environmental and Landscaping

PIN: PROJECT 2022-10 PROJECT NAME: PG Center Street at 600 West

| Item \# | Item | Quantity | Units | Price | Cost | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wetland Mitigation | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  | Noise Wall |  | ft |  |  |  |
|  | Enviromental study | 1 | Lump | \$75,000.00 | \$75,000.00 |  |
|  | SWPPP | 1 | Lump | \$6,500.00 | \$6,500.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Temporary Erosion Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 015717030 | Silt Fence | 1,130 | ft | \$4.00 | \$4,520.00 |  |
| 015717025 | Check Dam - Fiber Roll |  | ft |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landscaping |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 029117010 | HECP Type 1 |  | Acre |  |  |  |
|  | rock mulch and fabric | 6,651 | sq ft | \$6.50 | \$43,231.50 |  |
| 029127010 | Contractor Furnished Topsoil |  | sq yd |  |  |  |
| 029127050 | Strip, Stockpile, and Spread Topsoil (Plan Quantity) |  | sq yd |  |  |  |
| 029227010 | Drill Seed |  | Acre |  |  |  |
| 029227030 | Broadcast Seed |  | Acre |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Environmental Mitigation Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$129,252 |  |

## Utilities, Right of Way, and Incentives

PIN: PROJECT 2022-10 PROJECT NAME: PG Center Street at 600 West

| Item \# | Item | Quantity | Units | Price | Cost | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub surfacve Utilitiy investigation | 1 | Lump | \$60,000.00 | \$60,000.00 |  |
|  | Relocate Water | 542 | Feet | \$120.00 | \$65,040.00 |  |
|  | Relocate Irrigation | 1 | Feet |  |  |  |
|  | Relocate Sewer Lines | 1 | Feet | \$202.00 | \$202.00 |  |
|  | Relocate Gas Line | 1 | Lump | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | assumed 50\% |
|  | Relocate Power Line | 1 | Lump | \$82,500.00 | \$82,500.00 | assumed 50\% |
|  | Relocate Fiber Optic | 1 | Lump | \$75,000.00 | \$75,000.00 | assumed 50\% |
|  | Relocate Phone | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Utilities Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$332,742 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right-of-way |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | parcel 14:025:0167 | 1 | Lump | \$923,120.00 | \$923,120.00 | 2023 tax value is \$839,200 |
|  | parcel 14:025:0194 | 1 | Lump | \$590,920.00 | \$590,920.00 | 2024 tax value is \$537,200 |
|  | parcel 14:025:0045 | 1,250 | sq ft | \$15.00 | \$18,750.00 | roadway ROW |
|  | Agent fee | 1 | Lump | \$76,639.50 | \$76,639.50 | 5\% |
|  | Sellable property | 50,000 | sq ft | -\$12.00 | -\$600,000.00 | lower value to 50 SF |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right-of-Way Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$1,009,430 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Incentives |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00007601* | Pavement Smoothness Incentive | 1 | Lump | \$1,800.00 | \$1,800.00 |  |
| 00007602* | Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) Incentive | 1 | Lump | \$12,265.50 | \$12,265.50 |  |
| 00007603* | Stone Matrix Asphalt (SMA) Incentive | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
| 00007604* | Open Graded Surface Course Incentive | 1 | Lump |  |  |  |
| 00007605* | Bonded Wearing Course Incentive | 1 | Lump | \$661.70 | \$661.70 |  |
| 00007606* | Early Completion - Time | 0 | Cald |  |  |  |
| \#N/A | Lane Rental Incentive | 0 | \#N/A |  |  |  |
| \#N/A | Miscellaneous Incentive | 1 | \#N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Incentives Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$14,727 |  |

Concept Level Est Form


## 
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## Incentives Calculator

PIN: PROJECT 2022-10 PROJECT NAME: PG Center Street at 600 West

| 2017 Specification | Incentive | Quantity | Unit | Max Unit Incentive | Max Incentive | Adjustment Factor | Assumed Incentive | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02701 - Smoothness | See below - Section 1.8 | 1 | Lump | \$2,400.00/Lump | \$2,400.00 | 0.75 | \$1,800.00 | Use the Calculations below |
| O0221S - Bidding Contract Time | Eary Completion Incentive - Section 1.7.D. 4 |  | card | Cald | \$0.00 | 1 | \$0.00 |  |
| 00222S - Lane Rental | Lane Rental Incentive - Section 1.8.B. 1 |  | Hours | Hour | \$0.00 | 1 | \$0.00 |  |
| 02741 - HMA | In Place Mat Density - Section 1.6.D. 1 | 2,405 | Ton | \$2.00/Ton | \$4,810.00 | 0.85 | \$4,088.50 |  |
|  | Gradion/Asphat Content - Section 1.6.D. 1 | 2,405 | Ton | \$2.00/Ton | \$4,810.00 | 0.85 | \$4,088.50 |  |
|  | Joint Density - Section 1.6.D. 6 | 2,405 | Ton | \$2.00/Ton | \$4,81.00 | 0.85 | \$4,088.50 |  |
| 02744 - SMA | Asphalt Binder Content \& Density - Section 1.6.D. 1 | 0 | Ton | \$2.50/Ton | \$0.00 | 0.50 | \$0.00 |  |
|  | Gradation - Section 1.6.D. 1 | 0 | Ton | \$2.50/Ton | \$0.00 | 0.50 | \$0.00 |  |
| 02786- OGSC | Binder Content - Section 1.6.B. 2 | 0 | Ton | \$1.00/Ton | \$0.00 | 0.85 | \$0.00 |  |
|  | Gradation - Section 1.6.B. 3 | 0 | Ton | \$1.50/Ton | \$0.00 | 0.85 | \$0.00 |  |
| 02787- Bonded Wearing Course | Binder Content- Section 1.6.C. 3 | 7,077 | ISQ YD | \$0.05/Sa yd | \$353.85 | 0.85 | \$300.77 |  |
|  | Gradation - Section 1.6.C. 4 | 7,077 | ISQ YD | \$0.06/Sq yd | \$424.62 | 0.85 | \$360.93 |  |
| Miscellaneous | Community Coordination Incentive | 1 | Lump | \$0.00/Lump | \$0.00 | 1 | \$0.00 |  |
| Tota: |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$14,727.20 |  |


| Smoothness Calculations (2017 Specification - 2701 and 02742S) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table 1 |  | HMA, OGSC, BWC, \& SMA Incentive |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|} \text { Lenget } \end{array}$ | 0.16 | miles |
| HMA, OGSC, BWC, \& SMA Incentive |  |  |  |  |
| Category* | Max Incentive per Pavement Section |  |  |  |
| 1 and 2 | \$500 | Incentive | \$2,400 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Table 2 |  | 0.16 | mies |
|  | PCCP Incentive | Lanes |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Category* }}{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Max Incentive per Pavement Section } \\ & \$ 1,000 \end{aligned}$ | Incentive | S0 |  |



# Pleasant Grove <br> Utahis Cry of Irees <br> City Council Staff Report 

February 6, 2024

## REZONE

REQUEST Request for a zone change from RR (Rural Residential) Zone to theR1-20 (Single Family Residential) Zone.
APPLICANT Noel Vallejo and Bryce Hardee
ADDRESS Located east of 820 West and north of 1800 North
STAFF RECOMMENDATION Approve the proposed zone change
ATTACHMENTS Aerial Map ..... 4
Zoning Map ..... 5
General Plan Designation ..... 6

## Background

The applicant is proposing to rezone approximately 3.32 acres of land from the RR (Rural Residential) Zone to the R1-20 (Single-Family Residential) Zone. The subject property is located north of 1800 North and east of 820 West and is vacant. The applicant has requested a zone change to R1-20 Zone so they will be able to meet the zoning requirements if they choose to subdivide their property.

## Analysis

## Intent Statements:

The intent of the current RR Zone is "...to provide areas on the fringes of the corporate area of the city where residential uses may be harmoniously integrated with incidental agricultural pursuits. This zone is intended to allow the keeping of farm animals and fowl in conjunction with single-family dwelling units to an extent consistent with said development, and in proportion to the amount of land area provided for this purpose. It is intended, at the same time, to retain land in parcels large enough to provide efficient and attractive development as urban uses extend in an orderly manner into these areas. The R-R zone is also intended to accommodate residential developments which are oriented to an equestrian lifestyle. This would allow the design of a residential community which could contain noncommercial stables, training areas and equestrian trails as an integral part of the development."

The intent of the proposed R-1 (Single-Family) Zone is "...to provide areas for the encouragement and promotion of an environment for all socioeconomic levels of family life by providing for the
establishment of one-family detached dwellings on individual lots, or single-family dwellings in a planned residential development (PRD) with an open space environment. This zone is characterized by attractively landscaped lots and open spaces with lawns, shrubs and small orchards."

## Conformance with Zoning Ordinances:

The applicant is anticipating on eventually subdividing this property into six new lots at a later date, but for now the applicant is requesting to rezone this property in a manner that would meet the zoning requirements and be compatible with the surrounding properties.
The RR Zone permits the keeping of some farm animals, based on the amount of available acreage. For each acre, a property owner may have up to 2 of the following animals: Bovid (cows, goats, sheep, etc.), Equidae (horses, donkeys, etc.), or Sus Scrofa (pigs, hogs, etc.). Alternatively, they may have 25 fowl (chickens, ducks, pigeons, etc.) or 25 rabbits or hares per acre.
The R1-20 Zone removes the animal rights in favor of having slightly smaller lots. Chickens are still permitted, but only at a maximum of 10 chickens on a lot that has at least 18,000 square feet.

## Compatibility with surrounding property:

All immediately adjacent properties are zoned RR and have been developed with single-family residences. Some of the properties to the south of 1800 North are zoned R1-15 (Single-Family Residential). Several of the surrounding properties are below the required lot size for the RR zone and are nonconforming; the properties within 500 feet of the subject property range from 0.35 acres to 0.96 acres to 3.16 acres.

## Conformance with General Plan:

On the 2022 General Plan Future Land Use Map (page 11), this area is located in the Single-Family Very Low Density area. The general plan states that "these areas are similar in quality to the Rural Residential category, characterized by single-family homes on large lots. Properties here are intended to be a minimum of $1 / 3$-acre in size to accommodate a slightly more compact subdivision layout while still maintaining the semi-rural character of the area." (General Plan, page 13) The proposed zone change is in conformance with the guidelines set forth by the General Plan.

Staff recommends the Planning Commission to forward a positive recommendation of approval for the rezone of the subject property from the RR (Rural Residential) Zone to the R1-20 (Single-Family Residential) Zone.

## Recommendation from Planning Commission

Pleasant Grove City Planning Commission took the following action on the described application at their meeting on January 11, 2024.

[^27]1. Public Hearing: Rezone - Located east of $\mathbf{8 2 0}$ West and north of $\mathbf{1 8 0 0}$ North (North Field Neighborhood)
Public Hearing to consider the request of Noel Vallejo and Bryce Hardee for a zone change from the RR (Rural Residential) Zone to the R1-20 (Single-Family Residential) Zone on 3.32 acres of unplatted land, located east of 820 West and north of 1800 North.

## RECOMMEND APPROVAL

Motion: At the Public Hearing, Commissioner Redding moved that the Planning Commission forward a recommendation of APPROVAL to the City Council for the request of Noel Vallejo and Bryce Hardee for the rezoning of approximately 3.32 acres of land located east of 820 West and north of 1800 North from the RR (Rural Residential) Zone to the R1-20 (Single-Family Residential) Zone; and adopting the exhibits, conditions, and findings of the Staff Report.

Commissioner Martineau seconded the motion. The Commissioners unanimously voted "Yes". The motion carried.

Motion by: Commissioner Redding
Seconded by: Commissioner Martineau
AYE VOTES: Chair Phillips and Commissioners Martineau, Redding, Butler, and Fugal NAY VOTES:

AERIAL MAP


## ZONING MAP



## GENERAL PLAN FUTURE LAND USE MAP DESIGNATION


= Subject Property

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP OF PLEASANT GROVE CITY, REZONING APPROXIMATELY 3.32 ACRES OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY AT THE EAST SIDE OF 820 W AND NORTH OF 1800 N FROM THE R-R (RURAL RESIDENTIAL) ZONE TO THE R1-20 (SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL) ZONE, NOEL VALLEJO IS THE APPLICANT.

WHEREAS, the existing zone for the property located at approximately the east side of 820 West and north of 1800 North is R-R (Rural Residential) Zone where the minimum required square footage per lot is .5 acre lots; and

WHEREAS, the applicant intends to develop a residential subdivision having minimum lot sizes averaging 20,000 square feet, which is less than the 21,780 square feet as currently required in the R-R Zone; and

WHEREAS, the General Plan designation of Very Low Density Residential supports the R1-20, Single family Residential zone on the property and the uses are cohesive with the existing as well as with the intended uses for the area; and

WHEREAS, on January 11, 2024 the Pleasant Grove City Planning Commission held a public hearing to consider the re-zone request; and

WHEREAS, at its public hearing the Planning Commission found that the rezone request was in the public's interest and considered that the application of the R1-20 zone is cohesive with its surroundings and consistent with the written goals and policies of the General Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Pleasant Grove Planning Commission recommended to the Pleasant Grove City Council that the rezone request be approved; and

WHEREAS, on February 6, 2024 the Pleasant Grove City Council held a public hearing to consider the request; and

WHEREAS, at its meeting the Pleasant Grove City Council was satisfied that the rezone request was in the best interest of the public and was consistent with the written goals and policies of the General Plan; and

WHEREAS, at its meeting the Pleasant Grove City Council approved the request to rezone approximately 3.32 acres located at approximately the east side of 820 West and north of 1800 North from the R-R Zone to the R1-20 Zone.

## THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PLEASANT GROVE:

SECTION 1. The approximate 3.32 acres located at approximately the east side of 820 West and north of 1800 North shall be rezoned from the R-R (Rural Residential)

Zone to the R1-20 (Single Family Residential) Zone; said property being described as shown on Exhibit "A".

SECTION 2. The Official Zoning Map showing such changes shall be filed with the Pleasant Grove City Recorder.

SECTION 3. The Pleasant Grove City Council finds that the zone change is in the best interest of the public and is consistent with the written goals and policies of the City's General Plan.

SECTION 4. SEVERABILITY. The sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses, and phrases of this Ordinance are severable. If any such section, paragraph, sentence, clause, or phrase shall be declared invalid or unconstitutional by the valid judgment or decree of a Court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any of the remaining sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses, or phases of this Ordinance.

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately upon its passage and shall be posted or published as required by law.

SECTION 6. APPROVED AND ADOPTED AND MADE EFFECTIVE by the City Council or Pleasant Grove City, State of Utah, on this $\underline{6}^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2024.

Guy L. Fugal, Mayor

## ATTEST:

Wendy Thorpe, City Recorder
Exhibit "A"

(SEAL)
$\qquad$
.



## Motion: Council Member

$\qquad$
Second: Council Member $\qquad$

| ROLL CALL | Yes | No Abstain | $\underline{\text { Absent }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mayor Guy L. Fugal |  |  |  |

Dianna Andersen
Steve Rogers
Eric Jensen
Cyd LeMone $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Todd Williams $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## CERTIFICATE OF POSTING ORDINANCE

## Pleasant Grove City Corporation

I, the duly appointed recorder for the City of Pleasant Grove, hereby certify that a summary of the foregoing Ordinance No._ was posted on the State (http://pmn.utah.gov) website on this $\qquad$ day of
— 2024.
Dated this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2024.

Wendy Thorpe, CMC, City Recorder

# Pleasant Grove <br> Utahs Cry of Iree <br> City Council Staff Report 

February 6, 2024

## REZONE

REQUEST Request for a zone change from RR (Rural Residential) Zone to theR1-10 (Single Family Residential) Zone.
APPLICANT Castlewood Development
GENERAL PLAN Single-Family Low Density
ADDRESS Approximately 131 West 1800 North
STAFF RECOMMENDATION Approve the proposed zone change
ATTACHMENTS Aerial Map ..... 4
Zoning Map ..... 5
General Plan Designation ..... 6

## Background

The applicant is proposing to rezone approximately 4.5 acres of land from the RR (Rural Residential) Zone to the R1-10 (Single-Family Residential) Zone. The subject property is located south of 1800 North and east of 270 West, and is surrounded by single-family residences and a park. This property is currently developed with a church, however the applicant is working on a subdivision for this property that will divide the area where the church is from the area to be rezoned. The applicant has requested a zone change to R1-10 Zone so they will be able to meet the zoning requirements as they complete the subdivision for their property.

## Analysis

## Intent Statements:

The intent of the current RR Zone is "...to provide areas on the fringes of the corporate area of the city where residential uses may be harmoniously integrated with incidental agricultural pursuits. This zone is intended to allow the keeping of farm animals and fowl in conjunction with single-family dwelling units to an extent consistent with said development, and in proportion to the amount of land area provided for this purpose. It is intended, at the same time, to retain land in parcels large enough to provide efficient and attractive development as urban uses extend in an orderly manner into these areas. The R-R zone is also intended to accommodate residential developments which are oriented to

[^28]an equestrian lifestyle. This would allow the design of a residential community which could contain noncommercial stables, training areas and equestrian trails as an integral part of the development."

The intent of the proposed R-1 (Single-Family) Zone is "...to provide areas for the encouragement and promotion of an environment for all socioeconomic levels of family life by providing for the establishment of one-family detached dwellings on individual lots, or single-family dwellings in a planned residential development (PRD) with an open space environment. This zone is characterized by attractively landscaped lots and open spaces with lawns, shrubs and small orchards."

## Conformance with Zoning Ordinances:

The applicant is anticipating on eventually subdividing this property into 14 lots: one lot will be for the church, and the remaining 13 lots will surround a cul-de-sac, in a similar manner to the residential properties on the east side of the church. The minimum lot size in the current RR zone is $1 / 2$ acre ( 21,780 square feet), and the minimum lot size in the proposed R1-10 zone is 10,000 square feet. Permitted uses in the R1-10 zone include single-family dwellings, accessory apartments, various utility functions, religious activities, and parks.
The RR Zone permits all of the above uses in addition to light agricultural uses such as orchards and vineyards, field and seed crops, and the keeping of some farm animals, based on the amount of available acreage. For each acre, a property owner may have up to 2 of the following animals: Bovid (cows, goats, sheep, etc.), Equidae (horses, donkeys, etc.), or Sus Scrofa (pigs, hogs, etc.).
Alternatively, they may have 25 fowl (chickens, ducks, pigeons, etc.) or 25 rabbits or hares per acre.
The R1-10 Zone removes the animal rights in favor of having slightly smaller lots. Chickens are still permitted, but only at a maximum of 10 chickens on a lot that has at least 18,000 square feet.

## Compatibility with surrounding property:

The properties immediately adjacent to the west are zoned R1-8, the properties to the north are zoned R1-20, and the properties adjacent to the east are zoned R1-10. All properties to the north, east, and west have been developed with single-family residences. The property to the south is zoned $R R$ and is developed with a park.

## Conformance with General Plan:

On the 2022 General Plan Future Land Use Map (page 11), this area is located in the Single-Family Low Density area, which includes the R1-15, R1-12, and R1-10 zones. The general plan states that "These areas are intended to serve as a buffer between Medium Density Residential and the Very Low/Rural Residential areas, and should maintain densities of two to four units per acre." (General Plan, page 13) The proposed zone change is in conformance with the guidelines set forth by the General Plan.

Staff recommends the Planning Commission to forward a positive recommendation of approval for the rezone of the subject property from the RR (Rural Residential) Zone to the R1-10 (Single-Family Residential) Zone.

[^29]
## Recommendation from Planning Commission

Pleasant Grove City Planning Commission took the following action on the described application at their meeting on January 25, 2024.

## 2. Public Hearing: Rezone - Located at approx. 131 West 1800 North (North Field Neighborhood)

Public Hearing to consider the request of Castlewood Development for a zone change from RR (Rural Residential) Zone to the R1-10 (Single-Family Residential) Zone, on approximately 4.5 acres of unplatted land, located at approx. 131 West 1800 North.

## RECOMMEND APPROVAL

MOTION: Commissioner Redding moved that the Planning Commission forward a recommendation of APPROVAL to the City Council for the request of Castlewood Development for the rezone of 4.5 acres of land located at approximately 131 West 1800 North from the Rural Residential Zone to the R1-10 (Single-Family Residential Zone); and adopting the exhibits, conditions, and findings of the Staff Report. Commissioner Martineau seconded the motion. The Commissioners unanimously voted "Aye". The motion carried.

Motion by: Commissioner Redding
Seconded by: Commissioner Martineau
AYE VOTES: Chair Patten and Commissioners Butler, Martineau, and Redding NAY VOTES:

AERIAL MAP


Community Development 86 S 100 E Pleasant Grove, UT 84062 Phone: (801) 785-6057 Fax: (801) 785-5667 www.pgcity.org Author: Jacob Hawkins - City Planner and Daniel Cardenas - Community Development Director

## ZONING MAP



## GENERAL PLAN FUTURE LAND USE MAP DESIGNATION


= Subject Property

## AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP OF PLEASANT GROVE CITY, REZONING APPROXIMATELY 4.5 ACRES OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 131 WEST 1800 NORTH FROM THE R-R (RURAL RESIDENTIAL) ZONE TO THE R1-10 (SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL) ZONE, CASTLEWOOD DEVELOPMENT IS THE APPLICANT.

WHEREAS, the existing zone for the property located at approximately 131 West 1800 North is R-R (Rural Residential) Zone where the minimum required square footage per lot is .5 acre lots; and

WHEREAS, the applicant intends to develop a residential subdivision having minimum lot sizes averaging 10,000 square feet, which is less than the 21,780 square feet as currently required in the R-R Zone; and

WHEREAS, the General Plan designation of Single-Family Low Density supports the R1-10, Single family Residential zone on the property and the uses are cohesive with the existing as well as with the intended uses for the area; and

WHEREAS, on January 25, 2024, the Pleasant Grove City Planning Commission held a public hearing to consider the re-zone request; and

WHEREAS, at its public hearing the Planning Commission found that the rezone request was in the public's interest and considered that the application of the R1-10 zone is cohesive with its surroundings and consistent with the written goals and policies of the General Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Pleasant Grove Planning Commission recommended to the Pleasant Grove City Council that the rezone request be approved; and

WHEREAS, on February 6, 2024, the Pleasant Grove City Council held a public hearing to consider the request; and

WHEREAS, at its meeting the Pleasant Grove City Council was satisfied that the rezone request was in the best interest of the public and was consistent with the written goals and policies of the General Plan; and

WHEREAS, at its meeting the Pleasant Grove City Council approved the request to rezone approximately 4.5 acres located at approximately 131 West 1800 North from the R-R Zone to the R1-10 Zone.

THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PLEASANT GROVE:

SECTION 1. The approximate 4.5 acres located at approximately 1820 N 100 E shall be rezoned from the R-R (Rural Residential) Zone to the R1-20 (Single Family Residential) Zone; said property being described as shown on Exhibit "A".

SECTION 2. The Official Zoning Map showing such changes shall be filed with the Pleasant Grove City Recorder.

SECTION 3. The Pleasant Grove City Council finds that the zone change is in the best interest of the public and is consistent with the written goals and policies of the City's General Plan.

SECTION 4. SEVERABILITY. The sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses, and phrases of this Ordinance are severable. If any such section, paragraph, sentence, clause, or phrase shall be declared invalid or unconstitutional by the valid judgment or decree of a Court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any of the remaining sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses, or phases of this Ordinance.

SECTION 5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately upon its passage and shall be posted or published as required by law.

SECTION 6. APPROVED AND ADOPTED AND MADE EFFECTIVE by the City Council or Pleasant Grove City, State of Utah, on this $\underline{6}^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2024.

Guy L. Fugal, Mayor

ATTEST:

Wendy Thorpe, City Recorder

## Exhibit "A"



Motion: Council Member $\qquad$
Second: Council Member $\qquad$

| ROLL CALL | $\underline{\text { Yes }}$ | No | Abstain | Absent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mayor Guy L. Fugal |  |  |  |  |

Dianna Andersen
Steve Rogers
Eric Jensen
Cyd LeMone
Todd Williams
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## CERTIFICATE OF POSTING ORDINANCE <br> Pleasant Grove City Corporation

I, the duly appointed recorder for the City of Pleasant Grove, hereby certify that a summary of the foregoing Ordinance No. $\qquad$ was posted on the State (http://pmn.utah.gov) website on this $\qquad$ day of , 2024.

Dated this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2024.

Wendy Thorpe, CMC, City Recorder

## RESOLUTION NO. 2024-07

A RESOLUTION OF THE GOVERNING BODY OF PLEASANT GROVE CITY AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO DECLARE ONE 2014 FORD F-450 WHEELED COACH AMBULANCE AS SURPLUS PROPERTY AND DIRECT THAT IT BE DISPOSED OF ACCORDING TO THE CITY'S POLICY FOR DISPOSING OF SURPLUS PROPERTY

WHEREAS, Pleasant Grove City has one 2014 Ford F-450 Wheeled Coach ambulance 2014 Ford F-450 Wheeled Coach ambulance that they would like to surplus; and

WHEREAS, the City has established a process for selling or disposing of surplus property; and
WHEREAS, the City would like to declare one 2014 Ford F-450 Wheeled Coach ambulance as surplus and direct that they be disposed of according to the City's policy; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that it is in the best interests of the City to divest itself of the item(s) and recoup their fair market value for the citizens by selling said surplus property.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of Pleasant Grove, Utah as follows:

## SECTION 1.

The Mayor hereby declares one 2014 Ford F-450 Wheeled Coach ambulance as surplus and directs that they be disposed of according to the City's policy for disposing of surplus property.

## SECTION 2.

The provisions of this Resolution shall take effect immediately.
PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF PLEASANT GROVE, UTAH, this $6^{\text {th }}$ day of February 2024.

Guy L. Fugal, Mayor

## Wendy Thorpe, CMC

City Recorder

## Motion: Council Member

$\qquad$

Second: Council Member $\qquad$

| ROLL CALL | Yes | No | Absent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mayor Guy L. Fugal | - | - | - |
| Dianna Andersen | - | - | - |
| Steve Rogers | - | - | - |
| Eric Jensen | - | - | - |
| Cyd LeMone | - | - | - |
| Todd Williams | - | - |  |

## A RESOLUTION OF THE GOVERNING BODY OF PLEASANT GROVE CITY AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO SIGN A COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT WITH THE UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (UDOT) PROVIDING FOR THE DEVELOPMENT AND PRESERVATION OF ACCESS POINTS ON A PROPOSED FRONTAGE ROAD IN THE AREA OF I-15 AND OTHER RELATED MATTERS.

WHEREAS, City is a municipality and political subdivision of the State of Utah; and
WHEREAS, the Utah Department of Transportation ("UDOT") is currently designing the project known as S-I15-6(243)274 Pleasant Grove Interchange Corridor Preservation, PIN 17319, project number 77033 (the "Project"), which Project includes a planned northbound frontage road to the south of the Development (the "Frontage Road"); and

WHEREAS, to facilitate development of the Project, the parties desire to preserve two points of access on the future planned northbound frontage road along Interstate 15 (the "Northbound Frontage Road") in the area between the existing Pleasant Grove Boulevard interchange and a future planned interchange at the existing Interstate 15 overpass for Lindon City's 2000 West Street; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Pleasant Grove City Council, Pleasant Grove, Utah as follows:

## Section 1.

The Mayor is authorized to enter into and sign a Cooperative Agreement with the Utah Department of Transportation. Said Agreement is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "A."

## Section 2.

The provisions of this Resolution shall take effect immediately.
PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF PLEASANT GROVE,
UTAH, this, ___ day of ___, 2024

ATTEST:
Guy L. Fugal, Mayor
(SEAL)

[^30]
## Motion: Council Member

$\qquad$
Second: Council Member

| ROLL CALL | Yes | No | Abstain | Absent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mayor Guy L. Fugal | - | - | - | - |
| Dianna Andersen | - | - | - |  |

Eric Jensen
Cyd LeMone
Steve Rogers ___
$\qquad$

Todd Williams $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT

## $\square$ <br> $\square$
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## EXHIBIT A

DEPICTION OF ACCESS POINTS
$\square \square\|\| d \square$

## EXHIBIT B

## LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS OF ACCESS POINTS

$\square$

## Access A
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## Access B


 पाला

## EXHIBIT A



|  | VALLEY GROVE <br> UDOT ACCESS <br> 2000 WEST VALLEY GROVE WAY <br> PLEASANT GROVE CITY, UTAH |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## A RESOLUTION OF THE GOVERNING BODY OF PLEASANT GROVE CITY AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO SIGN A COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT WITH LC RESERVE ONE, LLC, VALLEY GROVE EXCHANGE I, LLC, VALLEY GROVE EXCHANGE II, LLC PROVIDING FOR THE DEVELOPMENT AND PRESERVATION OF ACCESS POINTS ON A PROPOSED FRONTAGE ROAD IN THE AREA OF I-15 AND OTHER RELATED MATTERS.


#### Abstract

WHEREAS, City is a municipality and political subdivision of the State of Utah classified as a third-class city under Utah Code Ann. § 10-2-301 and located within Utah County, State of Utah; and


WHEREAS, Developer is creating a development within the boundaries of the City known as Valley Grove Phase VI (the "Development").

WHEREAS, Developer and City entered into that certain Development Agreement for Valley Grove Project Phases 4 and 6" dated September 11, 2023 and recorded in the official records of the Utah County Recorder on September 19, 2023 as Entry No. 61860:2023 (the "Development Agreement"), which Development Agreement sets forth certain Valley Grove Overlay Design Requirements that depict the concept of access points connecting the Development to surrounding roads; and

WHEREAS, the Utah Department of Transportation ("UDOT") is currently designing the project known as S-I15-6(243)274 Pleasant Grove Interchange Corridor Preservation, PIN 17319, project number 77033 (the "Project"), which Project includes a planned northbound frontage road to the south of the Development (the "Frontage Road"); and

WHEREAS, UDOT and the City entered into that certain "Cooperative Agreement (the "UDOT Agreement")," dated February 6, 2024, which UDOT Agreement provides for two authorized areas of access (the "Access Points") connecting the Development to the Frontage Road. The Access Points are more particularly depicted on $\underline{\text { Exhibit } \boldsymbol{A}}$ and described on $\underline{\text { Exhibit } \boldsymbol{B}}$ attached hereto; and

WHEREAS, UDOT will require that the Access Points connect to the Frontage Road with a public road owned by the City (the "City Road Areas"); and

WHEREAS, the Parties hereto agree that it is in their mutual best interests to acknowledge the UDOT Agreement and to cooperate in the preservation of the Access Points.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Pleasant Grove City Council, Pleasant Grove, Utah as follows:

## Section 1.

The Mayor is authorized to enter into and sign a Cooperative Agreement with Valley Grove Exchange, LLC and the other named entities. Said Agreement is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "A."

## Section 2.

The provisions of this Resolution shall take effect immediately.
PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF PLEASANT GROVE,
UTAH, this, ___ day of ___, 2024

Guy L. Fugal, Mayor
ATTEST:
(SEAL)

Wendy Thorpe, City Recorder

Motion: Council Member $\qquad$
Second: Council Member
ROLL CALL Yes
Mayor Guy L. Fugal $\qquad$
No
Abstain
Absent

Dianna Andersen
Eric Jensen
Cyd LeMone
Steve Rogers $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Todd Williams
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

# When Recorded, Please Return To: 

Pleasant Grove City
70 south 100 East
Pleasant Grove, UT 84062

Space above for County Recorder's Use
Tax Parcel I.D. Nos - 14:054:0125, 14:060:0081

## COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT

THIS COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT (the "Agreement") is made and entered into on this day of $\qquad$ 2024 (the "Effective Date"), by and among LC RESERVE ONE, LLC, VALLEY GROVE EXCHANGE I, LLC, and VALLEY GROVE EXCHANGE II, LLC, each a Maryland limited liability company (together, the "Developer"), and the CITY OF PLEASANT GROVE, a Utah municipal corporation (the "City"). Developer and City are each a "Party" and, collectively, the "Parties" herein.

## RECITALS:

A. WHEREAS, Developer is the owner of certain real property within the City more particularly described in Exhibit "A" attached hereto (the "Developer Property").
B. WHEREAS, Developer is creating a development within the boundaries of the City known as Valley Grove Phase VI (the "Development").
C. WHEREAS, Developer and City entered into that certain Development Agreement for Valley Grove Project Phases 4 and 6" dated September 11, 2023 and recorded in the official records of the Utah County Recorder on September 19, 2023 as Entry No. 61860:2023 (the "Development Agreement"), which Development Agreement sets forth certain Valley Grove Overlay Design Requirements that depict the concept of access points connecting the Development to surrounding roads.
D. WHEREAS, the Utah Department of Transportation ("UDOT") is currently designing the project known as S-I15-6(243)274 Pleasant Grove Interchange Corridor Preservation, PIN 17319, project number 77033 (the "Project"), which Project includes a planned northbound frontage road to the south of the Development (the "Frontage Road").
E. WHEREAS, UDOT and the City entered into that certain "Cooperative Agreement (the "UDOT Agreement")," dated $\qquad$ , which UDOT Agreement provides for two authorized areas of access (the "Access Points") connecting the Development to the Frontage Road. The Access Points are more particularly depicted on $\underline{\text { Exhibit } \boldsymbol{B}}$ and described on Exhibit $\boldsymbol{C}$ attached hereto. .
F. WHEREAS, UDOT will require that the Access Points connect to the Frontage Road with a public road owned by the City (the "City Road Areas").
G. WHEREAS, the Parties hereto agree that it is in their mutual best interests to acknowledge the UDOT Agreement and to cooperate in the preservation of the Access Points.

## AGREEMENT:

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants contained in this Agreement, and other good and valuable condition, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the City and Developer hereby agree as follows:

1. Recitals. The above Recitals are incorporated herein by reference.
2. Acknowledgement of UDOT Agreement. The Parties hereby acknowledge the UDOT Agreement and agree to cooperate in preservation and development of the Access Points as set forth on the UDOT Agreement.
3. Frontage Road Access Points. In conjunction with the Frontage Road Access Points, Developer will dedicate to City two small pieces of property owned by Developer (the "City Road Areas"). Based on the current conceptual site plan, the locations of the City Road Areas are depicted on $\underline{\text { Exhibit D }}$ attached hereto. The exact size of the City Road Areas that will be dedicated is not known at this time, but will be determined in coordination with the Development site plans submitted to the City. The dedication area will include the curb, gutter, and asphalt or concrete roadway improvements for the full width of the proposed Access Points, with an anticipated depth of approximately thirty (30) feet extending from the UDOT right-of-way into the Development.
4. Maintenance. The Parties agree that the Developer shall be responsible for the construction of right-of-way improvements on the City Road Areas ("Right-of-way Improvements"), according to plans to be mutually agreed upon between City and Developer. Developer shall also be responsible for the perpetual maintenance of the Right-of-way Improvements, provided that, in the event of sale or transfer of the property now owned by Developer which is directly adjacent to the City Road Areas, and assumption in writing by the buyer or transferee of such obligation of maintenance, Developer's obligation of maintenance of the City Road Areas shall cease.
5. Default. In the event of a Party's default, the non-defaulting Party shall provide written notice specifying the default, and the defaulting Party shall thereupon have thirty (30) days to cure such default. The Parties shall have all rights available at law or in equity to enforce this Agreement.
6. Notices. All notices, claims, demands, and other communications hereunder shall be in writing and shall be deemed to have been given: (a) when delivered by hand (with written confirmation of receipt); (b) when received by the addressee if sent by a nationally recognized overnight courier (receipt requested); (c) on the date sent by facsimile or email of a PDF document (with confirmation of transmission) if sent during normal business hours of the recipient, and on the next business day if sent after normal business hours of the recipient; or (d) on the third day after the date mailed, by certified or registered mail, return receipt requested, postage prepaid. Such communications must be sent to the respective parties at the following addresses (or at such other address for a party as shall be specified in a notice given in accordance with this Notices section):

| If to Developer: | LC Reserve One, LLC |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Valley Grove Exchange I, LLC |
|  | Valley Grove Exchange II, LLC |
|  | c/o St. John Properties, Inc. |
|  | 2560 Lord Baltimore Drive |
|  | Baltimore, MD 21244 |
|  | Attn: Larry Maykrantz |
|  | Email: 1maydrantz@sjpi.com |
|  | $\underline{\text { With a required copy to: }}$ |
|  | St. John Properties Utah, LLC |
|  | 1064 S. North County Boulevard, Suite 190 |
|  | Pleasant Grove, UT 84062 |
|  | Attn: Daniel Thomas |
|  | Email: dthomas@sjpi.com |
| If to City: | City of Pleasant Grove |
|  | 70 South 100 East |
|  | Pleasant Grove, UT 84062 |
|  | Attn: Scott Darrington |
|  | Email: sdarrington@pgcity.org |
|  | With a required copy to: |
|  | Pleasant Grove City |
|  | 70 South 100 East |
|  | Pleasant Grove, UT 84062 |
|  | Attn: Christine Petersen |
|  | Email: cpetersen@pgcity.org |

7. Entire Agreement. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties hereto relative to the subject matter hereof. Any prior negotiations, correspondence, or understandings relative to the subject matter hereof shall be deemed to be merged in this Agreement and shall be of no further force or effect. This Agreement may not be amended or modified except in writing executed by all of the Parties hereto.
8. Further Assurances. Each Party shall use all reasonable best efforts to take, or cause to be taken, all actions, and to do, or cause to be done, and to assist and cooperate with the other Party in doing, all things necessary, proper or advisable to carry out the intent and purposes of this Agreement.
9. Duration. The easements, rights, and privileges created hereby shall continue indefinitely.
10. No Other Relationship. This Agreement does not create any obligation or relationship such as a partnership, joint venture or other similar legal relationship under the laws of any state or the federal government.
11. No Waiver. A delay in enforcing or a failure to enforce any breach or violation of any restriction herein contained shall not be deemed to be a waiver or abandonment of any such restriction, or a waiver of the right to enforce any subsequent breach or violation of such restriction. The foregoing
shall apply regardless of whether any person affected hereby (or having the right to enforce these restrictions) had knowledge of the breach or violation.
12. Severability. If any one or more of the provisions of this Agreement or the applicability of any such provision to a specific situation shall be held invalid or unenforceable by a court of competent jurisdiction, the validity and enforceability of all the provisions of this Agreement and all other applications of such provisions shall not be affected thereby.
13. Governing Law. This Agreement shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Utah.
14. Successors. This Agreement shall be binding upon the heirs, successors, and assigns of the Parties.
15. Attorney's Fees and Costs. If any person or Party to this Agreement institutes legal proceedings to enforce or interpret the terms of this Agreement, the prevailing Party shall be entitled to recover all litigation expenses, specifically including, but not limited to, reasonable attorneys' fees, expert witness fees, and costs.
16. Counterparts; Signatures. This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute but one and the same instrument. A signed copy of this Agreement delivered by facsimile, email, or other means of electronic transmission shall be deemed to have the same legal effect as delivery of an original signed copy of this Agreement. Each Party also agrees that this Agreement and the transactions contemplated hereby may be entered into electronically and that any electronic signature, whether digital or encrypted, used by any Party is intended to authenticate this Agreement and to have the same force and effect as a manual signature. For purposes of this Agreement, an electronic signature means any electronic symbol, designation, or process attached to or logically associated with a record, contract, document, or instrument and adopted by a Party with the intent to sign such record, contract, document, or instrument.
[Remainder of page intentionally left blank. Signatures on following pages.]

## CITY'S SIGNATURE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT PAGE

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the City has executed this Agreement as of the Effective Date.
CITY:
CITY OF PLEASANT GROVE, UTAH, a municipal corporation under the laws of the State of Utah

By:
Print Name: $\qquad$
Title: $\qquad$

[^31]City Attorney
Approved as to Form

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF CITY

STATE OF UTAH )
: ss.

COUNTY OF $\qquad$ )

The foregoing Cooperative Agreement was acknowledged before me this $\qquad$ day of , 2024, by $\qquad$ , the of the City of Pleasant Grove, Utah, a municipal corporation under the laws of the State of Utah.

NOTARY PUBLIC
Residing at: $\qquad$
My Commission Expires:

## DEVELOPER'S SIGNATURE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT PAGE

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Developer has executed this Agreement as of the Effective Date.

## DEVELOPER:

## LC RESERVE ONE LLC

a Maryland limited liability company
By: ST. JOHN PROJECTS, LLC
a Delaware limited liability company
Its: Manager
By: EDWARD ST. JOHN, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company
Its: General Manager

> | By: | Edward A. St. John |
| :--- | :--- |
| Its: | General Manager |

STATE OF MARYLAND )
):ss
COUNTY OF BALTIMORE )
I HEREBY CERTIFY, that on this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2024, before me, the undersigned Notary Public of said State, personally appeared Edward A. St. John, who acknowledged himself to be the general manager of Edward St. John, LLC, which entity is the general manager of St. John Projects, LLC, which entity is the manager of LC Reserve One, LLC, known to me (or satisfactorily proven) to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument, and acknowledged that he executed the same for the purpose therein contained.

WITNESS my hand and Notarial Seal:

NOTARY PUBLIC
My Commission Expires:

## DEVELOPER:

## VALLEY GROVE EXCHANGE I, LLC,

 a Maryland limited liability companyBy: ST. JOHN PROJECTS, LLC
a Delaware limited liability company
Its: Manager

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { By: } & \text { EDWARD ST. JOHN, LLC, } \\
\text { a Delaware limited liability company } \\
\text { Its: } & \text { General Manager }
\end{array}
$$

> | By: | Edward A. St. John |
| :--- | :--- |
| Its: | General Manager |

## STATE OF MARYLAND )

COUNTY OF BALTIMORE )
I HEREBY CERTIFY, that on this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2024, before me, the undersigned Notary Public of said State, personally appeared Edward A. St. John, who acknowledged himself to be the general manager of Edward St. John, LLC, which entity is the general manager of St. John Projects, LLC, which entity is the manager of Valley Grove Exchange I, LLC, known to me (or satisfactorily proven) to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument, and acknowledged that he executed the same for the purpose therein contained.

WITNESS my hand and Notarial Seal:

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires:

## DEVELOPER:

## VALLEY GROVE EXCHANGE II, LLC, a Maryland limited liability company

By: ST. JOHN PROJECTS, LLC
a Delaware limited liability company
Its: Manager

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { By: } & \text { EDWARD ST. JOHN, LLC, } \\
\text { a Delaware limited liability company } \\
\text { Its: } & \text { General Manager }
\end{array}
$$

> | By: | Edward A. St. John |
| :--- | :--- |
| Its: | General Manager |

## STATE OF MARYLAND )

COUNTY OF BALTIMORE )
I HEREBY CERTIFY, that on this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2024, before me, the undersigned Notary Public of said State, personally appeared Edward A. St. John, who acknowledged himself to be the general manager of Edward St. John, LLC, which entity is the general manager of St. John Projects, LLC, which entity is the manager of Valley Grove Exchange II, LLC, known to me (or satisfactorily proven) to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument, and acknowledged that he executed the same for the purpose therein contained.

WITNESS my hand and Notarial Seal:

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires:

## EXHIBIT A

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF DEVELOPER PROPERTY

## PARCEL 1:

Tax Parcel Number: 14:054:0125.

A parcel of land situate in the Southeast Quarter of Section 30 and the Northeast Quarter of Section 31, Township 5 South, Range 2 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian. Being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the South line of Valley Grove Way, said point being South $89^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime}$ East 2167.32 feet along the Section line and South 2644.54 feet from the East Quarter Corner of Section 30, Township 5 South, Range 2 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian, and running;

Beginning at a point, said point being the POINT OF BEGINNING;
thence North $88^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$ East 38.91 feet;
thence North $38^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ East 14.08 feet;
thence South $51^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 51$ " East 32.92 feet to the South line of Valley Grove Way;
thence along the South line of Valley Grove Way the following (7) seven curves;
(1) Southeasterly 147.44 feet along the arc of a 327.00 feet radius curve to the left (center bears North $38^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ East and the chord bears South $64^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime}$ East 146.20 feet with a central angle of $25^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}$ );
(2) Southeasterly 331.32 feet along the arc of a 873.00 feet radius curve to the right (center bears South $12^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ West and the chord bears South $66^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}$ East 329.33 feet with a central angle of 21044'41");
(3) Southeasterly 58.34 feet along the arc of a 60.00 feet radius curve to the right (center bears South $34^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ West and the chord bears South $27^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime}$ East 56.07 feet with a central angle of $55^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ );
(4) Southeasterly 136.50 feet along the arc of a 81.00 feet radius curve to the left (center bears South $89^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$ East and the chord bears South $48^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$ East 120.91 feet with a central angle of 96³3'06");
(5) Easterly 58.34 feet along the arc of a 60.00 feet radius curve to the right (center bears South $06^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$ East and the chord bears South $68^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ East 56.07 feet with a central angle of $55^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ );
(6) Southeasterly 502.29 feet along the arc of a 873.00 feet radius curve to the right (center bears South $49^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ West and the chord bears South $24^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$ East 495.39 feet with a central angle of $32^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$ );
(7) Southeasterly 722.52 feet along the arc of a 742.00 feet radius curve to the left (center bears North $82^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ East and the chord bears South $35^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime}$ East 694.32 feet with a central angle of $55^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 31$ ');
thence South $26^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime}$ West 694.88 feet to the North line of the UDOT I-15 Right of Way. thence along the North line of the UDOT I-15 Right of Way the following (6) six calls.
(1) North $49^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 46$ " West 209.22 feet;
(2) North $49^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$ West 284.68 feet;
(3) North $46^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ West 482.44 feet;
(4) North $42^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ West 283.10 feet;
(5) North $37^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ West 534.94 feet;
(6) North $45^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$ West 162.89 feet to the East line of Pleasant Grove Boulevard; thence North $34^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime}$ East 336.67 feet along the East line of Pleasant Grove Boulevard; thence North $31^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$ East 366.84 feet along the East line of Pleasant Grove Boulevard to the Point of Beginning;

Contains 1,541,577 square feet or 35.390 acres.

## PARCEL 2:

Tax Parcel Number: 14:060:0081.

A parcel of land situate in the Southeast Quarter of Section 30 and the Northeast Quarter of Section 31, Township 5 South, Range 2 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian. Being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the South line of Valley Grove Way, said point being South $89^{\circ} 37$ '36" East 2167.32 feet along the Section line and South 2644.54 feet from the East Quarter Corner of Section 30, Township 5 South, Range 2 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian, and running;
thence along the South line of Valley Grove Way the following (4) four calls;
(1) Easterly 339.06 feet along the arc of a 742.00 foot radius curve to the left (center bears North $26^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$ East and the chord bears South $76^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ East 336.12 feet with a central angle of $26^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ );
(2) South $89^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}$ East 77.11 feet;
(3) Southeasterly 39.28 feet along the arc of a 25.00 foot radius curve to the right (center bears South $00^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$ West and the chord bears South $44^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}$ East 35.36 feet with a central angle of $\left.90^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime}\right)$;
(4) South $89^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}$ East, a distance of 3.88 feet to the West line of 2000 West Street;
thence South $00^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ East 306.98 feet along the West line of 2000 West Street;
thence North $89^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ West 14.96 feet;
thence South $00^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ West 264.15 feet;
thence North $89^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ West 35.54 feet;
thence South $00^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ West 214.28 feet;
thence South $30^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ West 187.65 feet;
thence South $23^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ West 57.33 feet to the North line of the UDOT I-15 Right of Way; thence North $49^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime}$ West 741.78 feet along the North line of the UDOT I-15 Right of Way; thence North $26^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime}$ East 694.88 feet to the Point of Beginning.

Contains 518,960 square feet or 11.914 acres.

## EXHIBIT B

DEPICTION OF ACCESS POINTS
(Attached)

EXHIBIT B
DEPICTION OF ACCESS POINTS


## EXHIBIT C

## LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS OF ACCESS POINTS

## $\underline{\text { Access A }}$

A parcel of land situate in the Southeast Quarter of Section 30 and the Northeast Quarter of Section 31, Township 5 South, Range 2 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian. Being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point being North $89^{\circ} 37$ " 44 " East 2255.19 feet along the Section line and South 2610.40 feet from the West Quarter Corner of Section 30, Township 5 South, Range 2 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian, and running;
thence North $52^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$ West 293.35 feet;
thence North $49^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ West 106.73 feet to the point of terminus

## Access B

A parcel of land situate in the Southeast Quarter of Section 30 and the Northeast Quarter of Section 31, Township 5 South, Range 2 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian. Being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point being North $89^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$ East 3018.13 feet along the Section line and South 3195.78 feet from the West Quarter Corner of Section 30, Township 5 South, Range 2 East, Salt Lake Base and Meridian, and running;
thence North $57^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ West 22.47 feet; thence North $47^{\circ} 47^{\prime 2} 21^{\prime \prime}$ West 95.92 feet; thence Northwesterly 156.97 feet along the arc of a 3,260.00 foot radius curve to the left (center bears South $41^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ West and the chord bears North $50^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ West 156.96 feet with a central angle of $02^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}$ ) to the point of terminus.

## EXHIBIT D

## DEPICTION OF CITY ROAD AREAS

(Attached)


## CONTRACT CHANGE ORDER

Date: Thursday, January 25, 2024
Project: FY 2022-23 Sewer Rehabilitation Project
Location: Pleasant Grove City
Change Order No.: One

Contractor: Insitufrom Technologies, LLC

You are hereby requested to comply with the following changes from the contract plans and specifications


The sum of $\$ 3026.70$ is hereby added to the total contract price and the total adjusted contract price to date thereby is $\$ 312,134.70$.

The time provided for Substantial completion in the contract has not been changed .

This Document shall become an amendment to the contract \& all provisions of the contract will apply hereto.

Contractor Date

Recommended BY: $\qquad$
Engineer Date
Approved BY: $\qquad$


This project is complete.
I hereby certify that I have carefully inspected the work and as a result of my inspection and to the best of my knowledge and belief, the quantities shown in this estimate are correct and have not been shown on previous estimates and the work has been performed in accordance with the Contract Documents.

Recommended by: Pleasant Grove City Engineering

Date: $\qquad$

Accepted by: Insituform Technologies, LLC

Date: $\qquad$ Eric Huss

Approved by: Pleasant Grove City Mayor

Date: $\qquad$
$\qquad$

Schedule of Values



| PARTIAL PAYMENT ESTIMATE |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NO. 1 |  |

## Contact Information

```
Client: Pleasant Grove City (Britton Tveten)
Client Address: }680\mathrm{ North State Street, Lindon Utah 84042
Client Phone: (801) 785-2941
Client Email: btveten@pgcity.org
Job Site Location: 1150 West 2600 North Pleasant Grove
Job Site Contact: Pleasant Grove City: Britton Tveten
```


## Invoice Details

```
DESCRIPTION
Pruning - Clearance

All trees on the highlighted streets on the map.
Work covered with this bid shall consist of trimming trees and shrubs over the roadway up to 14 ft high at the top back of curb, or 3 ft behind edge of the existing asphalt.
*A physical map will be given to the main crew leader in charge. They should Mark on the map in real time with a highlighter or marker to indicate what portions have been done.

Notify property owners on big or nicer trees - Work up flier notifying these few homeowners if they are not home.
*Updates in an email to PG city every couple days of work.
TOTAL BID = \$36,995.00
PARTIAL INVOICE \(=\$ 27,746.25\) PER GARY.
\begin{tabular}{rr} 
Sum: & \(\$ 27,746.25\) \\
Tax: \(0 \%:\) & \(\$ 0.00\) \\
Subtotal: & \(\$ 27,746.25\) \\
Total Payable: & \(\$ 27,746.25\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{Thank you for your business!}

\section*{Terms and Conditions}

\section*{Workmanship:}

All work will be performed in a professional manner by experienced personnel outfitted with the appropriate tools and equipment to complete the job properly. Unless otherwise indicated herein, RTE will remove wood, brush and debris incidental to the work. RTE will follow all ANSI A300, ISA (International Society of Arboriculture), OSHA, and TCIA Standards.

\section*{Performance by RTE:}

Work crews shall arrive at the job site unannounced unless otherwise noted herein. RTE shall attempt to meet all performance dates, but shall not be liable for damages due to delays from inclement weather or other causes beyond our control.

\section*{Photographs:}

Owner shall permit RTE, without compensation or consideration to Owner, to take photographs at the project site of both completed work and work in progress, for purposes including, but not limited to, publication in newspapers, magazines, and other print media, use in broadcast media, publication via the Internet, and use in marketing materials used by Contractor. Such photographs and any accompanying descriptions shall not identify Owner or the property address of the project without the express written consent of owner.

\section*{Scope of work changes:}

If work cannot be finished due to unsafe working conditions we will not charge the cost of what was not finished or we will work out an alternative plan to take care of it as close to the original cost as possible. We will not proceed with costly alternative plans if not agreed upon in advance. Any work added or deducted from the original agreement while on the job site will change the original agreements price. This may require an additional Invoice/Estimate be made or it will simply be added to the final receipt, this decision will be made at the discretion of RTE.

\section*{Insurance:}

RTE is insured for liability resulting from injury to persons or property, and all its employees are covered by Workers Compensation Insurance. We do not accept liability for sprinkler heads or other hidden obstacles, however, we will work around them to the best of our ability.

\section*{Ownership:}

The customer warrants that all trees, plant material and property upon which work is to be performed are either owned by him/her or that permission for the work has been obtained from the owner. RTE is to be held harmless from all claims for damages resulting from the customer's failure to obtain such permission.

\section*{Worksite Conditions:}

All dangerous and hazardous conditions and materials including dog poop must be removed by the property owner prior to the crew arrival. If the worksite is not properly prepared then a cancellation fee will be applied.

\section*{Cancellation Fee:}

A cancellation charge of \(\$ 150\) may apply for any cancellation of scheduled work, if canceled less than 24 hours before the scheduled work due to no fault of RTE. This charge covers expended administration work, stationary, fuel, etc.

\section*{Terms of Payment:}

All accounts under \(\$ 10,000\) are payable upon completion of work. Projects over \(\$ 10,000\) will require \(50 \%\) payment up front, before the work begins. Client may pay with cash, check, credit or debit. Debit and credit transactions over \(\$ 5000\) will include a \(2 \%\) processing fee. If not paid within 30 days of completion of work, there will be a \(1 \%\) interest monthly late fee attached to full amount. Account will be placed in collections after 90 days and any discount given at the time of estimate will be void. Charge backs or collections customer will be assessed a \(\$ 100\) service charge including, but not limited to, attorney fees.

Schedule of Values


\section*{CONTRACT CHANGE ORDER}

Date: Tuesday, January 30, 2024
Project: Chlorination System Installation Atwood Well and Gibson Well, Anderson Well and Adams Well Location: Pleasant Grove City Change Order No.: One

Contractor: J Lyne Robert \& Sons, Inc
You are hereby requested to comply with the following changes from the contract plans and specifications
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Item No. & Description of Changes, Quantities, Units, Unit Prices, Change in Completion Schedule, etc. & Decrease In Contract Price & & \begin{tabular}{l}
ease In \\
tract \\
rice
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 101 & 4" Drain Extension on the Attwood Well. See PCO\#3 & & \$ & 1,442.41 \\
\hline 102 & Gibson Additional Sidewalk in the back of the building at \(\$ 16.84\) per SF installed. 279 sf assumed. See PCO\#1 & & \$ & 4,698.36 \\
\hline 103 & Adams Driveway replacement at \(\$ 9.86\) per SF installed. 355 sf assumed. See PCO\#2 (actual cost) & & \$ & 3,500.30 \\
\hline 104 & Adams Driveway demo and prep at \(\$ 9.02\) per SF. 355 sf assumed. See PCO\#2 (actual cost) & & \$ & 3,202.10 \\
\hline 105 & Andesson additional sidewalk at \(\$ 9.86\) per SF. 52 sf assumed. See PCO\#2 (actual cost) & & \$ & 512.72 \\
\hline 106 & PCO\#2 Profit and Overhead & & \$ & 1,272.69 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Change in Contract Price Due To This Change Order} \\
\hline & Total Increase & - & \$ & 14,628.58 \\
\hline & Total Decrease & \$ - & & - \\
\hline & Net & \$ & & 14,628.58 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The sum of \$ \(14,628.58\) is is hereby added to the total contract price and the total adjusted contract price to date thereby is \(\$ \quad 487,298.58\) \(\qquad\) —.

The time provided for Substantial completion in the contract has not been changed.

This Document shall become an amendment to the contract \& all provisions of the contract will apply hereto.

Accepted BY:


Recommended BY : \(\qquad\)

Approved BY: \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{PARTIAL PAYMENT ESTIMATE NO. 2} \\
\hline Name of Contractor: & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{J Lyne Robert \& Sons, Inc} \\
\hline Name of Owner: & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Pleasant Grove City} \\
\hline Date of Completion: & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Amount of Contract:} & Dates of Estimate: \\
\hline Original: May 3, 2024 & Original: & \$472,670.00 & From: December 12, 2023 \\
\hline Revised: na & Revised: & \$487,298.58 & To: January 30, 2024 \\
\hline Description of Job: & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Chlorination System Installation Atwood Well and Gibson Well, Anderson Well and Adams Well} \\
\hline Amount & & Period & Total To Date \\
\hline Amount Earned & & 9,517.86 & \$257,073.31 \\
\hline Retainage Held & & 475.89 & \$12,853.66 \\
\hline Retainage Being Released & & 0.00 & \$0.00 \\
\hline Previous Payments & & & \$121,177.69 \\
\hline Amount Due & & 3,041.97 & \$123,041.97 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{This project is on schedule} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{I hereby certify that I have carefully inspected the work and as a result of my inspection and to the best of my knowledge and belief, the quantities shown in this estimate are correct and have not been shown on previous estimates and the work has been performed in accordance with the Contract Documents.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Recommended by: Pleasant Grove City Engineering} \\
\hline Date. 2/1/2024 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Accepted by: J Lyne Robert \& Sons, Inc
Date:



Approved by: Pleasant Grove City Mayor

Date: \(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)


\section*{INVOICE}

To: CITY OF PLEASANT GROVE
INVOICE NO: \(\qquad\) 73122

70 S 100 E
PLEASANT GROVE, UT 84062
DATE: \(\qquad\) 12/31/2023

JOB NO: \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{10}{*}{Job Name} & COOK FAMILY PARK & & \\
\hline & PAYMENT REQUEST \# 3 & & \\
\hline & ORIGINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT & \$ & 8,500.00 \\
\hline & NET CHANGE BY CHANGE ORDER & \$ & 9,696,489.58 \\
\hline & ADJUSTED CONTRACT AMOUNT & \$ & 9,704,989.58 \\
\hline & TOTAL COMPLETED TO DATE & \$ & 1,193,355.99 \\
\hline & LESS RETENTION & \$ & 57,005.20 \\
\hline & TOTAL EARNED LESS RETAINAGE & \$ & 1,136,350.79 \\
\hline & LESS PREVIOUS INVOICES & \$ & 807,711.91 \\
\hline & AMOUNT DUE THIS REQUEST & \$ & 328,638.89 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{TO OWNER:}

CITY OF PLEASANT GROVE
70 S 100 E
PLEASANT GROVE, UT 84062
FROM CONTRACTOR:
BIG-D INC.
404 W 400 S
SLC, UT 84101

PROJECT:
COOK FAMILY PARK
400 N 600 W
PLEASANT GROVE, UT 84062
VIA ARCHITECT:
HORROCKS
2162 WEST GROVE PARK SUITE 100
PLEASANT GROVE, UT 84062

\section*{CONTRACTOR'S APPLICATION FOR PAYMENT}

Application is made for payment, as shown below, in connection with the Contract.
Continuation Sheet, AIA Document G703, is attached.
1. ORIGINAL CONTRACT SUM
2. Net change by Change Orders
3. CONTRACT SUM TO DATE (Line \(1 \pm 2\) )
4. TOTAL COMPLETED \& STORED TO

DATE (Column H on G703)
5. RETAINAGE:
a. \(\frac{5}{\text { (Column of Completed Work }}\)
(Column D + E on G703)
\(\$ \quad 57,005.20\)
b. \(\frac{5}{\%} \%\) of Stored Material

Total Retainage (Lines \(5 \mathrm{a}+5 \mathrm{~b}\) or
Total in Column I of G703)
6. TOTAL EARNED LESS RETAINAGE (Line 4 Less Line 5 Total)
7. LESS PREVIOUS CERTIFICATES FOR

PAYMENT (Line 6 from prior Certificate)
8. CURRENT PAYMENT DUE
9. BALANCE TO FINISH, INCLUDING RETAINAGE (Line 3 less Line 6)
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ CHANGE ORDER SUMMARY } & ADDITIONS & DEDUCTIONS \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Total changes approved \\
in previous months by Owner
\end{tabular} & & \\
\hline Total approved this Month & & \\
\hline TOTALS & - & \\
\hline NET CHANGES by Change Order & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{0} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The undersigned Contractor certifies that to the best of the Contractor's knowledge
information and belief the Work covered by this Application for Payment has been completed in accordance with the Contract Documents, that all amounts have been paid by the Contractor for Work for which previous Certificates for Payment were issued and payments received from the Owner, and that current payment shown herein is now due.

CONTRACTOR:
By: Tyler lllen Date: \(1 / 18 / 2024\)

State of: Utah
\(\qquad\)
County of: Utah
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 18th day of Jan , 202024
Notary Public: Jami Mascaro


My Commission expires: 09/07/2025

\section*{ARCHITECT'S CERTIFICATE FOR PAYMENT}

In accordance with the Contract Documents, based on on-site observations and the data
comprising the application, the Architect certifies to the Owner that to the best of the
Architect's knowledge, information and belief the Work has progressed as indicated,
the quality of the Work is in accordance with the Contract Documents, and the Contractor
is entitled to payment of the AMOUNT CERTIFIED.
AMOUNT CERTIFIED ............ \$ 328,638.89
(Attach explanation if amount certified differs from the amount applied. Initial all figures on this Application and on the Continuation Sheet that are changed to conform with the amount certified.)
ARCHITECT:
By Butt Wood \(\qquad\) Date:
1/23/2024

This Certificate is not negotiable. The AMOUNT CERTIFIED is payable only to the
Contractor named herein. Issuance, payment and acceptance of payment are without
prejudice to any rights of the Owner or Contractor under this Contract.
OWNER'S REP. CERTIFICATE FOR PAYMENT
By: Neal Winterton
Date: \(1 / 23 / 2024\)
THE AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF ARCHITECTS, 1735 NEW YORK AVE., N.W., WASHINGTON, DC 20006-5292
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline CONTRACTOR: & \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{BIG-D INC. COOK FAMILY PARK}} & & & & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\begin{array}{rr}\text { DATE: } & 12 / 31 / 2023 \\ \text { PAY APP: } & 3\end{array}\)}} \\
\hline PROJECT: & & & & & & & & Project no: & 123715 & & & & & \\
\hline A & B & & C & D & E & F & & G & H & & 1 & J & K & L \\
\hline ITEM & & \% ITEM OF & SCHEDULE OF & CHANGE ORDERS & REVISED & wor & PLETED & MATERIALS & TOTAL & \% TO & BALANCE TO & LESS & AMOUNT & RETENTION \\
\hline & DESCRIPTION OF WORK & total & values & & schedule of VALUES & PREVIOUS APPLICATIONS & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { PAY REQUEST } \\
\# 3
\end{gathered}
\] & Stored & COMPLETED & date & FINISH & PREVIOUSLY & DUE THIS & WITHHELD \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \& Stored & & & billed & Request & 5\% \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0.0 & PRECONSTRUCTION & 0.55\% & 8,500.00 & 44,752.00 & 53,252.00 & 8,500.00 & 44,752.00 & - & 53,252.00 & 100.00\% & - & 8,500.00 & 44,752.00 & - \\
\hline 1.0 & GENERAL CONDITIONS & 2.86\% & - & 277,613.00 & 277,613.00 & 36,992.86 & 50,457.11 & - & 87,449.97 & 31.50\% & 190,163.03 & 35,143.22 & 47,934.25 & 4,372.50 \\
\hline 12.0 & FURNISHINGS & 15.46\% & & 1,500,000.00 & 1,500,000.00 & - & & - & - & 0.00\% & 1,500,000.00 & - & - & - \\
\hline 31.0 & EARTHWORK & 73.84\% & - & 7,166,191.90 & 7,166,191.90 & 770,562.60 & 234,737.25 & - & 1,005,299.85 & 14.03\% & 6,160,892.05 & 732,034.47 & 223,000.39 & 50,264.99 \\
\hline & & & & - & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 93.0 & BUILDERS RISK & 0.62\% & - & 60,223.33 & 60,223.33 & 5,273.17 & 2,132.08 & - & 7,405.25 & 12.30\% & 52,818.08 & 5,009.51 & 2,025.48 & 370.26 \\
\hline 93.1 & GENERAL LIABILITY INSURANCE & 0.79\% & - & 76,402.73 & 76,402.73 & 6,689.85 & 2,704.87 & - & 9,394.72 & 12.30\% & 67,008.01 & 6,355.36 & 2,569.63 & 469.74 \\
\hline 94.1 & BONDS & 0.49\% & & 47,639.36 & 47,639.36 & - & & - & - & 0.00\% & 47,639.36 & - & - & - \\
\hline 98.1 & CONTRACTOR CONTIGNECY & 2.84\% & & 275,184.67 & 275,184.67 & - & & - & - & 0.00\% & 275,184.67 & - & - & . \\
\hline 99.0 & CM/GM OVERHEAD AND FEE & 2.56\% & - & 248,482.59 & 248,482.59 & 21,757.21 & 8,796.99 & - & 30,554.20 & 12.30\% & 217,928.39 & 20,669.35 & 8,357.14 & 1,527.71 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline TOTALS & & 100.00\% & 8,500.00 & 9,696,489.58 & 9,704,989.58 & 849,775.69 & 343,580.30 & . & 1,193,355.99 & 12.30\% & 8,511,633.59 & 807,711.91 & 328,638.89 & 57,005.20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{CONDITIONAL WAIVER AND RELEASE ON PROGRESS PAYMENT}

Project: COOK FAMILY PARK
(the "Project")

Job No: 123715

Upon receipt by Big-D Construction of a check from CITY OF PLEASANT GROVE in the sum of \(\$ \mathbf{3 2 8 , 6 3 8 . 8 9}\) Payable to Big-D
Construction, and when the check has been properly endorsed and paid by the bank on which it is drawn, this document becomes effective to release any mechanic's lien, any state or federal statutory bond right, any private bond right, any claim for payment and any rights under any similar ordinance, rule or statute related to claim or payment rights that Big-D Construction has on the Project to the extent outlined below.

This release covers a progress payment to Big-D Construction for all labor, services, equipment or materials furnished to the Project through 12/31/2023 ("Release Date"), but only to the amount paid and does not cover any retention, pending modification, claims and changes, orwork performed after the Release Date.

Big-D Construction warrants that it either has already paid or will use the money it receives from this progress payment to promptly pay, to the extent Big-D Construction is paid, all of its laborers, subcontractors, materialmen and suppliers for all labor, materials, equipment and/or services provided for the Project up to the Release Date, and that all services or materials were actually used at the Project.

Big-D Construction further agrees to indemnify and hold CITY OF PLEASANT GROVE
harmless from any and all damages, costs,
expenses and legal fees relating to any claim for amounts paid to Big-D Construction which remain unpaid by Big-D Construction to any other party for labor, materials, and/or equipment relating to any work performed by Big-D Construction on the Project through the Release Date.

\section*{Date:}

12/31/2023
BIG-D Construction
(Company Name)
sy: toper allen
(Signature)
JCA
(Title)

\section*{COOK FAMILY PARK}

INVOICE 3 DETAIL
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline LINE REF/ INV \# & DESCRIPTION & UNITS & RATE & AMOUNT & AMOUNT LESS RET. & TOTAL LESS RET. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{00-000000--} & PRECONSTRUCTION & & & & & \\
\hline & PRECONSTRUCTION SERVICES & & & 44,752.00 & 44,752.00 & \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{PRECONSTRUCTION TOTAL} & & & 44,752.00 & 44,752.00 \\
\hline 01-011010-- & PROJECT MANAGER & & & & & \\
\hline 11/13/2023-12/24/2023 & KURT KOBAYASHI & 108 HRS & 115 & 12,420.00 & 11,799.00 & \\
\hline 01-011020-- & PROJECT DIRECTOR & & & & & \\
\hline 11/13/2023-12/24/2023 & BRANDON ECCLES & 12 HRS & 152 & 1,824.00 & 1,732.80 & \\
\hline 01-011030-- & SUPERINTENDENT & & & & & \\
\hline 11/13/2023-12/24/2023 & JARED KELLER & 196 HRS & 140 & 27,440.00 & 26,068.00 & \\
\hline 01-011070-- & PROJECT ENGINEER & & & & & \\
\hline 11/13/2023-12/24/2023 & LOGAN MOLENI & 64 HRS & 70 & 4,480.00 & 4,256.00 & \\
\hline 01-011230-- & PROJECT ADMINSTRATOR & & & & & \\
\hline 10/6/2022-11/27/2022 & JAMI MASCARO & 32 HRS & 55 & 1,760.00 & 1,672.00 & \\
\hline 01-011320-- & SAFETY COORDINATOR & & & & & \\
\hline 10/23/2023--11/12/2023 & KEN LEMAY & 10 HRS & 92 & 920.00 & 874.00 & \\
\hline 01-015136-- & TEMP WATER & & & & & \\
\hline VISA & KURT KOBAYASHI & & & 78.77 & 74.83 & \\
\hline 01-015180-- & TEMP POWER & & & & & \\
\hline YARD & BIG-D CONSTRUCTION & & & 603.95 & 573.75 & \\
\hline 01-015200-- & CONSTRUCTION FACILITIES & & & & & \\
\hline 0553818572 & HONEY BUCKET & & & 245.01 & 232.76 & \\
\hline 0553870511 & HONEY BUCKET & & & 170.01 & 161.51 & \\
\hline 01-016120-- & SOFTWARE & & & & & \\
\hline SOFTWARE & BIG-D CONSTRUCTION & & & 515.37 & 489.60 & \\
\hline & GENERAL CONDITIO & & & & 50,457.11 & 47,934.25 \\
\hline 31-310000-- & EARTHWORK & & & & & \\
\hline SUNROC CORPORATION & 123715 12/31 REQ & & & 234,737.25 & 223,000.39 & \\
\hline 3123715 & SUNROC CORPORATION & & & & & \\
\hline & EARTHWORK T & & & & 234,737.25 & 223,000.39 \\
\hline & BUILDERS RISK & & & 2,132.08 & 2,025.48 & 2,025.48 \\
\hline & GENERAL LIABILITY INSURANCE & & & 2,704.87 & 2,569.63 & 2,569.63 \\
\hline & CONTRACTOR CONTIGENCY & & & & - & - \\
\hline & CM/GC OVERHEAD \& FEE & & & 8,796.99 & 8,357.14 & 8,357.14 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{TOTAL} & 343,580.30 & 328,638.89 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

DocuSign Envelope ID: 92239946-F3DF-4A8D-A09D-D48821C6129D

BI GTD
construction

PAYMENT REQUEST PR 3
Cook Family Park
PG, UT

PREVIOUS BILLINGS (INCLUDING RETAINAGE). \(\qquad\)
SUBTOTAL (THIS MONTH'S WORK).....Bottom Line G703 Column E
LESS RETENTION FOR CURRENT MONTH.....Written as a decimal \(\qquad\) .05 \%

NET AMOUNT DUE THIS PAYMENT REQUEST \(\qquad\)
770562.60


BIG-D INTERNAL USE ONLY

JOB NUMBER 123715

SUBCONTRACTOR Sunroc Corporation

COST CODE \(\qquad\)
\[
310000
\]

CATEGORY \(\qquad\) S

RETAINAGE \% . 05

PAYMENT DUE DATE:
\[
02 / 15 / 2024
\]

JOINT CHECK
Y \(\qquad\) N \(\qquad\) IF YES - ATTACH PAYEE INFORMATION

APPROVED BY: \(\qquad\) Kurt Kabayashi DATE: 12/29/2023 \(\qquad\)

CERTIFICATE BY SUBCONTRACTOR OR SUPPLIER:

I hereby certify that the work performed and the material supplied to date represent the actual value of accomplishment under the terms of the contract and all authorized changes hereto between the undersigned and Big-D, relating to the above project. I further certify that all payments, less any applicable retention, through the period covered by previous payments received from Big-D have been made in full to (1) all my subcontractor (sub-contractors) and (2) for all materials and labor used in or in connection with the performance of this contract. I further certify that I have complied with Federal, State and local tax laws, including Social Security, Unemployment Compensation, Workman's Compensation and Withholding Tax Laws, insofar as applicable to this contract and that payroll fringe benefits where applicable have been paid.
\(\qquad\)

\section*{TO CONTRACTOR:}

BIG-D CONSTRUCTION CORP
1788 W 200 N
INDON, Utah 84042

\section*{FROM SUBCONTRACTOR:}

SUNROC CORPORATION
PO BOX 778
OREM, Utah 84059
SUBCONTRACT FOR: 310000.S

\section*{PROJECT:}

Cook Family Park
400 N 600 W
PLEASANT GROVE, Utah 84062

APPLICATION NO: 3
INVOICE NO: PR3
PERIOD: 12/01/23-12/31/23
PROJECT NO: 123715
CONTRACT NO: 123715-30893
CONTRACT DATE: 10/04/2023
CERTIFICATE DATE: 12/22/2023
SUBMITTED DATE:

\section*{SUBCONTRACTOR'S APPLICATION FOR PAYMENT}

Application is made for payment, as shown below, in connection with the Subcontract. Continuation Sheet is attached.
1. Original Contract Sum
2. Net change by change orders
3. Contract Sum to date (Line \(1 \pm 2\) )
4. Total completed and stored to date (Column G on detail sheet)
\begin{tabular}{r}
\(\$ 7,165,426.00\) \\
\hline\(\$ 765.90\) \\
\hline\(\$ 7,166,191.90\) \\
\hline\(\$ 1,005,299.85\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
a. \(5.00 \%\) of completed work
\(\begin{array}{r}\$ 50,265.00 \\ \hline \$ 0.00 \\ \hline\end{array}\)
b. \(0.00 \%\) of stored material
\begin{tabular}{r} 
\\
\hline
\end{tabular}
6. Total earned less retainage
(Line 4 less Line 5 Total)
7. Less previous certificates for payment
(Line 6 from prior certificate)
8. Current payment due:
9. Balance to finish, including retainage (Line 3 less Line 6)
\$50,265.00
\$6,211,157.05
\begin{tabular}{|l|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ CHANGE ORDER SUMMARY } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ ADDITIONS } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ DEDUCTIONS } \\
\hline Total changes approved in previous months by Owner/Client: & \(\$ 0.00\) & \(\$ 0.00\) \\
\hline Total approved this month: & \(\$ 765.90\) & \(\$ 0.00\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Totals: } & \(\$ 765.90\) & \(\$ 0.00\) \\
\hline Net change by change orders: & \(\$ 765.90\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The undersigned certifies that to the best of the Subcontractor's knowledge, information and belief, the Work covered by this Application for Payment has been completed in accordance with the Subcontract Documents, that all amounts have been paid by the Subcontractor for Work which previous Certificates for payment were issued and payments received from the Owner/Client, and that current payments shown herein is now due.
SUBCONTRACTOR: SUNROC CORPORATION
By

State of:
County of:
Subscribed and sworn to before
me this
Notary Public:
My commission expires:

Document SUMMARY SHEET, APPLICATION AND CERTIFICATE FOR PAYMENT, containing
Contractor's signed Certification is attached.
APPLICATION NUMBER: 3
APPLICATION DATE: 12/20/2023
PERIOD: 12/01/23-12/31/23
Use Column I on Contracts where variable retainage for line items apply.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline A & & B & C & D & E & F & G & & H & I \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{ITEM NO.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{BUDGET CODE} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{DESCRIPTION OF WORK} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{SCHEDULED VALUE} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{WORK COMPLETED} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{MATERIALS PRESENTLY STORED (NOTIN D ORE)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{TOTAL COMPLETED AND STORED TO DATE
\[
\text { ( } \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{F} \text { ) }
\]} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
(\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{C})
\]} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{BALANCE TO FINISH (C-G)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{RETAINAGE} \\
\hline & & & & FROM PREVIOUS APPLICATION ( \(\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{E}\) ) & THIS PERIOD & & & & & \\
\hline 1 & 31-310000.S EARTHWORK.Subcontrac t & Earthwork & \$2,414,912.00 & \$333,662.60 & \$180,783.75 & \$0.00 & \$514,446.35 & 21.30\% & \$1,900,465.65 & \$25,722.32 \\
\hline 2 & 31-310000.S EARTHWORK.Subcontrac t & Asphalt & \$1,561,501.00 & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & 0.00\% & \$1,561,501.00 & \$0.00 \\
\hline 3 & 31-310000.S EARTHWORK.Subcontrac t & Utilties & \$2,651,163.00 & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & 0.00\% & \$2,651,163.00 & \$0.00 \\
\hline 4 & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
31-310000.5
\] \\
EARTHWORK.Subcontrac t
\end{tabular} & SWPPP & \$57,850.00 & \$4,900.00 & \$5,953.50 & \$0.00 & \$10,853.50 & 18.76\% & \$46,996.50 & \$542.68 \\
\hline 5 & 31-310000.S EARTHWORK.Subcontrac t & Demolition & \$480,000.00 & \$432,000.00 & \$48,000.00 & \$0.00 & \$480,000.00 & 100.00\% & \$0.00 & \$24,000.00 \\
\hline & & TOTALS: & \$7,165,426.00 & \$770,562.60 & \$234,737.25 & \$0.00 & \$1,005,299.85 & 14.03\% & \$6,160,126.15 & \$50,265.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline A & B & C & D & E & F & G & & H & 1 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{ITEM NO.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{DESCRIPTION OF WORK} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{SCHEDULED VALUE} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{WORK COMPLETED} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{MATERIALS PRESENTLY STORED (NOT IN D ORE)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{TOTAL COMPLETED AND STORED TO DATE
(D + E + F)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
(\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{C})
\]} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{BALANCE TO FINISH (C - G)} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{RETAINAGE} \\
\hline & & & FROM PREVIOUS APPLICATION ( \(\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{E}\) ) & THIS PERIOD & & & & & \\
\hline 6 & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{CCO \# 001 123715-30893-CCO001-Sunroc} \\
\hline 6.1 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 31-310000.S } \\
& \text { 4" Water Shut Off Requested by Owner }
\end{aligned}
\] & \$765.90 & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & 0.00\% & \$765.90 & \$0.00 \\
\hline & TOTALS: & \$765.90 & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & 0.00\% & \$765.90 & \$0.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{CONDITIONAL WAIVER AND RELEASE ON PROGRESS PAYMENT}

Property Name: Cook Family Park
Property Location: PG, UT
Undersigned's Customer: Big-D Construction Corp
Invoice/Payment Application Number: PR3
Payment Amount: \(\qquad\)
Payment Period: \(12 / 31 / 23\)
To the extent provided below, this document becomes effective to release and the undersigned is considered to waive any notice of lien or right under Utah Code Ann., Title 38, Chapter 1a, Preconstruction and Construction Liens, or any bond right under Utah Code Ann., Title 14, Contractors' Bonds, or Section 63G-6a-1103 related to payment rights the undersigned has on the above described Property once: (1) the undersigned endorses a check in the above referenced Payment Amount payable to the undersigned; and (2) the check is paid by the depository institution on which it is drawn. This waiver and release applies to a progress payment for the work, materials, equipment, or a combination of work, materials, and equipment furnished by the undersigned to the Property or to the Undersigned's Customer which are the subject of the Invoice or Payment Application, but only to the extent of the Payment Amount. This waiver and release does not apply to any retention withheld; any items, modifications, or changes pending approval; disputed items and claims; or items furnished or invoiced after the Payment Period. The undersigned warrants that the undersigned either has already paid or will use the money the undersigned receives from this progress payment promptly to pay in full all the undersigned's laborers, subcontractors, materialmen, and suppliers for all work, materials, equipment, or combination of work, materials, and equipment that are the subject of this waiver and release.

Date: \(12 / 26 / 2023\)


Schedule of Values


Report Criteria:
Invoices with totals above \(\$ 0\) included,
Only unpaid invoices included.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{PLEASANT GROVE CITY CORPORATION} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Payment Approval Report - by GL - Unpaid Report dates: \(1 / 25 / 2024-1 / 25 / 2024\)} & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Amount Paid} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Page: } 2 \\
\text { Jan 25, } 2024 \text { 09:48AM }
\end{array}
\]} \\
\hline Vendor Vendor Name & Invoice Number & er Description & Invoice Date & \begin{tabular}{l}
Net \\
Invoice Amount
\end{tabular} & & Date Paid & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Administrative services} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{10-46-240 OFFICE EXPENSE} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(10-46-610\) MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSE \(\quad 01 / 18 / 2024 \quad 27.21 \quad .00\)}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline 4225 INTERMOUNTAIN WORK 10-46-760 TECHNOLOGY & 3478362 & Adm/SCREENING & 01/16/2024 & 34.00 & . 00 & & \\
\hline 4747 LES OLSON COMPANY & 1362448 & MONTHLY CONTRACTED SERVICE & 01/12/2024 & 1,325.50 & . 00 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Total ADMINISTRATVE SERVICES: \({ }^{\text {a }}\), 3886.71 .00} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{FACILITIES} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{10-47-510 CITY HALL - HEATING EXPENSE} \\
\hline 2465 DOMINION ENERGY & 01102024 & MULTI DEPT/HEATING EXPENSE & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{10-47-670 COMM DEV - BLDG MAINTENANCE 00} \\
\hline 1521 CERTIFIED FIRE PROTE & 21875 & COM DEV/FIRE ALARM & 01/19/2024 & 565.00 & & & \\
\hline 3564 GUNTHERS COMFORT AI & 61615 & bLDG/BUILDING MAINTENANCE & 01/09/2024 & 233.80 & . 00 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{10-47-680 OLD BELL SCHOOL - HEATING} & 233.80 & . 00 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{10-47-600 POLICE - HEATING 00} \\
\hline 2465 DOMINION ENERGY & 01102024 & MULTI DEPT/HEATING EXPENSE & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{10-47-610 POLICE-POWER \({ }^{\text {a }}\) ( 01/10/2024} \\
\hline 7082 ROCKY MOUNTAIN POW & 01182024 & PD/ELECTRICITY EXPENSE & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{10-47-620 POLICE-bLDG MAINT 00} \\
\hline 813 COBBLESTONE GROUP I & 69618896 & BUILDING MAINTENANCE & 01/18/2024 & 124.70 & . 00 & & \\
\hline 1521 CERTIFIED FIRE PROTE & 21863 & PD/FIRE ALARM EXPENSE & 01/11/2024 & 1,195.00 & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{10-47-640 FIRE/AMBULANCE-HEATING 1,195.00 . 00} \\
\hline 2465 DOMINION ENERGY & 01102024 & MULTI DEPT/HEATING EXPENSE & 01/10/2024 & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{10-47-660 FIREIAMBULANCE - BLDG MAINT 00} \\
\hline 970 BJPLUMBING SUPPLY & 001017871 & BUILDING MAINTENANCE & 01/04/2024 & 157.85 & & & \\
\hline 1521 CERTIFIED FIREPROTE & 21889 & FIRE ALARM REPAIR & 01/19/2024 & 157.85
1,015,00 & . 00 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{10-47-680 CEMETERY BLDG - HEATING 0} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{10-47-710 LIBRARYISENIOR - HEATING 000 01/10/2024 1,288.60}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{10-47-730 LIBRARY/SENIOR - BLDG MAINT}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1521 CERTIFIED FIRE PROTE & 21888 & LIB/FIRE ALARM & 01/19/2024 & 445.00 & & & \\
\hline 5470 MOUNTAIN ALARM FIRE & 4303035 & LII/FIREALARM MONITORING & 01/25/2024 & & & & \\
\hline 5470 MOUNTAIN ALARM FIRE & 4303036 & LIB/ELEVATOR MONITORING & 01/25/2024 & 210.00
78.00 & . 00 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{10-47-750 PUMP HOUSE-HEATING \({ }^{\text {- }}\) (00} \\
\hline 2465 DOMINION ENERGY & 01102024 & MULTI DEPT/HEATING EXPENSE & & & & & \\
\hline 2465 DOMINION ENERGY & 01102024 & MULTI DEPT/HEATING EXPENSE & 01/10/2024 & 554.49 & . 00 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{10-47-760 PUBLIC WORKS - HEATING 00} \\
\hline 2465 DOMINION ENERGY & 01102024 & MULTI DEPT/HEATING EXPENSE & 01/10/2024 & & & & \\
\hline 2465 DOMINION ENERGY & 01102024 M & MULTT DEPT/HEATING EXPENSE & 01/10/2024 & & . 00 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{10-47-7B0 PUBLIC WORKS - BLDG MAINT 00} \\
\hline 5482 MOUNTAINLAND SUPPLY 5 & 5105890197 B & bulliding maintenance & 01/04/2024 & 61.03 & . 00 & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{10-47-790 RENTAL PROPERTY EXPENSES 0} \\
\hline 2465 DOMINION ENERGY & 01102024 N & MULTI DEPT/HEATING EXPENSE & 01/10/2024 & 360.81 & . 00 & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
10-47-810 SR CENTER - HEATING \\
2465 DOMINION ENERGY
\end{tabular} & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{10-47-830 SR CENTER - BLDG MAINT \({ }^{\text {a }}\)} & \\
\hline 1521 CERTIFIED FIRE PROTE 2 & 21885 S & SC/FIRE ALARM & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{10-47-845 LIONS CENTER HEATING \({ }^{\text {a }}\) (00} \\
\hline 2465 DOMINION ENERGY D & 01102024 M & MULTI DEPT/HEATING EXPENSE & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{10-47-920 HISTORIC LIBRARY-HEATING 00} \\
\hline 2465 DOMINION ENERGY 0 & 01102024 M & MULTI DEPT/HEATING EXPENSE & 01/10/2024 & 845.24 & . 00 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}








\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{PLEASANT GROVE CITY CORPORATION} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Payment Approval Report - by GL - Unpald \\
Report dates: 1/30/2024-1/30/2024
\end{tabular}} & & & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Page: } 2 \\
\text { Jan 30, } 2024 \text { 12:59PM }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Vendor Vendor Name & Involce Number & Description & Involce Date & Net Involce Amount & Amount Paid & Date Paid \\
\hline Totel LIBRARY: & & & & 42.59 & . 00 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{PARKS} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{10-70-285 CELLULAR SERVICES} \\
\hline 9131 VERIZON WIRELESS & 9953135103 & MULTI DEPT/CELL PHONE EXEPNS & 01/01/2024 & 511.08 & 00 & \\
\hline Total PARKS: & & & . & 511.08 & . 00 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{LEISURE SERVIVES} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{10-72-205 CELLULAR SERVIGES} \\
\hline 9131 VERIZON WIRELESS & 9953135103 & MULTI DEPT/CELL PHONE EXEPNS & 01/01/2024 & 42.59 & . 00 & \\
\hline Total LEISURE SERVIVES: & & & & 42.59 & . 00 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{CUSTODIAL SERVICES} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{10-74-285 CELLULAR SERVIGES} \\
\hline 9131 VERIZON WIRELESS & 9953135103 & MULTI DEPT/CELL PHONE EXEPNS & 01/01/2024 & 42.59 & . 00 & \\
\hline Total CUSTODIAL SERVICES: & & & & 42.59 & . DO & \\
\hline Total GENERAL FUND: & & & & 13,004.96 & . 00 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{WATER FUND} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{EXPENDITURES} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{51-40-600 REPAR \& MAINTENANCE} \\
\hline 7075 ROCKY MOUNTAIN VALV & 1654 & WATERNALVES & 11/27/2023 & 2,122.00 & . 00 & \\
\hline Total EXPENDITURES: & & & & 2,122.00 & . 00 & \\
\hline Total WATER FUND: & & & & 2,122.00 & . 00 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{SWIMMING POOL} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{SWIMMING POOL} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{71-73-380 HEATING} \\
\hline 2465 DOMINION ENERGY & 01112024 & MULIT DEPT/HEATING EXPENSE & 01/10/2024 & 989.78 & . 00 & \\
\hline Total SWIMMING POOL: & & & & 969.78 & . 00 & \\
\hline Total SWIMMING POOL: & & & & 969.78 & . 00 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{COMMUNITY CENTER} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{72-71-060 COMMUNITY CTR - HEATING} \\
\hline 2465 DOMINION ENERGY & 01112024 & MULIT DEPT/HEATING EXPENSE & 01/10/2024 & 4,485.11 & . 00 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{72-71-420 CONTRACTED SERVICES} \\
\hline 4740 LES MILLS UNITED STAT & SIV0364982 & RECILES MILLS BASIC & 01/09/2024 & 617.00 & . 00 & \\
\hline Total : & & & & 5,102.11 & . 00 & \\
\hline Total COMMUNITY CENTER: & & & & 5,102.14 & . 00 & \\
\hline Grand Totals: & & & & 21,198, 85 & . 00 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```
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